

Australian Government

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority

Water Quality Guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Autoority, Townsville.



REVISED EDITION 2010



Australian Government

Great Barrier Reef

Water Quality Guidelines for Marine Great Barrier Reef Marine <section-header> the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority winsville.

© Commonwealth of Australia 2009

Published by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority

ISBN 978 1 921682 29 2 (pdf)

Lever of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication entry : Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Water quality guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park 2010 [electronic resource] Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Rev. ed. ISBN 978 1 921682 29 2 (pdf) Bibliography. Water quality management--Queensland--Great Barrier Reef. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park (Qld.). 3.9164 scliner "ile reasonable effort has been made to ensure the thore of the top ensure the top This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*,

Commonwealth does not accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the contents, and shall not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on, the contents of this publication.

Requests and inquisies concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to:



Australian Government

, 2

Great Barrier Reef **Marine Park Authority** Director, Communication and Education Group 2-68 Flinders Street PO Box 1379 **TOWNSVILLE QLD 4810** Australia Phone: (07) 4750 0700 Fax: (07) 4772 6093 info@gbrmpa.gov.au

Comments and inquiries on this document are welcome and should be addressed to:

Director, Coastal Ecosystems and Water Quality wqguide@gbrmpa.gov.au

www.gbrmpa.gov.au

FOREWORD

While the Great Barrier Reef is one of the richest, most complex and diverse ecosystems in the world, it is also highly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. Adverse effects are already being observed on plants, animals and habitats in this World Heritage Area.

Good quality water is essential for the proper functioning of the Reef's ecological systems if it to have any chance of enduring the impacts of climate change. For example, a coral's ability to recover from bleaching resulting from a rise in sea temperature is significantly reduced if it is living in degraded water.

The *Water Quality Guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park* describe the concentrations and trigger values for sediment, nutrients and pesticides that have been established as necessary for the protection and maintenance of marine species and cosystem health of the Great Barrier Reef .

Currently available monitoring results show that at certain times and places these trigger values are not met in the Great Barrier Reef region. Australians are responding to this challenge with farmers, graziers, communities and all levels of government working together to clean up our rivers that now carry excess fertiliser and pesticides. They are taking action to restore coastal wetlands that not only catch excess silt from floode but provide nursery habitats for many species of fish; to prevent pollution from sewage; to prevent overfishing of top predators such as sharks and to avoid the accidental loss of iconic speets such as dugong and turtle.

The uptake of improved farming practices in catchments adjacent to the Great Barrier Reef is well underway with significant investment made under the Australian Government's Reef Rescue Plan. Queensland government agencies, natural resource management bodies, industries, individual land holders, research agencies, non-government organisations and the community are all working together to tackie the issues of water-borne contaminants.

Water quality research and monitoring in streams, estuaries and marine habitats will continue and the guidelines will be revised as we learn more about the complex tropical ecosystems in the Great Barrier Recording on and their responses to different environmental conditions.

I look forward to working with all of you to safeguard the future health of the Great Barrier Reef, and I that the contributors and reviewers who have generously given their time to the development of this publication.

eichert

Russell Reichelt Chair Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority

Table of contents

Table of	contents	ii
Index of	figures	iv
Index of	tables	iv
Acknow	ledgements	V
1 T4	no du oti ou	~
	roaucnon	
1.1	The Great Barrier Reef setting	······
1.2	The Australian National Water Quality Management Strategy	
1.3	Environmental values of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area	
2 Pri	mary management considerations	
2.1	Environmental concerns	······ 7
2.2	Management goals and policies	7
2.3	Variability and uncertainties	8
2.4	Review	9
3 Spa	atial considerations	
3.1	Boundaries along the Great Barrier Reef	11
3.2	Boundaries across the shelf	11
4 Te	mporal considerations	
4.1	Acute versus long-term exposure	13
4.2	Seasonal changes in water quality	14
5 De	termination of appropriate guideline trigger values	
5.1	Introduction	16
5.2	Indicator types	16
5.3	Derivation of sediment and nutrien guideline trigger values	16
5.4	Toxicity data for deriving pesticide guideline trigger values	19
5.5	Level of protection	
5.6	Consideration of sublethal offects	
6 Gu	ideline trigger values	
6.1	Introduction	
6.2	Sediments and noticents	22
6.2	1 Water clarity Secchi denth) and chlorophyll a	23
6.2	2 Suspender solids particulate nitrogen and particulate phosphorus	26
6.2	3 Sedimentation	20 27
63	Temacoure	
0.J 6.4	Destruides	·····2) 20
0.4 <i>6 /</i>	1 Opiuron	
0.4 6 /	Atrazine	
0.4	Au azinc	
	A Simozino	
	5 Havezinana	
0.4	.5 Hexazinone	
6.4	.6 2,4-D	
6.4	./ Tebuthiuron	
6.4	.8 Chlorpyrifos / Oxon	
• 6.4	.9 Endosultan	
6.4	.10 2-Methylethyl mercuric chloride (MEMC)	
6.4	.11 Diazinon	
6.4	.12 Pesticide summary	49
6.5	Tributyltin	51
6.6	Sublethal effects consideration	53
6.6	.1 Diuron	53
6.6	2 Atrazine	56

6.6.9 Range of effect including sublethal data. 6 7 Future Research Needs	6.6.9 Range of effect including sublethal data. 6 7 Future Research Needs	6.6.7	Tebuthiuron	6
7 Future Research Needs	7 Future Research Needs	6.6.9	Range of effect including sublethal data	
7.1 Sublethal effects 6 7.2 Paucity of data 6 7.3 Toxicity of mixtures 6 7.4 Biogeochemistry 6 7.5 Sedimentation 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2.4-D 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.1 Diazinon 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametry	7.1 Sublethal effects 6 7.2 Paucity of data 6 7.3 Toxicity of mixtures 6 7.4 Biogeochemistry 6 7.5 Sedimentation 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpprifos / chloropyrifos ycol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 2.4 D 7 7 2.5 Organochremes 7 2.6 Joixins 7 2.	7 Futu	re Research Needs	
7.2 Paucity of data	7.2 Paucity of data	7.1	Sublethal effects	6
7.3 Toxicity of mixtures 7.4 8 Biogeochemistry 7.5 7.5 Sedimentation 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 7 Appendices 7 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos yea 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organocherines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 2.4<	7.3 Toxicity of mixtures 7.4 8 Biogeochemistry 7.5 7.5 Sedimentation 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2.4-D 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos yea 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organchornies 7 2.4 Heavesteals 7 2.4	7.2	Paucity of data	6
7.4 Biogeochemistry 6 7.5 Sedimentation 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendixes 7 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chloropyrifos ven 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.2.1 Tributyltin 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Heavatifan 7 1.6 2,4-D 7<	7.4 Biogeochemistry 6 7.5 Sedimentation 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos year 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organocthyrines 7 2.4 Heaveretals 7 2.4 H	7.3	Toxicity of mixtures	6
7.5 Sedimentation. 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos ycol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochlorines 7 2.4 Heavyletals 7 2.4 Heavyletals 7 2.4 Heav	7.5 Sedimentation. 6 7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos ycol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organothrines 7 2.4 Heav Metals 7 2.4 Heav Metals 7 2.4 Heav	7.4	Biogeochemistry	6
7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos veot 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos veot 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin	7.6 Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity 6 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2.4-D 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos veot 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.6 2.4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos veot 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins	7.5	Sedimentation	64
7.7Hydrodynamic and biological models67.8Catchment action relationships67.9Reference condition67.10Risk based guideline packages68Conclusion7Appendices71.1Diuron71.2Atrazine71.3Ametryn71.4Simazine71.5Hexazinone71.62,4-D71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.2Atrizinon71.4Simazine71.5Hexazinone71.62,4-D71.7Tebuthiuron71.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos xxx71.10MEMC72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochorines72.4Heavy tetals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References7References7References7References7	7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models 6 7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 Appendix I: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos yco 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.2 Dioxins 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.3 Organochorines 7 2.4 Heavefetals 7 2.4 Heavefetals 7 2.5 Tributyltin 7 7 References 7 7 References 7 7 Referen	7.6	Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity	
7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.8 Chloropyrifos / chloropyrifos xol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochreines 7 2.4 Heavynetals 7 2.5 Giossary 7 7 References	7.8 Catchment action relationships 6 7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos ycol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochrmes 7 2.4 Heaventetals 7 2.4 Heaventetals 7 References 7 7 3 Organochrmes 7 2.4 Heaventetals 7 7 References 7 8 References 7 </td <td>7.7</td> <td>Hydrodynamic and biological models</td> <td>6</td>	7.7	Hydrodynamic and biological models	6
7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos year 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos year 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7	7.9 Reference condition 6 7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos yol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 1.2 Atrazinon 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chloropyrifos y chloropyrifos yol 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochrynnes 7 2.4 Heavenetals 7 2.4 Heavenetals 7 <td>7.8</td> <td>Catchment action relationships</td> <td>6</td>	7.8	Catchment action relationships	6
7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos vol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos vol 7 1.10 MEMC 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7	7.10 Risk based guideline packages 6 8 Conclusion 6 Appendices 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos year 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 1.2 Airanon 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos year 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochorines 7	7.9	Reference condition	6
8 Conclusion 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos con 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochyrines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms 7 References 7 References 8	8 Conclusion 7 Appendices 7 Appendix 1: Pesticides 7 1.1 Diuron 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos vol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochorines 7 2.4 Heave Vietals 7 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms 7 References 7 References 8	/.10	Risk based guideline packages	
Appendix 1: Pesticides71.1Diuron71.2Atrazine71.3Ametryn71.4Simazine71.5Hexazinone71.62,4-D71.7Tebuthiuron71.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos con71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochforines72.4Heavy etals7Keferences7References8	Appendix 1: Pesticides7Appendix 1: Pesticides71.1Diuron71.2Atrazine71.3Ametryn71.4Simazine71.5Hexazinone71.62,4-D71.7Tebuthiuron71.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos vol71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochorines72.4Heave Metals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References8	8 Conc	iusion	0 7
Appendix 1. resolutes	Appendix 1. I csucies71.1Diuron71.2Atrazine71.3Ametryn71.4Simazine71.5Hexazinone71.62,4-D71.7Tebuthiuron71.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos con71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochorines72.4Heaveyletals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References8	Appendice	ry 1. Pesticides	
1.1 Data 1.2 Atrazine 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.3 1.4 Simazine 7 1.4 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2.4-D 7 1.6 2.4-D 7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.6 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos socol 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos socol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 7 1.1 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 7 2.2 10xins 7 2.3 Organochorines 7 2.4 Heaver/Jetals 7 Clossary 7 References 8	1.1 Diator 7 1.2 Atrazine 7 1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos veol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochterines 7 2.4 Heave vietals 7 List of Abbrevietons and Acronyms 7 References 8	1 1	Diuron	7
1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos scol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochlorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviewons and Acronyms 7 References 8	1.3 Ametryn 7 1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos scol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochorines 7 2.4 Heavyletals 7 List of Abbreviewons and Acronyms 7 References 8	1.1	Atrazine	7
1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos scol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochlorines 7 2.4 Heavy yletals 7 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms 7 References 8	1.4 Simazine 7 1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos oco 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochlorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviewons and Acronyms 7 References 8	1.3	Ametryn	
1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos ocol 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.0 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms 7 References 7	1.5 Hexazinone 7 1.6 2,4-D 7 1.7 Tebuthiuron 7 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos ven 7 1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochlorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms 7 References 8	1.4	Simazine	
1.6 2,4-D	1.62,4-D71.7Tebuthiuron71.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos oco71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochlorines72.4Heavy Metals7Clossary7References8	1.5	Hexazinone	7
1.7Tebuthiuron.71.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos scon71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon.7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin.72.2Dioxins.72.3Organochlorines.72.4Heavy Metals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms.7References8	1.7Tebuthiuron.71.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos scon71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon.7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins.72.3Organochlorines.72.4Heavy Vietals7List of Abbrevietuons and Acronyms.7References8	1.6	2,4-D	7
1.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos oxol71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochlorines72.4Heavy Vietals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References8	1.8Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos ocol71.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochlorines72.4Heavy Metals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References8	1.7	Tebuthiuron	7
1.9Endosulfan71.10MEMC71.11Diazinon7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochlorines72.4Heavy Metals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References8	1.9 Endosulfan 7 1.10 MEMC 7 1.11 Diazinon 7 Appendix 2: Other contaminants 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochtorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviewons and Acronyms 7 References 8	1.8	Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos	7
1.10MEMC71.11Diazinon7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochlorines72.4Heavy Metals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References8	1.10MEMC71.11Diazinon7Appendix 2: Other contaminants72.1Tributyltin72.2Dioxins72.3Organochlorines72.4Heavy Metals7List of Abbreviations and Acronyms7References8	1.9	Endosulfan	7
1.11Diazinon	1.11Diazinon	1.10	MEMC	7
Appendix 2: Other contaminants 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochtorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms 7 Glossary 7 References 8	Appendix 2: Other contaminants 7 2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochtorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviewons and Acronyms 7 Glossary 7 References 8	1.11	Diazinon	7
2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins 7 2.3 Organochlorines 7 2.4 Heavy Metals 7 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms 7 Glossary 7 References 8	2.1 Tributyltin 7 2.2 Dioxins	Appendi	ix 2: Other contaminants'	7
2.2 Dioxins	2.2 Dioxins	2.1	Tributyltin	
2.3 Organocmorines	2.3 Organocmorines	2.2	Dioxins	
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	List of Abbreviations and Acronyms	2.3	Organochorines	····· / ·
Glossary	Glossary	Z.4 List of Ab	Heavy vietals	/ ح
References 2	References A	Clossory		יןיי ד
		Boforonce		/ ع
		Kelerene		
\diamond				
	J. T.	\mathbf{X}		
WED B.	NED T	ר (
JKED BY	SKED			

Index of figures

Figure 2: Management framework for applying the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines Figure 3: Location of reefs within the three cross-shelf water bodies in the Great Barrier Reef.. 13 Figure 4: Conceptual relationships (De'ath G and Fabricius K (2008)) 14 Figure 5: Estimated seasonal variation of chlorophyll concentrations in the Great Barrier Reef, Figure 6: Partial effects of Secchi and chlorophyll concentration on ecosystem status Figure 7: Partial effects of SS, PN and PP on the four measures of ecosystem health 07 **Index of tables** Table 1: Approximate water body delineations of the open coastal, midshelf and fishore marine Table 3: Guideline trigger values for SS, PN, and PP26Table 4: Marine data sets for diuron (Sunderam et al 2000)31

Table 5: APVMA 2005 ecotoxicity testing for effects of diuron
32

 Table 6: The NRA Review 1997 ecotoxicity data for effects of analytication of the second s

Table 7: Marine data sets for atrazine (Sunderam et al 2000)
33

 Table 10: Additional marine data on toxicity effects of atrazine
 36

Table 14: Toxicity data for simazine 2004
39

 Table 17: The APVMA 2006 equation of the effects of 2,4-D
 41

Table 18: Marine data sets for the buthiuron (Sunderam et al 2000)
42

Table 19: Marine data for phyrifos (Sunderam et al 2000)

Table 21: Marine data sets for endosulfan (Sunderam et al 2000)
46

 Table 22: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of endosulfan.
 47

Table 26: Summary of high and moderate reliability guideline trigger values for pesticides...... 50

iv

Acknowledgements

MARINE PARK AUTOPIN BOARD DECISION ON SUBECCIA The Water Quality Guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park have been prepared with the sistance of many organisations and individuals. This document was prepared by Carol Honchin (GBRMPA), Glenn De'ath (AIMS), Katharina Fabricius (AIMS), Leigh Gray (GBRMPA), Jon Brodie (Australian Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research), David Haynes (GBRMPA) and Andrew Moss (Department of Environment and Resource Management). The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority gratefully acknowledges the advice and assistance of the many who contributed to the development of these guidelines including: Dr Graeme Batley, Dr Michael Warne, Professor Keith Solomon, Dr Brad Eyre, Mr Colin Creighton and Professor Barry Hart for their expert review of the document; Project Managers of the Coastal Catchment Initiative's Water Quality Improvement Plans for their support in development; Du Pont Pty Ltd, in particular Professor John Green, and Syngenta, specifically Peter Arkle and colleagues for the additional ametryn, simazine and atrazine effects data.

1 Introduction

1.1 The Great Barrier Reef setting

The Great Barrier Reef is the largest reef system in the world and extends for over 2300 km along the northern Queensland (Australian) continental shelf (Figure 1). It consists of an archipelagic complex of over 2900 reefs and covers an area of approximately 344 000 km².

The Great Barrier Reef was declared a World Heritage Area in 1981, and internationally recognised by the World Heritage Committee for its Outstanding Universal Value. It remains one of only a small number nominated for all four natural criteria under the World Heritage Operational Guidelines:

- Exceptional natural beauty and aesthetic importance
- Significant geomorphic or physiographic features
- Significant ecological and biological processes
- Significant natural habitats for biological diversity.

The Great Barrier Reef's diversity reflects the maturity of the ecosystem, which has evolved over hundreds of thousands of years. It is the world's most extensive coral reef system and is one of the world's richest areas in terms of faunal diversity. A majority of its reefs are situated on the midand outer-continental shelf, and are located 40 to 150 km from the mainland. A significant number of reefs (ca 750) also exist at 'inshore' or 'neurshore' sites, within 40 km of the Queensland coast (Furnas and Brodie 1996). The reefs range in size from less than one hectare to more than 100 000 hectares, and in shape from the platform reefs to elongated ribbon reefs.

The Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Acea contains more than coral reefs. The diverse range of habitats includes extensive areas of seagass, mangrove, soft bottom communities and island communities. There are an estimated 1500 species of fish and more than 300 species of hard corals. More than 4000 molluscipacies and over 400 species of sponges have been identified. The islands and cays support everal hundred bird species, many of which have breeding colonies on the Great Barrier Reef

There are currently more than 70 Traditional Owner groups with cultural connections to sea country along the creat Barrier Reef Marine Park coast. Their traditional and cultural relationship centres on story telling, ceremonies, fishing, collecting and trading activities.

Great Bartier Reef industries such as tourism, recreational and commercial fishing are highly dependent on the marine environment. These valuable reef-based activities rely on a healthy reef ecosystem.

The Australian and Queensland governments, working with scientists, stakeholders and the community, have initiated a number of key plans and strategies aimed at halting and reversing the decline in the quality of waters entering the Great Barrier Reef. Key initiatives include:

- The Australian Government's Reef Rescue Plan, targeting improved farm management practices and supporting water quality monitoring programs
- The Australian and Queensland Government's *Reef Water Quality Protection Plan* 2003 (Reef Plan)
- The Australian Government's Coastal Catchments Initiative (CCI)
- The Australian Government's *National Water Quality Management Strategy* (NWQMS)

- The Queensland Wetlands Program •
- The Queensland Environmental Protection (Water) Policy 1997. •

These guidelines were developed to support those initiatives, and in particular, to compile the currently available scientific information to provide environmentally-based values for water 3.04.24 quality contaminants that, if reached, will trigger management actions.

These guidelines define trigger values that will be used to:

- Support setting targets for water quality leaving catchments
- Prompt management actions where trigger levels are exceeded
- Encourage strategies to minimise release of contaminants •
- Identify further research into impacts of contaminants in the Marine Park
- Assess cumulative impacts on the Great Barrier Reef ecosystems at local and recional levels
- Provide an information source for Natural Resource Management bodies industry, • government and communities.

It is important to note that the levels of contaminants identified in these studelines are not targets. Instead they are guideline trigger values that, if exceeded, identify the need for management responses.

Many management responses have already been determined brough some of the programs identified above including Water Quality Improvement Rhan being developed for the Great Barrier Reef catchments, regional natural resource management plans, and in industry best practice codes and management systems.

The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority as worked, and will continue to work, with a whi stakeholders and the community on what the trigger levels are, and how and where they apply.

2



Figure : The Great Barrier Reef region and its catchments

1.2

The Australian National Water Quality Management Strategy

REVOKE The Australian Government, in cooperation with state and territory governments, recognised that the development of a consistent national approach to water quality management was critical. This framework was developed and is presented in the National Water Quality Management Strategy (NWQMS) and includes the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2000 (ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000)).

> The ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines are designed to help users assess the quality of the water resource and its ability to sustain the environmental values identified. Should the measured water quality not meet the water quality guidelines, the waters may not be able to

maintain the environmental values. Management action should be triggered to either more accurately determine whether the water really is fit for purpose or to rectify the problem.

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines were not intended to be applied as mandatory standards. They recognised that there is significant uncertainty associated with the derivation and application of water quality guidelines across the many and varied waterways in Australia and

AINZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) emphasises the need to develop and adapt the guidelines to suit the local area or region. ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) incorporate protocols and detailed advice to assist users in tailoring the water quality guidelines to local conditions. A referential approach to deriving guidelines for coastal waters of the Great Barrier P these waters are already affected by polluted guidelines record. guidelines recognise that for the long-term management of any water resource, there must be:

- A designated and clearly articulated set of environmental values
- An understanding of the connections between human activity and environmental quality
- Unambiguous goals for management
- Appropriate water quality objectives
- Effective management frameworks, including cooperative, regulatory, and adaptive management strategies.

The broad national management strategy for application at a regional/local level is as follows (Figure 2):

Define ENWIRONMENTAL VALUES

Identification of the environmental values that people hold for water bodies, those that are to be protected, there that are to be improved, and their spatial locations

Define WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Specific water quality to be achieved taking into account social, cultural, political and economic concerns and applying relevant water quality guidelines that must be met to maintain the environmental values eg drinking water

Establish MONITORING and ASSESSMENT PROGRAMS

Focused on water quality objectives with statistical performance criteria to evaluate results. Gather information on sources of pollution and results of management

Initiate appropriate MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

Based on attaining or maintaining water quality objectives

REVOKED Figure 2: Management framework for applying the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines

> The Water Quality Guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park have been developed to address the first two steps of the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) process described in Figure 2. It is the intention that steps 3-4 will be addressed through the Marine Monitoring Program, and

through Water Ouality Improvement Plans being developed for the Great Barrier Reef catchments and in regional natural resource management plans.

1.3 Environmental values of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area

Environmental values are particular values or uses that a water body fulfills, or it is desired that it fulfill, in its communal use as a resource. There are currently six environmental values described in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines:

- •
- •

- •

Les desired that it Les desir All water resources will have at least one of these environmental values. The Great Barrier Reef is both a World Heritage Area and a multiple use Marine Park As set out in section 1.1 above, the values of the Great Barrier Reef include aquatic ecceptems, primary industries, recreation and aesthetics, and cultural and spiritual values. Many vessels and resorts rely on desalination for drinking water, and there is an increasing interesting desalination as a source of fresh water for adjacent coastal communities.

These guidelines have addressed the values of the Great Barrier Reef taking into account local and regional scale ecological and use values. Where two or more agreed environmental values are defined for a water resource, a more conservative set of guidelines will prevail. For the Marine Park the more conservative guideline will usually arise from the aquatic ecosystem protection value.

The management intent waters with aquatic ecosystem values depends on their current aquatic ecosystem condition and community needs and aspirations. Four levels of aquatic ecosystem condition and management intent are recognised in the Australian National Water Quality Management Strategy, two of which are currently considered relevant for Great Barrier Reef waters.

The two kiels of condition are high ecological value, and slightly disturbed. The management intent for waters with high ecological value aquatic ecosystems is to maintain the natural values of the ecosystems, including biotic, physical form, riparian vegetation, flow and physicochemical wher quality attributes. For slightly disturbed aquatic ecosystems the management intent is to maintain their current values, and improve their slightly disturbed attributes back towards their natural values.

Influence areas of river discharges from the Great Barrier Reef catchments (Maughan et al 2008) have been assigned an aquatic ecosystem condition of slightly to moderately disturbed. However, within these slightly to moderately disturbed waters, some areas have been explicitly recognised for their high ecological value. For example, the Marine National Park and Preservation Zones (GBRMPA 2003) are in place to protect representative examples of the entire range of habitats and biological communities (bioregions) that are found in the Great Barrier Reef. These zones are assigned a high ecological value even where they fall within the river discharge influence area.

Information will continue to be collected on water quality and aquatic ecosystem health through a number of monitoring programs to inform us about the waters that need improvement. An adaptive management approach will allow the findings of the monitoring to feedback to any necessary management actions.

REMORD BUNNING PARA AUTORITIES AND DECISION ON 3 DECISIONO

2 Primary management considerations

2.1 Environmental concerns

Protection of the ecological systems of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area from waterborne contaminants is recognised as one of the critical issues for management of the World Heritage Area (Haynes et al 2001, 2006). Evidence derived from modelling and sampling indicate that the export of sediments and nutrients from southern disturbed catchments to the marine environment has risen dramatically over the last 150 years (Furnas 2003).

There is also increasing evidence concerning the contamination of coastal ecosystems with a range of modern pesticide residues (Haynes et al 2000a, Mitchell et al 2005, Shaw and Müller) 2005). Degradation of inshore reefs of the Great Barrier Reef has been associated with increased terrestrial run-off of contaminants in the region between Port Douglas and the Whitsunday's (Udy et al 1999, van Woesik et al 1999, Fabricius and De'ath 2004; Fabricius et al 2005). Damage to both inshore and outer-shelf reefs of the central Great Barrier Reef from crown of thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) outbreaks has been attributed to increased terrestrial nutrient runoff (Brodie et al 2005). Degraded reefs in the regions, contrast starkly with unimpacted reefs offshore of Cape York (Fabricius et al 2005).

The potential impacts of declining water quality on ecosystems in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon have been synthesized and reviewed in recent years (Hutching: and Haynes 2000, Haynes et al 2001, Williams 2001, Baker 2003, Furnas 2003, Brodie et al 2005, Fabricius 2005, Fabricius 2005, Fabricius et al 2005, Schaffelke et al 2005, Brodie et al 2008). The 2005 *Special Edition of the Marine Pollution Bulletin* (Volume 51) provides a benchmark of information on a broad range of water quality issues for the Great Barrier Reef (Hutchings and Haynes 2005).

There is an immediate need to improve current and management regimes to minimise diffuse runoff and its impact on the Great Barrier Reef (Brodie et al 2001, Brodie and Mitchell 2005, Brodie et al 2008).

2.2 Management goals and policies

The Australian and Queensland governments have established programs aimed at halting and reversing the decline in the quality of water entering the Great Barrier Reef. The current programs operate over the ten years from 2003 to 2013.

The quality of the water entering the Reef is determined by a number of factors, primarily: the level and types of contaminants entering rivers and streams; the capacity of areas in the catchment of filter the water (such as riparian areas and wetlands), and the mitigation of downstream impacts of other actions such as land clearing, intensification of agriculture and deepedation of wetlands, which can result in increased sediment or chemicals flowing into the river system.

The Australian Government's Reef Rescue Plan seeks to deliver significant reductions in the discharge of contaminants to the Great Barrier Reef by, amongst other things, improving on-farm management practices.

Water Quality Improvement Plans developed by natural resource management bodies, or local government, in collaboration with government, industry and communities are an important component of the strategy for managing water resources. These plans are prepared consistent with the Framework for Marine and Estuarine Water Quality Protection. The key features include:

• The environmental values of the coastal water

- The water quality issues (eg contaminant levels and sources) and subsequent water quality objectives
- The load reductions of contaminant/s to be achieved to attain and maintain the water quality objectives
- Extension and adoption of management actions to address issues

This document derives evidence based guideline trigger values expected to sustain the health of the marine ecosystems. At this time its focus is on land-sourced contaminants. For parameters that are not presented in the guidelines they default back to the Operational States and the Guidelines 2006, which in turn default

We know that under present conditions concentrations sometimes exceed those set in these guidelines (particularly in flood events). Many actions are already being under the improve water quality and as those that reduce contamination of catchment waters are multiple implemented the situation is expected to improve. Careful consideration will be made of any monitoring results that are over the trigger values in deciding if any action is needed. The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority acknowledge and emphasise the importance of working with people to set appropriate short-term and long-term targets for the catchments that they live in, and supporting activities in their area that will improve local water quality and the sequently protect the health of the Great Barrier Reef.

For pesticides, non-naturally occurring contaminants, thereferred concentration for the health of the marine ecosystem is actually zero as even at very low concentrations effects can still occur, albeit not necessarily lethal. However the Guideline's apply widely accepted scientific rigour to the derivation of its trigger values in this first publication of the Great Barrier Reef guidelines and hence the concentrations presented within the document. Some natural resource management groups who have completed their Water Quality Improvement Plan development have adopted objectives of zero detectable pesticide soncentrations for ambient marine water quality with the support of the community and havour support for this more conservative response (eg Mackay Whitsunday Natural Resource Management Group 2008).

2.3 Variability and wertainties

There are still maximum incertainties about the effects that are, or may be, caused by contaminants in waterways, as the generation and delivery of them.

Effects

Biological effects levels for the pesticides and biocides in these guidelines are based on measurements under laboratory conditions. The need to translate these laboratory-based results to expected real world responses means that the assessment factors for conversion from acute to monic, and the pathway to the ecosystem at risk each have uncertainties associated with them. There is also a lack of tropical marine species testing.

Measurable endpoints of sediment and nutrient biological effects are not as clear as the pesticide and biocide effects. Cape York water quality has been used as a reference to represent historic natural condition and mixed statistically with data on effects levels and monitored values from the Great Barrier Reef waters (De'ath and Fabricius 2008). Trigger levels resulting from this approach might be more conservative, but it is apparent that the levels derived this way closely agree with the real data from areas of the Great Barrier Reef with higher species richness and lower per cent cover of macro algae. Furthermore, setting the trigger values conservatively is appropriate given the World Heritage status of the Great Barrier Reef.

Additive, synergistic and antagonistic effects complicate the setting of guideline trigger values, but are still poorly understood. Many of the contaminants discussed in these guidelines are delivered in flood events that occur in the summer. Warm, fresh, sediment laden, nutrient rich, chemical cocktail waters arrive on the ecosystems at a time when they may already be under pressure from high air temperatures as well as sensitive reproductive phases of their life cycles. Future findings may determine that, in consideration of this mixture being delivered, guideline trigger values for individual components may need to be revised downward.

Generation

FC-2A During the past two decades the export of sediment and nutrients from Great Barrier Regr catchments has been estimated using a range of modelling techniques. Models applied to Rece catchments include SedNet, Annex, EMSS, E2, LISEM, and Savanna. Of these approaches, the one that has been applied most frequently and received the most attention, both the modelling community, as well as the policy/decision making community is SedNet. U utilises spatially-distributed data to calculate a mean annual mass balance for an entire catement as well as each river link within a drainage network. As with all models SedNet is tasked on a set of assumptions and the model is only as good as the data that goes into Acknowledged uncertainties exist in particular around the following areas:

- Lack of a mechanism to account for storages of sediment in the acchieved
- The need for improved understanding of hydrological projess and hence, sediment and • nutrient transport
- The need for speciation of nutrients (rather than modelling just total nitrogen and total • phosphorus)
- A finer spatial and temporal resolution of and scape processes needs to be understood and modelled
- The landscape being generally poorly characterised, and input data for the models needs to be improved (eg hydrology, *paintall*, soils, Digital Elevation Models)
- Knowledge of how to scale by and down processes, parameters and data is relatively weak
- The need to explicit processing and routinely report uncertainty in the model, and place • confidence limits confidence l

Effort is being mad to address these matters and improvements in particular catchments have seen models reruntiath improved data (eg Tully Murray, Fitzroy). Better modelling gives us greater confidence of the key sources and fates of particular contaminants and will help to target the management actions that might need to be taken to address water quality issues.

Many management practices that are expected to minimise losses off farms have not had their water quality improvement effectiveness quantifiably determined. Work is being done to provide quantification which will help in making decisions about the most effective changes.

Review

2.4

New information is always arising. The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority plans to update and improve these guidelines over time as more information becomes available and as understanding improves on the effect of different qualities of water on ecosystem health.

A joint project between the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, James Cook University and the Australian Institute of Marine Science is underway to improve the understanding of the susceptibility of tropical marine species to pesticides as well as the combined effects of elevated sea surface temperatures (SST) and pesticides on tropical organisms. Understanding the

interactive effects of pesticides and climate change on seagrasses and corals is considered to be important for the future management of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.

A number of projects are underway that have been designed to improve the understanding of system responses. Some of the key projects are:

- Ongoing trials and demonstration farms applying practices to validate the expectations Index to see of the upper catchment to the Reef to better understand the source of sediments. Marine and estuarine indicators and thresholds of concern for each the primary provide
- •
- •
- •

One of the primary providers of science to support decision-making is the Marine and Tropical er many .arp.htm .arp Sciences Research Facility. Annual Research Plans are prepared that provide much more detail on investigations underway in the scientific community that focus on many of these matters and can be viewed on the worldwide web (www.rrrc.org.au/publications/arp.html)

3 **Spatial considerations**

3.1 **Boundaries along the Great Barrier Reef**

The Burnett-Mary, Fitzroy, Mackay-Whitsundays, Burdekin Dry Tropics, Wet Tropics, and Cape York natural resource management bodies working with governments have the responsibility to set targets for water bodies in their regions. Latitudinal differences in effects levels of the parameters presented in these guidelines were not evident in the data. However, current condition is markedly different in the respective regions. Analyses of the current condition of sediment and nutrients were run separately for each of the marine water bodies adjacent to the six natural resource management regions that border the Great Barrier Reef. The current condition of water bodies is outside the primary purpose of these guidelines but the mean annual values and standard errors for these parameters are contained in a separate report (De'ath and Fabricius 2008) published by the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority and provide an indication of the scope BOARDDECISH of water quality improvement needed for sediment and nutrient parameters.

3.2 Boundaries across the shelf

Five distinct water bodies have been defined for these guidelines:

- Enclosed coastal
- Open coastal •
- Midshelf •
- Offshore
- The Coral Sea

The approximate distances of the water body demeations for each of the natural resource management regions is discussed in the following paragraphs and is presented in Table 1.

The enclosed coastal water body is adjusted from the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006 (EPA 2006). This adoption facilitates complementarity between Queensland and Australian Government water quality guidelines in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.

The seaward limit of the enclosed coastal water body is the cut-off between shallow, enclosed waters near the estuary month and deeper, more oceanic waters further out. For estuaries that flow directly into open ceanic waters the seaward limit is defined as the mouth of the estuary enclosed by adapting the semicircle bay rule (6.1, Article 7, Maritime Limits and Baselines 1978). The semicircle rule adapted is:

A passage or estuary is closed by a semi-circle, with its diameter at the natural entrance(s) to the passage or estuary, drawn to extend beyond the entrance(s)".

Geoclary, the entrance is defined by the downstream limits of the drainage catchment of the equary (the heads). Where the heads are undefined, the catchment limits will need to be A stimated using other landscape elements.

Within an enclosed bay or strait, the seaward limit may be much further out from the mouth, depending on local hydrological and topographic conditions.

For estuaries flowing into an enclosed bay or strait, the seaward limit of the enclosed coastal water body should ideally be determined by site-specific studies to determine where the effective limit of freshwater mixing extends. Such studies should take into account factors such as bathymetry, water quality, salinity, residence times of water, aerial or satellite imagery, and seagrass distributions.

If no additional information is available, the default seaward limit should be based on the six metre depth contour below lowest astronomical tide.

The enclosed coastal water body has been comprehensively mapped for some areas (eg Fitzroy) and a program is underway to complete the remaining areas. Until the water body is mapped the open coastal guideline trigger value will be applied landward from its edge to the semicircle at the mouth of most river openings to the ocean.

The open coastal, midshelf and offshore water body delineations adopt a slightly modified version of the De'ath and Fabricius (2008) relative distance across the shelf boundaries, to recognise the enclosed coastal water body described above (Table 1). The De'ath and Fabricius (2008) relative distance delineation assumes the shoreline has a value of zero, and the edge of the continental shelf has a value of one.

The De'ath and Fabricius (2008) coastal water body delineation extends from 0 - 0, inshore water body from 0.1 - 0.4; and offshore water body from 0.4 - 1.0 (Figure 3; Table 1). The modification adopted in these guidelines is that the landward edge of the coastal water body delineation commences at the seaward boundary of the enclosed coastal water body rather than the shoreline. In addition, the coastal water body is renamed open coastal and the inshore water body is renamed midshelf.

Table 1: Approximate water body delineations of the open coasta	al, midshelf ar	ic offsh	ore marine wate	r bodies in the six
NRM regions		N		

NRM region	Open Coastal	Midsh	Offshore
	(km)	(km)	(km)
Burnett-Mary	EC^{*} -7	7-28	28-270
Fitzroy	EC [*] -20	2 0-80	80-340
Mackay-Whitsunday	EC [*] -15	15-60	60-280
Burdekin	EC [*] -12	12-48	48-180
Wet Tropics	EC [*] -6	6-24	24-170
Cape York	EC [*]	6-24	24-250

EC The seaward edge of the enclosed coastal water body as described above.

In the enclosed coastal and open coastal water bodies, re-suspension of sediments and associated contaminants occurs in the prevailing south-east wind regime at wind speeds greater than 25 knots (Orpin et al 1999). This area is also regularly subjected to freshwater plumes from major Great Barrier Reef eachment rivers (Devlin et al 2001). In some areas tidal re-suspension also contributes strengly to the enclosed coastal turbid zone (Kleypas 1996). Turbidity is generated by winds along the coast. These effects are not evident in the offshore water body, although in more extreme fibred events can affect the midshelf water body.

Coral Sea waters are contained within the Marine Park, seaward of the edge of the continental shell. At this time trigger values have not been determined for this water body and no further beforence will be made to it.

The delineation into enclosed coastal, open coastal, midshelf and offshore water bodies is particularly relevant for comparison of the current status of identified water bodies against guideline trigger values.



Figure 3: Location of reefs within the three cross shelf water bodies in the Great Barrier Reef

4 Temporal considerations

4.1 Acute versus long-term exposure

The concentrations of chlorophyll and some of the nutrients vary by more than an order of magnitude overtime, depending on wind, tides, weather and season. Few experimental data are available to assess causal relationships between long-term exposure (months to years) and contaminants and biotic responses (De'ath and Fabricius 2008). In some cases, short-term exposure to high contaminant loads has the same outcome as prolonged exposure to lower values (Weber et al 2006). Figure 4 shows the conceptual relationship between loads and durations of exposure to sediments, turbidity, salinity and benthic irradiance, with indicative effects concentrations set for relatively robust coral species. These values would require downward correction for more sensitive species.

In the enclosed coastal water body these guidelines adopt the concentrations for the various physico-chemical parameters directly from the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006 (EPA 2006). These guidelines are generally for application in normal base-flow conditions. Under extreme high or low-flow conditions, guideline application requires careful consideration. Further discussion on this consideration is presented in section 4 of the Queensland Water Quality Guideline 2006 (EPA 2006).

For slightly-to-moderately disturbed waters the guideline values are compared with the median of values at a test site (section 4 of EPA 2006). For high ecological value waters the guideline values are compared with the 20^{th} , 50^{th} and 80^{th} percentile of the natural values in these waters. The latter being presented only where adequate baseline data is available.

For open coastal, midshelf and offshore water bodies De'ath and Fabricius (2008) argue that short periods of high nutrient concentrations are ecologically significant, and such values are not reflected in median values. In contrast to medians, mean annual values capture and reflect (at least partially) both the frequency and magnitude of 'water quality events' (eg floods and other events that result in high values), and annual average values are therefore used as the measure for trigger values.



The curves are conceptual and exposure values are only indicative, and apply to relatively robust inshore corals. More sensitive species will respond at lower loads and/or shorter durations. Salinity is scaled as the deviation from the mean marine salinity (35 psu). The effects of variation in salinity are not well known, but as low-salinity events are usually limited from days to weeks, it is assumed that salinity concentrations are more important than the duration of exposure, resulting in an intersection of the injury and mortality curves. Like salinity, benthic irradiance is a stressor both at low and high levels. In general, corals have wide tolerance ranges to benthic irradiance, and only very low levels for prolonged periods of time, and very high levels result in stress. The tolerance of low benthic irradiance varies with the ability of corals to compensate through heterotrophic nutrition.

4.2 Seasonal changes in water quality

Concentrations of chlorophyll and some of the nutrients vary seasonally, related to higher nutrient inputs, temperatures and benthic irradiance in summer than in winter (Furnas 2003). Long-term averages of chlorophyll are about 70 per cent higher in March than in September in the Great

Barrier Reef (Figure 5, De'ath 2007b, Brodie et al 2007). River floods carrying new nutrients and sediments into the Great Barrier Reef are also most commonly observed in the late wet season when monsoonal rainfall is greatest (Devlin et al 2001, Furnas 2003, Brodie et al 2003). The relative contribution of river floods versus other intrinsic and extrinsic factors to this long-term seasonal pattern is not well understood. At intra-annual time scales, other processes add variability to nutrient and suspended solid concentration. Probably most importantly, concentrations in the inshore area are strongly dependent on wind and wave driven resuspension of material from the seafloor, and blooms of the nitrogen-fixing *Trichodesmium sp.* can also significantly increase nutrient concentrations.

Although trigger values should ideally include additional separate trigger values for flood conditions, this is currently impractical due to the unpredictable timing and varying intensity of monsoonal floods. Seasonally adjusted long-term averages rather than flood values are therefore used to define guideline trigger values for the time being, and are presented where sufficient data was available. Summer values are defined as January to March: winter values as Livy to September.





15

5 Determination of appropriate guideline trigger values

5.1 Introduction

A water quality guideline is a numerical concentration limit or narrative statement recommended to support and maintain a designated use of the water resource. ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) has developed guidelines with the intention of providing some confidence that environmental values will be maintained should they be achieved. These guidelines are a refinement intended to protect marine ecosystems of the Great Barrier Reef from exposure to particular contaminants. The derived trigger values are physical, biological and chemical specific estimates designed to initiate further management action should they be exceeded.

Exceedance of a trigger value indicates that there is a potential for an impact to occur, buildoes not provide certainty that an impact will occur. Exceedance activates management action. Action may include whether the source has been contained, evaluating whether any impact on ecosystem health has occurred, changing a land management practice, or any number of alternatives.

These guidelines have focused on deriving guideline trigger values for common rural diffuse land-borne contaminants. Justification for this focus comes from the Bert Plan supporting science that rural diffuse sources contribute the majority of contaminant loads to the Great Barrier Reef lagoon. For parameters that are not presented in these guidelines the default is to apply the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006, which in turn default to the *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2004*.

5.2 Indicator types

The types of organisms that were considered to these guidelines came from the following taxonomic groups:

- Fish
- Crustaceans
- Molluscs
- Annelids
- Echinoderms
- Green Algae
- Red Algae
- Macrophytes
- Corals
- Corate

5.3

Rerivation of sediment and nutrient guideline trigger values

The closed coastal water body trigger values have been adopted directly from the Queensland water Quality Guidelines 2006 (EPA 2006). Reflecting current data availability, this version provides regional guidelines for the Central Coast and Wet Tropics, as well as sub-regional guidelines for the Daintree high ecological value waters. No regional guideline is available for the Eastern Cape at this time. Regional guideline values were derived from the 80th percentiles of data collected at three or more reference sites.

Enclosed coastal water body slightly-to-moderately disturbed waters guideline values are the median of values at a test site (section 4, EPA 2006). High ecological value waters guideline values are the 20th, 50th and 80th percentiles of the natural values in these waters. The latter being presented only where adequate baseline data is available.

For open coastal, midshelf and offshore water bodies the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) was commissioned to analyse the more than ten years of sediment and nutrient data that

have been collected from the Great Barrier Reef and derive the trigger values for relevant parameters to protect the health of the marine ecosystem.

Nine water quality parameters were analysed: Secchi depth, chlorophyll, suspended solids, particulate, dissolved and total nitrogen, and particulate, dissolved and total phosphorus (De'ath and Fabricius 2008).

Two independent approaches were combined to define guideline trigger values for water quality:

- 1.C.2A Modelled relationships between the condition of reef biota, and the parameter. Secchi depth and water column chlorophyll concentration were used to identify the highest mean annual chlorophyll and lowest Secchi values that prevented high macroalgal cover and low coral and octocoral richness
- Analyses of the spatial distribution of water quality in Cape York waters. Since York is subject to only minor modification of land use its' water quality condition was taken to be consistent with reference sites (European Community 2005, Environmental Protection Agency 2006).

The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority expects that the second approach will generate substantial discussion about the appropriateness of applying Cape York water quality to other waters of the Great Barrier Reef. As was discussed in section 2.3 the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority acknowledges that there are still many uncertainties bout the generation and delivery of contaminants to waterways, as well as the effects that are, or may be, caused by them. At this time the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority takes the opportunity to remind the reader that the proposed application of these guidelines exciders this uncertainty (section 2.3 and 2.4). It is a much broader question (and outside the primery purpose of these guidelines) to consider what target might be achievable, given the current state of the system and the current level of technology.

Furthermore, the following considerations are relevant when deciding on guideline trigger values of stressors based on laboratory experiments:

Susceptibility varies great vetween species, and depends on size and life history stage within species. For example, whole-colony mortality from sedimentation is more likely in small than in large colories, while temperature stress may be size independent. Tolerance of low benthic irradiance may also be independent of colony size, while the settlement behaviour of cal arvae is very responsive to changes in benthic irradiance/turbidity. As ecosystem sensitivity depends on the most sensitive species or processes/functions, guideline trager values should be set to protect the most sensitive species, life history forms cosystem functions.

Texicity of mixtures such as additive, synergistic and antagonistic effects complicates the setting of guideline trigger values, but is still poorly understood. For example, crustose coralline algae are far more sensitive to damage by sedimentation when traces of the herbicide diuron are present (Harrington et al 2005). Other examples are that the uptake of dissolved inorganic nutrients in some benthic macro algae is diffusion limited (Hurd 2000), and that benthic macro algae may use additional nutrients predominantly where benthic irradiance is not limiting. Similarly, climate change is expected to increase the frequency of disturbances to reefs (through bleaching, ocean acidification, and the intensity of drought – flood cycles and cyclones; Fabricius et al 2007a), hence the importance of good water quality is even more important to maximise resilience and facilitate reef recovery.

Both concentration and duration of exposure often co-determine the severity of a **response**. Prolonged or chronic exposure to low levels of contaminants can be as detrimental as short acute exposure to high levels of contaminants. For example, the effects of sedimentation and high temperature increases linearly with amount and duration of exposure ie a coral exposed to high levels of sedimentation for a short period of time shows a similar level of photophysiological stress compared to one that is exposed to low levels of sedimentation for a prolonged period of time. Guideline trigger values should provide protection against both chronic and acute effects.

- Exposure-response curves of biota tend to be non-linear, and in some cases both upper and lower guideline trigger values may be required. For example, corals are highly 1. C. 2A tolerant of exposure to a wide range of nutrient and light levels, and only very low and very high levels lead to disease and mortality. In contrast, sedimentation invokes a monotonic response, with coral health declining with increasing exposure to sedimentation. Corals can also grow in a wide range of turbidity, with very low particle densities resulting in reduced heterotrophic nutrition, and very high density leading to reduced photosynthetic carbon gain. However, corals undergo photo adaptation in response to fluctuating light availability by adjusting zooxanthellae densities, which results in stress from photo inhibition for several days after particles have settled out, and stress from low photosynthetic carbon gains for several days after the water has become more turbid (Anthony and Hoegh-Guldberg 2003). Photo adaptation takes abound 7 - 10days, during which the coral photophysiology does not perform at optimum rates. Therefore it is the variability in turbidity rather than the absolute value that determines the level of stress at all but extreme levels of turbidity.
- **Exposure to nutrients, turbidity and sediments varies naturally along spatial gradients**. For example:
 - Light loss from turbidity will have far greater effects on coral communities in deep water than in shallow water.
 - Rates of sedimentation are generally greater in sheltered reef embayments and on lower back reef slopes than on wave-exposed reef slopes. Poorly flushed sheltered, deeper reef slopes in which stressors remain for extended periods are therefore more susceptible to impacts than well-flushed shallow areas from which stressors dissipate more rapidly.
- Toxicity and mortality thresholds based on short-term exposure experiments are not adequate endpoints to define trigger values, as long-term exposure at sublethal stress levels can still result in ecosystem degradation, due to reduced growth, reproduction and recruitment, and higher rates of mortality. Measures of ecosystem health that integrate over long periods of time (macro algal cover, reduced species richness in corals and octocorals) were therefore chosen. High macro algal cover is widely accepted as an indicator of reef degradation, and is also a causative agent of both mortality and failed reproduction in corals and a range of other reef organisms. Reduced species richness is generally the outcome of selective mortality, slower growth or failed reproduction of the more sensitive species exposed to severe environmental conditions.

These complicating factors are not specific to coral reefs but typical for many ecosystems and support the methods chosen for deriving guideline trigger values.

The guideline trigger value for Secchi depth is a mean annual water clarity minimum for each water body. However, areas with high tidal ranges experience intense resuspension regimes while chlorophyll and many of the nutrient concentrations in this zone are low. It is therefore advisable to decrease the guideline value for water clarity for areas with greater than 5 m tidal ranges, and an arbitrary value of 20 per cent is suggested for this purpose (eg Broad Sound). In the longer term, local tides and wave height might be included as additional factors in the models to assess ecosystem responses.

Trigger values for the other sediment and nutrient parameters derived with these methods are presented as annual mean concentrations that should not be exceeded. Mean values were chosen since exposure to high concentrations was considered ecologically important and De'ath and Fabricius (2008) argue that percentiles (eg medians) do not adequately reflect acute high values.

To account for seasonal variability, regional means were also calculated for the summer and winter quarters (wet and dry season, respectively) for each cross-shelf position within each of the natural resource management regions. Current conditions of waters adjacent to each natural resource management area are collated in De'ath and Fabricius (2008).

5.4 Toxicity data for deriving pesticide guideline trigger values

DEC.2A The preferred method for the derivation of toxicant trigger values is to collect data from multispecies toxicity testing ie field or mesocosm test that are able to represent the complex interactions of all species within an ecosystem (ANZECC and ARMCANZ 2000). However, many of these tests have not been conducted largely due to the significant costs associated undertaking these studies and the difficulty in ascribing causality to specific stressors whilk removing confounding agents.

Biological effects concentrations data established by direct toxicity testing ar presented in tables in this document.

Guideline trigger values for pesticides were derived as outlined below. Mronic exposure was defined for multi-celled organisms as being greater than 96 hours and for single-celled organisms as being equal to or greater than 72 hours (Warne 2001).

High reliability guideline trigger values –

REVOKED

A high reliability trigger value requires chronic no observed effect concentration (NOEC) toxicity data for five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups to apply the Burrlice statistical distribution method (Warne 2001). Chlorpyrifos was the only pesticide that met this requirement. These guidelines adopt the high reliability trigger value from the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines for chlorpyrifos.

Moderate reliability guideline trigger values – • Where the minimum data-requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups was met, the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) was used to derive guideline trigger values for ecosystem protection.

Effects concentrations for particular endpoints were entered into the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) to determine concentrations protective of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

Where a no observable effects concentration (NOEC) was available for a species this was used in preference to applying assessment factors to either chronic or acute lethal concentration or effects concentration to fifty per cent of the test species (LC or EC50s) toxicity measures (Warne 2001). Lowest observable effects concentrations (LOECs) were not used in the derivation of trigger values.

Where an acute to chronic ratio was available it was applied as an assessment factor to convert acute LC or EC50s to chronic NOECs before entering the data into the software. Where there is no acute to chronic assessment factor, the default assessment factor of 10 was applied. A factor of 5 was applied to convert chronic EC or IC50s to chronic NOECs.

Where two toxicity values were reported for the same endpoint in the same species the geometric mean of the two results was entered (Van de Plassche et al 1995).

• Low reliability guideline trigger values –

Where the minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups is not met a low reliability guideline can be derived in a number of ways. Except for MEMC and hexazinone these guidelines adopt the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) method of using a freshwater guideline with lower reliability.

There was sufficient EC50 or LC50 data available for hexazinone to apply the division of the lowest of the acute values by 100 (OECD 1992) to provide a low reliability guideline trigger value.

ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) did not include an assessment of MEMC. Data have since become available on the toxicity of this fungicide. Since there are data on only one taxonomic group an assessment factor of 1000 was applied to the effect concentration to convert an acute effect to a chronic for the guideline trigger value.

5.5 Level of protection

The goal of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority is the long term protection and maintenance of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park and World Heritage Area. In considering the establishment of trigger values, for pesticides (and the one bio rate derived) concentrations protective of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species have been calculated.

For high ecological value water bodies, a guideline concentration that is protective of 99 per cent of species is ideal. In section 1.3, the environmental values of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park were discussed. Regardless of the current condition of the waters (high ecological value, slightlyto-moderately-disturbed or highly-disturbed), upuatic ecosystem protection is the highest environmental value currently applied to the entire World Heritage Area.

The trigger values are chosen to be applied in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park case regardless of the current condition of the econystems, or indeed regardless of the flow of water. Even in ecologically highly-disturbed trawlegrounds reaching effect levels of pesticides and biocides on the species being trawled would be unacceptable. Therefore, trigger values for these parameters as derived in these guidelines apply to all of the five water bodies at the derived concentration protective of 99 per cent of species. Our aim will be that for any water in the marine park, the concentrations are below the guideline trigger values although it is acknowledged that, for some of the time, for some of the waterways, they are currently likely to be exceeded during seasonal events.

Notwithstanding the application to all water bodies, where the assessment of current condition is better than the long-term guideline trigger values presented here, or the state or national guidelines, the precautionary long-term approach is to adopt an objective that is equal to current condition so that water quality does not degrade (eg in some cases in the Mackay Whitsunday water Quality Improvement Plan 2008, Rohde et al 2006; 2008). The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority wholeheartedly support the implementation of more stringent objectives, and the implementation of strategies to achieve them. These guidelines are based on scientific evidence of effect levels in accordance with the national strategy.

In order to ensure the health of the marine ecosystem significant consideration must be given to the preservation of food webs, in particular the primary producers. Given the mode of action of many of the pesticides it is possible that a higher weighting should be given to effect responses that occur in plants rather than in animals. At present, no weighting is applied in the statistical distribution application and so the data will tend to be biased towards the more acute mortality endpoints on animals such as fish and crustaceans that require extrapolation to chronic effects.

There is also a lack of data relating to the toxicity of many contaminants to those primary producers in the tropical marine ecosystem.

Finally, additive, synergistic and antagonistic effects complicate the setting of guideline trigger values, and are still poorly understood. Further research on additive, synergistic and antagonistic 3-04-024 effects of various contaminants, their interactions with each other and the influence of additional stressors on the observed toxicity (eg whole effluent toxicity) is currently underway and will inform future revisions of this document.

5.6 Consideration of sublethal effects

In the last four to five years, there has been quite a lot of research published on photos in the sis. gross primary production and carbon uptake suppression effects of a number of pestivides. These responses are generally reversible, and are not universally accepted as appropriate and points for deriving toxicity guidelines. They have been left out of derivations in these guidelines.

However, there is concern that these responses may be an indicator of subjective limpacts, the minimisation of which could prove critical to the health and protection of the ecosystem. The concern about sublethal effects is heightened particularly if additional invironmental stressors are involved, eg high temperatures (section 6.3), storm damage, sedimentation, elevated nutrient levels etc. The consequence of inclusion of this sublethal date inderivation of trigger values for particular pesticides is presented in section 6.6 primarily for future consideration, completeness and a precautionary awareness.

Species such as the coral *P. damicornis* that are dependent on photosynthesis for energy eterover and a set of the set of contributions are more sensitive to the effects operative in terms of reproductive development and may be bioindicators of ecosystem impress Further research on these responses is

21

6 **Guideline trigger values**

6.1 Introduction

officion on supercipation Each water quality guideline trigger value is discussed in the relevant section below. Information used in the determination of the water quality trigger value for sediment and nutrients is extracted from De'ath and Fabricius (2008).

Guideline trigger values have been derived for the following physical and chemical parameters:

- Water clarity (Secchi depth)
- Chlorophyll a (as a proxy for dissolved inorganic nitrogen) •
- Suspended solids
- Particulate nitrogen •
- Particulate phosphorus •
- Sedimentation
- Temperature
- Several pesticides and one biocide.

6.2 Sediments and nutrients

The enclosed coastal water body guideline trigger values are accepted from the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006 (EPA 2006). This adoption facilitates a complementarity between Queensland and Australian Government water quality generations in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park.

For open coastal, midshelf and offshore water one a large number of studies and reviews exist that have demonstrated that high levels of notion and sediment lead to deteriorating ecosystem health in coral reefs (reviewed in Fabricius 2005) and many other benthic systems. Some of the studies that quantified exposure levels and physiological and ecological effects on coral reef biota are listed and summarised in De'ath and Fabricius 2008. This data supports the choice of the derivation approach for sediment and nutrient parameters outlined below.

The review shows that most experiments were not designed to determine trigger or target values, because:

- Most of the studies do not follow internationally accepted ecotoxicity protocols
- Most studies that investigate acute short-term exposure to high concentrations do not usually determine lethal or half-way effects concentrations (LC50 or EC50)
- Few soldies investigate the effects of chronic exposure, and in most of these, the 'no observed effects concentrations' (NOEC) are not systematically determined
- Response data are generally available for one or few species of corals, but rarely for any other trophic level (eg algae, crustacean or fish species).

he determination of the guideline trigger values therefore applied the approaches outlined in section 5.3.

Delineation into open coastal, midshelf and offshore water bodies is relevant for comparisons of current condition of identified water bodies against the guideline trigger values. Since these comparisons are not the focus of these guidelines they have not been included. However, the comparisons have been collated (De'ath and Fabricius 2008) and will be important background material for informing what catchment actions might need to be taken to deliver reductions in the inputs to the Great Barrier Reef waters, and how that might be achieved.

6.2.1 Water clarity (Secchi depth) and chlorophyll a

Lack of water clarity is a key indicator of poor water quality and is an essential environmental factor for phototrophic organisms that dominate coral reefs, seagrass meadows and the seafloor microphytobenthos (De'ath and Fabricius 2008).

Since inorganic nutrients are quickly taken up by phytoplankton, the effects of increased nutrient loads may be expressed as increased phytoplankton biomass, which is readily measured as chlorophyll *a* concentration, a biological trophic status indicator of the water body (Brodie and Furnas 1994). There are extensive data sets for chlorophyll *a* concentrations in waters of the Great Barrier Reef. Data summaries and analyses have been published eg Brodie and Furnas 1996, Furnas and Brodie 1996, Brodie et al 1997, Furnas and Mitchell 1997, Devlin et al 2001, Haynes et al 2001, Furnas 2003, Brodie et al 2005.

FC-2A

The enclosed coastal water body guideline trigger values are adopted from the Qaeonsland Water Quality Guidelines 2006 (EPA 2006). Regional guideline values are derived from the 80th percentiles of three or more reference sites. Sub-regional guidelines are available for Daintree waters and can be referenced in the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006 (EPA 2006).

For the remaining water bodies a different methodology was applied to derive the trigger values. These two water quality parameters explained 38 per cent of the variation in phototrophic coral richness, 29 per cent for macroalgal cover, and 25 and 21 per cent of richness in hard coral cover, respectively (De'ath and Fabricius 2008). Due to their important roles, the availability of extensive data, and their inclusion in ongoing monitoring programs through semi-automated monitoring stations, most analytical effort was given to water clarity (here measured as Secchi disk depth, in m) and total chlorophyll (μ g/L). The highest chlorophyll and Secchi values that prevented high macroalgal cover and prevented major reductions in coral and octocoral richness were identified. These values are in the range of 0.4-0.5 μ g/L mean annual chlorophyll and 10-15 m Secchi depth (Figure 6).

The following water quality data sets were used (more details of the data and methods are described in De'ath, 2007):

- Water clarity based on Secchi depth (m): a composite of Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries seagrass monitoring data (Rob Coles) and Australian Institute of Marine Science data (Miles Furnas and co-workers, K. Fabricius and co-workers).
- Total chlorodyll (μg/L): A data set composed of the data from the Great Barrier Reef Marine Kark Authority and Australian Institute of Marine Science long-term chlorophyll monitoring program over the period 1992-2006, and the Australian Institute of Marine Science lagoon water quality chlorophyll data.
 - Agoon water quality data: Collected by Miles Furnas and co-workers (AIMS) between 1988 and 2006. These include a suite of physical and chemical water quality data, including chlorophyll (chl (μ g/L), suspended solids (SS (mg/L)), particulate phosphorus (PP) and particulate nitrogen (PN)), total dissolved phosphorus and nitrogen (TDP and TDN) and total phosphorus (TP = PP + TDP) and total nitrogen (TN = PN + TDN).

Another important nutrient-related interaction on reefs of the Great Barrier Reef, and through the Indo-Pacific generally, is that between the coral-eating crown-of-thorns starfish (*Acanthaster planci*) and reef condition. It is now believed that outbreaks of *A. planci* are associated with broad scale nutrient enrichment from land run-off and subsequent phytoplankton blooms leading to enhanced survivorship of *A. planci* larvae (Brodie et al 2005). The critical chlorophyll *a* concentration range at which larval survivorship becomes significantly enhanced is $0.5 - 0.8 \mu g/L$ (Brodie et al 2005). This is further support for the guideline trigger value for chlorophyll *a* concentration to be in the order of $0.5 \mu g/L$ in the larval period of *A. planci* (November to February) to ensure *A. planci* outbreaks are minimised.

Based on the two approaches outlined in section 5.3, and the supporting evidence of the COTS survivorship threshold, guideline trigger values were derived for mean annual water clarity (Secchi depth) and mean annual chlorophyll concentration for the open coastal and midshelf water bodies. Where data for the offshore water body demonstrated better water quality than derived trigger values the current condition of those water bodies is adopted as the guideline value.

Areas with high tidal ranges experience intense resuspension regimes while chlorophyll and many of the nutrient concentrations in this zone are low. It is therefore advisable to decrease the guideline value for water clarity for areas with greater than 5 m tidal ranges (eg Broad Sound) and an arbitrary value of 20 per cent is suggested for this purpose. In the longer term, local tides and wave height might be included as additional factors in the models to assess ecosystem responses.

It is important to emphasise that although improvements in water quality to below the suggested trigger levels will lead to substantial ecosystem benefits, the trigger levels represent an achievable compromise between the current water quality status and that of a pristine system.

Table 2: Guideline trigger values for water clarity and chlorophyll a

Parameter\Water Body	Enclosed coastal (Wet Tropics/Central Coast)	open Coastal	Midshelf	Offshore
Secchi (m) (minimum mean annual water clarity) ¹	1.0/1.5	10	10	17
$Chl a (\mu g/L)^2$	2.0	0.45	0.45	0.4

¹ At shallower depths Secchi will be visible on the seafloor. Guideline trigger values for water clarity need to be decreased by 20% for areas with greater than 5 m tidal ranges. Seasonal adjustments for Secchi depths are presently not possible due to the lack of data

due to the lack of data. * Chlorophyll values are ~40% higher in summer and the lower in winter than mean annual values.



The vertical dashed line indicates values found in coastal waters of Cape York. Relative distance across and along were included in the model but not shown here. The plots suggest substantial improvement in reef status (higher biodiversity of hard corals and phototrophic octocorals, lower macroalgal cover) at water clarity of 5 - 15 m Secchi depth and chlorophyll of 0.3 – 0.6 tg/L. REVOKEDB

6.2.2 Suspended solids, particulate nitrogen and particulate phosphorus

Due to the high correlation between particulate nitrogen, particulate phosphorus, suspended solids and Secchi, it is not possible to resolve their individual effects on ecosystem health (although it is clear that they do have effects) and inclusion of all variables simultaneously leads to spurious conclusions about those effects (De'ath and Fabricius 2008).

To obtain approximate guideline trigger values, to provide some measure of quantum of improvement required in the current status of the water quality of these parameters, the responses of biota to each of the water quality variables SS, PN and PP were analysed separately, with relative distance across and along being included in all models (Figure 7). Note that in contrast to the previous analyses, the effects of these analyses are not additive. Partial effects plots for biotic responses and predictive errors for biotic responses to Secchi and chlorophyll were similar when both variables were analysed separately compared to when both were included in the model simultaneously (not shown).

Macroalgal cover increased about four-fold with SS increasing from 1.2 to 2.0 mg/L, and remained high above 2.0 mg/L. Macroalgal cover also increased by more than 50 per cent (from 7 to 11 per cent) with PN increasing from 0.9 to 1.6 μ mol/L (12.6 to 16.8 μ /L); and by ~40 per cent (from 8 to 11 per cent) with PP increasing from 0.04 to 0.14 μ mol/L (2.24 to 4.34 μ g/L).

Hard coral richness steeply declined with SS, with highest values at less than 0.8 mg/L SS and low richness at greater than 2.0 mg/L. It also declined with PN and PP, with highest values at less than 1.0 μ mol/L PN (14 μ g/L) and less than 0.06 μ mol/L PP (25.2 and 3.1 μ g/L).

The declines in phototrophic octocoral richness were much steeper than those of the hard corals. Richness was highest at less than 1 mg/L SS, 1.0 µmol/L PN, and 0.05 µmol/L PP (14 and 1.55 µg/L). Richness was up to 50 per cent lower with SS was greater than 2.0 mg/L SS, 1.6 µmol/L PN and 0.10 µmol/L PP (22.4 and 3.1 µg/L). Beterotrophic richness did not respond much to SS and PN, and only weakly declined with PR increasing above 0.08 µmol/L (2.48 µg/L).

The mean annual values for coasta and midshelf waters in Cape York are 2.24 and 1.39 mg/L SS, respectively (De'ath and Fabricus 2008). For PN, they average 1.49 and 1.48 μ mol/L (20.86 and 20.71 μ g/L), respectively, and tor PP, these values are 0.090 and 0.080 μ mol/L (2.79 and 2.48 μ g/L).

Based on the biotic responses and the concentrations found in Cape York, guideline trigger values of maximum analysis means are selected for SS, PN and PP for the open coastal and midshelf water body. For PN and PP, these suggested similar trigger values are supported by both the concentrations found at the reference site and those obtained from the response curves. For SS, the response curves suggested that trigger values should be lower than the concentrations presently found in the coastal zone of Cape York, to prevent extensive macroalgal cover and loss of brodiversity. The offshore water body current condition shows better water quality than the derived trigger values. The Great Barrier Reef mean of all the offshore water bodies is adopted here as the guideline value.

Table 3: Guideline trigger values for SS, PN, and PP

	Enclosed coastal	Open		
Parameter ¹ \Water Body	(Wet Tropics/Central Coast)	Coastal	Midshelf	Offshore
SS (mg/L)	5.0 ² /15	2.0	2.0	0.7
PN (µg/L)	-	20	20	17
PP (μg/L)	-	2.8	2.8	1.9

¹ Seasonal adjustments for SS, PN and PP are approximately ±20 per cent of mean annual values.

² No regional data was available for suspended solids for the Wet Tropics. The current condition mean annual concentration for the enclosed coastal water body is adopted here as a guide.



Figure 7: Partial effects of SS, PN and PP on the four measures of ecosystem health Dashed vertical lines show trigger levels.

6.2.3 Sedimentation

REVOX

Fine sediments can affect corals through smothering and abrasion caused by direct settlement (Rogers 1990, van Katwijk et al 1993, Riegl 1995, West and Van Woesik 2001, McClanahan and Obura 1997, Philipp and Fabricius 2003). Corals use energy to remove sediment through polyp motion and mucus shedding, and this may reduce coral fitness (Riegl and Branch 1995).

Sediment impacts on corals can include changes to coral population structure and colony size, altered growth forms, inhibition of recruitment and reduced growth and survival (Tomascik and Sander 1987, Rogers 1990, Babcock and Davies 1991, Wittenberg and Hunte 1992, Gilmour 1999, Anthony 2000, Anthony and Fabricius 2000, Babcock and Smith 2002).

Low concentrations of sediments and particulate mucopolysaccharides released by bacteria and other microrganisms can coat corals (Fabricius and Wolanski 2000, Fabricius et al 2003). The removal of such aggregates is energy expensive, creating a metabolic drain that may reduce reproductive capacity and the organism's capacity to grow (Stafford-Smith 1993, Riegl and Branch 1995, Telesnicki and Goldberg 1995).

Recovery from sedimentation stress varies between species (Stafford-Smith and Ormond 1992, Wesseling et al 1999). Early life stage corals are at most risk from accumulated sediment brough prevention of larval settlement (Hodgson 1990, Gilmour 1999), or burial of the juvenile recruit (Babcock and Davies 1991, Babcock and Mundy 1996, Fabricius et al 2003). Sedimentation is also suspected to adversely impact abundance of crustose coralline algae, and to influence the development of algal turfs. Both of these effects will compromise coral recruitment (Birrell et al 2005, Harrington et al 2005).

A number of independent experiments have shown that a chronic exposure to <10 mg/cm2/day sedimentation induces significant coral recruit mortality (De'ath and Fabricius 2008). Rogers (1990) proposed a threshold for healthy reefs at 10 mg/cm2/day sedimentation, moderate to severe effects on corals at 10 to 50 mg/cm2/d, and severe to catastrophic effects at >50 mg/cm2/day.

Other studies have shown that chronic levels of semimentation higher than 3 mg/cm2/day induces mortality in coral recruits, while levels higher than 10 mg/cm2/day reduce coral species richness, coral cover, coral growth rates, calcification and productivity of corals, and reef accretion (De'ath and Fabricius 2008).

Fabricius et al (2003) and Weber et al (2006) have shown that sedimentation effects not only increase with the amount of sediment but also with organic and nutrient content and with decreasing grain size.

Experimental evidence suggests that 10 mg/cm²/day sedimentation is valid in areas with coarse calcareous sediments, but trigger levels need to be lower where sediments are largely of terrigenous origin, of small grain size or of high organic content (De'ath and Fabricius 2008).

Based on existing experimental and field evidence, a sedimentation trigger value of a maximum mean annual value of 3 mg/cm²/day, and a daily maximum of 15 mg/cm²/day (De'ath and Fabricius 2008) is set. This value is set with a low confidence, as more field data are needed. The value thosen is expected to guard against excessive coral recruit mortality and includes an uncertainty factor for higher organic content or small grain sizes.

Hydrodynamic settings determine to what extent ecosystem stress is due to sedimentation and to what extent due to turbidity. In areas of low hydrodynamic energy, stress due to sedimentation will exceed the stress due to light attenuation. At high hydrodynamic energy, where sediments tend to remain in suspension, the reverse is true (De'ath and Fabricius 2008). In the longer term, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority will consider the development of sediment quality guidelines. Such guidelines would aim to include trigger values for sediment nutrient concentrations, which at elevated levels may cause toxicity through the development of excess pore water ammonia and hydrogen sulphide.

A guideline trigger value is established at a **maximum mean annual sedimentation rate of 3 mg/cm²/day**, and a daily maximum of 15 **mg/cm²/day**.
6.3 Temperature

Temperature is included in these guidelines because it is clear that corals suffer physiological stress when water temperatures increase above normal maxima. The most visible sign of this stress is coral bleaching, which can lead to coral death if elevated temperatures persist for 6-10 weeks. Sea temperature increases of 1°C above the long-term average maximum (calculated from the last 20 years) are all that is required to trigger coral bleaching (Hoegh-Guldberg 1999, Coles and Brown 2003). Both the intensity and duration of temperature anomalies are important in determining the timing and severity of bleaching responses. Higher temperatures can cause bleaching over a shorter exposure time, while lower temperatures require longer exposure times. While temperature is the trigger for bleaching, light also influences the severity of bleaching impacts (Jones et al 1998), the consequence being that long, still, cloudless periods in areas affected by anomalously warm temperatures are often the worst affected by bleaching.

:c.2A

Bleached corals are still living and, if stressful conditions subside soon enough, zevanthellae can repopulate their tissues and the corals can survive the bleaching event. However, even corals that survive are likely to experience reduced growth rates (Goreau and Macfarlane 1990), decreased reproductive capacity (Ward and Harrison 2000), and increased susceptibility to disease (Harvell et al 1999). During a bleaching event, exposure to other stressors, such as pathogens, contaminants or sedimentation, can significantly exacerbate the impact of coral bleaching.

An important synergy exists between bleaching stress and water quality. Degraded water quality affects various life stages of corals, including the health of established colonies and the success of larval recruitment (McClanahan 2002). In light of these implications, consideration should always be given to limiting particular coastal activities during periods of increased temperature stress. This reduces the risk of damage to coral communities that could result from negative interactions between stressors such as turbidity and temperature. Such a strategy could also reduce the risk that developers will be held responsible for any coral mortality that could be due to bleaching.

A guideline trigger level for sea temperature is set at increases of no more than 1°C above the long-term average maximum.

6.4 Pesticides

The use of pesticides (herbicides, insecticides, and fungicides) in Great Barrier Reef catchments has increased progressively in areas under crop cultivation (Hamilton and Haydon 1996). Seven main herbicides use in widespread use throughout the Great Barrier Reef catchment and are being widely detected in fresh and marine waters of the Great Barrier Reef region. The herbicides are diuron, atruzne, ametryn, simazine, hexazinone, 2,4 -D, and tebuthiuron (Klumpp and Westerningen 1995, Noble et al 1996, Haynes et al 2000ab, McMahon et al 2003, 2005; Duke et al 2005, Mitchell et al 2005, Douglas et al 2005, Rohde et al 2005, Shaw and Muller 2005, Fandul et al 2007, Lewis et al 2007, Prange et al 2007, Prange 2008).

Variable pesticide concentrations in the water are a consequence of many factors including:

- Catchment proximity to the system
- Intensity and methods of application
- Sorption and partitioning coefficients of the pesticide
- Chemical, physical, and microbial breakdown rates
- Temperature of the system
- History of flushing events (Hamilton and Haydon 1996, McMahon et al 2003)

Muller et al (2000) concluded that herbicides, particularly diuron, atrazine and ametryn, are the most likely contaminants to reach the marine environment of those currently in use. These herbicides have relatively long half-lives, high water solubility, and are found in the sediment at high concentrations. The climate of the growing regions and proximity to the water body contribute to their potential to contaminate.

Although banned since the late 1980s, persistent organochlorine compounds have been detected in waters and marine biota in Australia (Kurtz and Atlas 1990, Kannan et al 1995, Klumpp and von Westernhagen 1995, Moss and Mortimer 1996, Haynes et al 2000b). They were applied to control weeds and insects in agriculture and had a number of urban applications (Hamdorf 1992, Klumpp and von Westernhagen 1995). At this stage, a guideline has not been proposed for organochlorine compounds. Comparison of results from earlier studies suggests levels of DDT/DDE ratios are dropping which is consistent with discontinuation of use. Management strategies that minimise the release of other contaminants to the freshwater and marine environment will also work to minimise organochlorine release.

FC-24

Concentrations of herbicides detected in Great Barrier Reef waters sometimes of ceed biological effect levels for marine organisms. While it is not certain that exposure to herbicides is causing ecosystem level environmental effects, sufficient concern exists to warrant establishment of guideline trigger value concentrations, environmental monitoring, and ongoing management to minimise the release of these contaminants to freshwater and marine environments.

Several keystone marine organisms of the Great Barrier Reet including corals (Jones and Kerswell 2003, Jones et al 2003, Owen et al 2003, Råberget al 2003, Jones 2004, Negri et al 2005, Markey et al 2007), seagrass (Haynes et al 2000b, Palph 2000, Macinnis–Ng and Ralph 2003, Chesworth et al 2004), mangroves (Duke et al 2003, Bell and Duke 2005) and algae (Schreiber et al 2002, Harrington et al 2005, Bengston Nash et al 2005ab, Magnusson et al 2006, Seery et al 2006) are sensitive to herbicides that are currently applied within Great Barrier Reef catchments.

Contaminants can affect corals in a variety of ways including reduction of photosynthesis in zooxanthellae (Jones and Kerswell 2003, Owen et al 2003, Negri et al 2005), reduced fertilisation and metamorphosis (Mercurio et al 2004, Markey et al 2007), and by causing expulsion of zooxanthellae (Jones and Kerswell 2003, Jones et al 2003, Markey et al 2007). As most adult corals rely on symbiotic divolagellates to provide additional energy requirements for colony functioning, this may result in a loss of fitness in the host coral polyp (Jones et al 2003). Pesticides may also impact directly on the physiology of corals. Insecticides are more likely to be the causative agent for this toxicity than herbicides.

Sublethal effects have not been included in derivations of guideline trigger values. However, these responses may be an indicator of sublethal impacts the minimisation of which could prove critication the protection of the ecosystem. Discussion of sublethal effects is presented in section 6.6 purther research on the importance of these responses is recommended.

The aim of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority is the long-term protection and maintenance of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park and World Heritage Area. In considering the establishment of trigger values, for pesticides (and the one biocide derived), concentrations protective of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species have been calculated.

For high ecological value water bodies, a guideline concentration that is protective of 99 per cent of species is ideal. In section 1.3, the environmental values of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park were discussed. Regardless of the current condition of the waters (high ecological value, slightly to moderately disturbed or highly disturbed), aquatic ecosystem protection is the environmental value currently applied to the entire World Heritage Area. The trigger value applies regardless of the current condition of the ecosystems. Even in the highly-disturbed trawl grounds any effects of pesticides and biocides would be unacceptable. Therefore, trigger values for these parameters as derived in these guidelines apply to all of the five water bodies at the derived concentration protective of 99 per cent of species.

In order to ensure the health of the marine ecosystem significant consideration must be given to the preservation of food webs, in particular the primary producers. There is a paucity of data relating to the toxicity of many contaminants to those primary producers in the tropical marine ecosystem. Given the mode of action of many of the pesticides it is possible that a higher weighting should be given to effect responses that occur in plants rather than in animals. At present, no weighting is applied in the statistical distribution application and so the data will tend to be biased, which in practice tends to be towards the more acute mortality endpoints on animals such as fish and crustaceans that require extrapolation to chronic effects.

Finally, additive, synergistic and antagonistic effects can complicate the setting of guideline trigger values, and are still poorly understood.

Time of exposure to contaminants is important, as the early life stages of corals are most at risk from herbicides. Spawning generally occurs around November to December each year, a time that often coincides with wet season rainfall and subsequent high run-off events containing concentrated pulses of contaminants. Added stressors such as high water temperatures and low salinity at this time when the highest herbicide concentrations are likely to reach the marine environment increase the concern for the ongoing health of the cosystems (Haynes et al 2000b).

6.4.1 Diuron

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) (Table 4) has two marine data sets reported for diuron.

Species	Bffect conc. μg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
Fish			
Mugil curema (white mullet	6300	Mortality	LC50, 48h Acute
Invertebrates			
Crassostrea virginisa	3200	Growth	LC50, 96h Acute
(eastern oyster)			

Table 4: Marine data sets for diuron (Sunderam et al

The following marine data have been extracted from the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicine, Authority (APVMA 2005) preliminary review findings for diuron, Volume I and II (Table 5). This review relies largely on data from the US Environmental Protection Agency Pestoide Ecotoxicity Database, current as of March 2002 (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2004). The number of studies and taxonomic groups represented by the data provide sufficient information to develop a formal guideline.

The same data have been used by the US Environmental Protection Agency in their Reregistration Eligibility Document (RED) for diuron, which is publicly available (US Environmental Protection Agency 2003). As these guidelines derive trigger values for management action it was considered appropriate to use all of the available scientifically sound data. Table 5: APVMA 2005 ecotoxicity testing for effects of diuron

	Organisms and comments	Toxicity, µg/L	Year	US EPA
		test substance	reported	category
		(95% CL)		
	Fish			
	Striped mullet (Mugil cephalus)	6300 (NR)	1986	S
	tech. (95%) static	48h, acute		
	Sheepshead minnow (<i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i>)	6700 (NR)	1986	Core
	99% active constituent; static	96h acute		
	,	NOEC = 3600		
	Invertebrates			20
	Mysid shrimp (<i>Mysidonsis bahia</i>)	LC50 = 1100	1987	Core
	99% active constituent: static	96h acute		
		NOEC = 1000	0	
	Mysid shrimp (<i>Mysidonsis bahia</i>)	28d LOEC =	1992	Core
	96.8% active constituent: early life stage	560		0010
	static	NOEC = 270		
	Brown shrimp (<i>Penaeus aztecus</i>)	LC50 = 1000	1 986	S
	95% active constituent: flow-through	48h acute		
	Eastern oyster (<i>Crassostrum virginica</i>)	EC50 = 4690	1991	Core
	96.8% active constituent; flow-through	96h icute		
	.,	NOE 2400		
	Eastern oyster	EC50 = 3200	1986	Core
	(Crassostrum virginica); 96.8% active	96h acute		
	constituent; flow-through	2		
	Algae			
	Dunaliella tertiolecta 95% active construent	EC50 = 20	1986	S
	static	240h chronic		~
	Platymonas sp. 95% active	EC50 = 17	1986	S
	constituent static	72h chronic	1,00	~
	Porphyridium cruentum (recalgae)	EC50 = 24	1986	S
	95% active constituent static	72h chronic	1900	2
	Monochrysis lutheri	EC50 = 18	1986	S
	95% active constituent static	72h chronic	1,00	
	Isochrysis gallana	FC50 - 10	1986	S
	95% active constituent static	72h chronic	1700	
	Marine tianoms	, 211 entronite	I	<u> </u>
	Navana incerta 95% active	EC50 - 93	1986	S
	construent static	72h chronic	1700	
	Witzschia clostarium 95% active constituent	FC50 - 50	1986	8
l l	Atatic	72h chronic	1700	6
*	Phaeodactylum tricornutum	FC50 - 10	1086	2
, O ,	95% active constituent static	240h chronic	1900	د ا
	Stauronais amphoroides	EC50 - 21	1096	S
Δ^{\vee}	95% active constituent static	$\frac{1000 - 31}{72h \text{ chronic}}$	1900	6
N	Thalassiosira fluviatilis	FC50 = 05	1086	C
	1 natassiosira juuvianus	ECJU = 95 $72h observed$	1900	د ۱
	93% active constituent static		1096	<u> </u>
	Cyclotella nana	EC30 = 39	1986	5
	95% active constituent static		1007	
	Ampnora exigua	EC30 = 31	1986	5
	95% active constituent static	/2n chronic		

The minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups was met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) was used to derive a guideline trigger value for ecosystem protection. An assessment factor of 10 was applied to convert acute LC or EC50s to chronic NOECs, and a factor of 5 to convert chronic EC/IC50s to chronic NOECs. The endpoint values were entered into the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) to determine a concentration protective of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species. Where two toxicity values were reported for the same endpoint in the same species (eastern oyster) the geometric mean of the two results was entered (Van de Plassche et al 1995).

Moderate reliability guideline trigger values of 0.9, 1.6 and 2.3 μg/L have been derived for diuron for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

6.4.2 Atrazine

The National Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Australia, reviewed the registration of atrazine in 1997 (NRA 1997). The review has five marine data sets for atrazine (Table 6). The review found that atrazine showed continued betential to contaminate ground and surface waters and that some safety margins were narrow. Becommendations were made to reduce aquatic contamination, and to conduct monitoring to trace effects and strategy effectiveness. The review quotes the threshold for aquatic ecosystem effects at about 20 μ g/L for the Australian aquatic environment. Drinking water quality is quoted at around 0.5 μ g/L. However, the issue of including certain metabolites of anasine is raised and the suggestion is that doing so would lower the guideline value.

Species	Effect conc. µg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
Fish	<u>い</u> 、		
Cyprinodon variegatus (sheepshead minnow)	19 000	Mortality	LC50, Acute
Crustaceans			
Acartia tonsa	94	Mortality	LC50, Acute
(calanoid copepod)	4300		
Mysidopsis bahia	5400	Mortality	LC50, Acute
(opossum shrhap)			
Diatom N			
Skeletonema costatum	55	Growth	LC50, Acute
Alge			
Qunaliella tertiolecta	170	Growth	LC50, Acute

Table 6: The NRA Review 1997 ecotoxicity data for effects datazine

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) (Table 7) has fourteen marine data sets reported for atrazine.

Table 7: Marine data sets for atrazine (Sunderam et al 2000)

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
	μg/L		
Fish			
Cyprinodon variegatus	2300	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h
(sheepshead minnow)			
Cyprinodon variegatus	2000	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity measure]
Cyprinodon variegatus	16 200	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	-
Leiostomus xanthurus (spot)	8500	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	
Crustaceans				
Acartia tonsa (calanoid copepod)	94	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	
<i>Eurytemora affinis</i> (calanoid copepod)	2600	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	SK-
Eurytemora affinis	13 200	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	Þ
Eurytemora affinis	500	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	
Mysidopsis bahia	5400	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	
(opossum shrimp)				
Mysidopsis bahia	1000	Mortality	LC50, Acute of	
Penaus duorarum	6900	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	
(pink shrimp (america))		-		
Eurytemora affinis	17 500	Mortality	NOEC Chronic, 192h	
(calanoid copepod)				
Eurytemora affinis	4200	Mortality	NOEC, Chronic, 192h	
Eurytemora affinis	12 250	Mortality	NOEC, Chronic, 192h	

The Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC 2005) published a book on a probabilistic aquatic ecological risk assessment of atrazine in northern American surface waters in 2005 that included additional marine data sets for atrazine (Table 8). Toxicity data published prior to 1980 were not included, as they were considered to be unreliable due to advances in experimental and analytical capabilities since that time (Warne 1998).

Table 8: Marine data sets for toxicity effects of atraxine (SETAC)

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	μg/L		measure	
Crustaceans				
Palaemonetes pugio 📿 🗸	9000	Mortality	LC50	Ward and
(grass shrimp)			Acute, 96h	Ballantine 1985
Tigriopus brevice mis	121	Mortality	LC50	Forget et al 1998
(copepod)			Acute	
Diatom				
Skeletonena costatum	50	Growth	EC50, Acute	Walsh et al 1988
Minutocellus polymorphos [*]	20	Growth	EC50, Acute	Walsh et al 1988
Skeletonema costatum	24	NR	EC50	US EPA 2002
Algae				
Dunaliella tertiolecta	170	Growth	EC50, chronic	Hughes et al 1988

This test was not included in the SETAC publication but was conducted in the same manner as the *Skeletonema sp* test and therefore is added here.

The following data published in SETAC 2005 were not used in the derivation of the trigger value (Table 9). For *Cyrpinodon variegatus* an LC50 was unobtainable (reported as greater than 16 000) then the NOEC was calculated from this using an assessment factor estimate. For *Crassostrea virginica* the same applies (although the LC50 was reported as greater than 30 000). There is no need to use 'greater than' data when there is sufficient measured data available, so this data has been excluded. In addition, a more stringent assessment factor for atrazine conversion from acute to chronic toxicity has been determined.

The *Mysidopsis bahia* effect concentration appears to have been misreported from the original paper. The effect concentration is 94 μ g/L and this is the same test as reported in Table 7.

The Phaeodactylum tricornutum test was conducted in freshwater medium and is therefore, in accordance with the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) derivation procedures, not used in deriving the marine trigger value.

The copepod work referenced to Thursby et al 1990, the algal work of Thursby and Tagliabue 1990, and the Hoberg 1993c are not scientific publications and are therefore excluded.

3.04.24 Only a bibliographic citation was able to be retrieved on the Mayer 1987 reference. The description advises that acute toxicity data since 1961 were evaluated for quality and a pachase established. Test methodology was not able to be confirmed and the data was excluded. Was expected that much of the testing would have been pre-1980 and therefore would also have been excluded under the Warne (1998) provision. Inclusion of the data in the Burrlio a derived trigger value in the same order of magnitude.

The *Potamogeton pectinatus* tests were conducted in salinities between and 12 parts per thousand, are therefore not considered to be marine data, and are not used in deriving the marine trigger value.

The Malcolm Pirnie 1986 work was not referenced in the book and was unable to be found in google scholar searches or other library research.

	Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	Fish	μg/L		measure	
	Cyprinodon variegatus	1789	Mortality	NOEC	Ward & Ballantine 1985
	(sheepshead minnow,				
	<i>Mollusc</i>	25			
	Crassostrea virginica	30 000	Mortality	LC50	Ward & Ballantine 1985
	(eastern oyster, embryo)			Acute	
	Crustaceans Musidonsis herei	020	Mortality	1.050	Ward & Pallanting 1095
	(opossum shrimp)	920	Montanty	chronic, 28d	waru & Danantine 1985
	Acartia daušii	7945	Mortality	LC50	Thursby et al 1990
	(copepod)	02		Acute	T 1 (11000
	Active a tonsa (Copepod)	92	Mortality	Acute	Thursby et al 1990
	Penaus aztecus	1000	Mortality	LC50,	Mayer 1987
.07	(brown shrimp)			Acute, 48h	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Diatom		~ .	NODO	14005
A.Y.	Phaeodactylum tricornutum	15	Growth	NOEC	Mayasich et al 1987
•	Skeletonema costatum	260		EC50, Acute	Mayer 1987
	Skeletonema costatum	14		NOEC	Hoberg 1993c
	Algae				
	Potamogeton pectinatus	7.5		NOEC	Hall et al 1997
	(sagu)				

Table 9: Excluded SETAC 2005 atrazine toxicity data

Laminaria saccarina	33.2	NOEC	Thursby and Tagliabue	
(brown algae)			1990	
D. tertiolecta	170	EC50,	Malcolm Pirnie 1986	
		chronic		
Chalmydomonas sp	60	EC50	Mayer 1987	
(green algae)		Acute		N
Platymonas sp	102	EC50, Acute	Mayer 1987	っい
Chlorella sp	143	EC50, Acute	Mayer 1987	
D. tertiolecta	300	EC50,	Mayer 1987	[
		chronic		

Since the publication of the book a further publication on the effects of atrazine on marine species has become available and is reported here for inclusion in the derivation (Table 10).

Table 10: Additional marine data on toxicity effects of atrazine

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	μg/L		measure	
Phytoplankton			<b>N</b>	
Dunaliella tertiolecta	69	Growth	EC50	Weiner et al 2004
		Q	Chronic, 96h	
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	61	Growth	EC50	Weiner et al 2004
		°.	Chronic, 96h	
Synechoccus sp	44	Growth	EC50	Weiner et al 2004
		て	Chronic, 96h	
Isochrysis galbana	91	Orowth	EC50	Weiner et al 2004
			Chronic, 96h	

The minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups was met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) was used to derive a guideline trigger value for ecosystem protection. Combining the accepted data sets above provides at least five acute LC50 values. The geometric mean of the chronic NOECs for the coperod *Eurytemora affinis* was entered in preference to the acute to chronic converted LC50 value. Where more than one toxicity value was reported for the same endpoint in the same species the geometric mean of the values was used (Van de Plassche et al 1995). An assessment factor of 20.21 was applied to convert acute EC/LC50 data to chronic NOEC data. A modified van de Plassche et al (1993) scheme applied in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines recommends an assessment factor of five be applied to convert a chronic EC50 data. The endpoint values were entered into the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) to determine a concentration protective of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

Moderate reliability guideline trigger values of 0.6, 1.4 and 2.5 µg/L have been derived for atrazine for the protection of 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

### 6.4.3 Ametryn

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) has no marine or freshwater data sets for ametryn. Data on effects on marine species is available from the US Environmental Protection Agency Pesticide Ecotoxicity Database, current as of March 2002 (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2004, Table 11).

Table 11: US EPA toxicity data for ametryn

Organisms and comments	Toxicity, µg/L	Year	US EPA
	test substance (95% CL)	reported	category
Fish			
Sheepshead minnow ( <i>Cyprinodon</i>	LC50 = 5800	1989	Core
variegates) 96.7% active constituent;	acute, 96h		
static	NOEC = 2800		
Invertebrates			
Mysid shrimp ( <i>Mysidopsis bahia</i> )	LC50 = 2300	1989	Core
96.7% active constituent; juvenile mysid	(1700-2900)		
static	acute, 96h		
Quahog clam (Mercenaria	EC50 = 11000	1989	S
<i>mercenaria</i> ) 96.7% active constituent;	Acute, 48h		<b>`</b> O`
embryo/larvae, static	2000	1005	
Brine shrimp (Artemia salina), active	EC50 = 33000	1995	Not standard
constituent – instar II-III larvae,	Acute, 24h		OS EPA test
Distores			·
	<b></b>		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Acanthes brevipes, 100% active	EC50 = 19	4986	S
constituent	Chronic, 72h	$D_{c}$	
Navicula incerta 100% active	EC50 = 97	1986	S
constituent	Chropic, 72h		~
Nitzschia closterium 100% active	EC50 = 62	1986	S
constituent static	Chronic, 72h		
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	EC50 = 20	1986	S
100% active constituent static	Chronic, 240h		
Stauroneis amphoroides 🛛 💦 💫	EC50 = 26	1986	S
100% active constituent static	Chronic, 72h		
Thalassiosira guillardii	EC50 = 55	1986	S
100% active constituent static	Chronic, 72h		
Algae (green)			
Neochloris sp.	EC50=36	1986	S
100% active constituent static	Chronic, 72h		
Platymonas sp 100% active	EC50 = 24	1986	S
constituent	Chronic, 72h		
Algae (brown)			
Iscorysis galbana	EC50 = 10	1986	S
nov active constituent static	Chronic, 240h		
Sunaliella tertiolecta, 80WP	EC50 = 20	1986	S
	Chronic 240h		

The minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups was met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) was used to derive a guideline trigger value for ecosystem protection. The software does warn however that the number of data are small and that results should be interpreted with caution. As trigger values the authors consider it reasonable to use the results.

Where a NOEC was reported for a species this was used in preference to converting acute to chronic toxicity measures. There is no acute to chronic assessment factor for ametryn and therefore the assessment factor of 10 was applied to convert acute LC or EC50s to chronic

NOECs, and a factor of five to convert chronic EC/IC50s to chronic NOECs. The endpoint values were entered into the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) to determine a concentration protective of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

Moderate reliability guideline trigger values of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.6 µg/L have been derived for ametryn for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

f. C. V

#### 6.4.4 Simazine

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) has no marine data sets for simazine. In the absence of marine data the moderate reliability freshwater guideline concentration was applied with a low reliability. These are 0.2, 3.2 and 1 µg/L respectively, for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

Since the publication of ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) data on effects on marine species has become available from the US Environmental Protection Agency under their Encangered Species Effects Determinations and Consultations documentation. Data for simazine 2003. The acute toxicity data for estuarine and marine organisms are mostly 'greater than' data that do not provide information that can be used in a derivation exercise. There is only for one invertebrate shrimp and several aquatic plants (Table 12). There are no chronic NOPC toxicity data.

Table 12: US EPA toxicity data for simazine 2003		A
Organisms and comments	Toxicity, µg/	Source
Invertebrates	$\sim$	
Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp)	LC60=113000	EFED
	agute, 96h	
	<b>9</b> 8.1 % ai	
Aquatic Plants		
Isochrysis sp	EC50=500	EFED
	Chronic,10 day	
Phaeodactylum sp.	EC50 = 500	EFED
A	Chronic,10 day	
Skeletonema sp.	EC50 = 600	EFED
	Chronic,5 day	
Dunaliella sp	EC50 = 5000	EFED
	Chronic,10 day	

In addition to the data published in the US EPA document the chemical company Table 13: Toxicity data for Syngenta provided the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority with additional test data on No of the aquatic plants (Table 13), and a further study was conducted on the sea

Organisms and comments	Toxicity, µg/L	Source
Aquatic Plants		
Skeletonema sp.	EC50 = 1040	Syngenta
	Chronic	
Dunaliella sp	EC50 = 2000	Syngenta
	Chronic	

Table 14: Toxicity data for simazine 2004

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity
	µg/L		measure
Fish			
Sparus aurata	4190	Mortality	LC50
(sea bream)		-	Acute, 72h
Sparus aurata	2250	Mortality	NOEC
Sparus aurata	4500	Growth	NOEC

 Mortality
 NOEC

 4500
 Growth
 NOEC

 The minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups is not met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method to derive a guideline trigger value cannot be applied. The OECD (1981) approach was not as prescriptive as Warne and specified five data points that represented at least the basic trophic levels; aquatic plants, crustaceans and fish. This less prescriptive test would be met by the data set atmough clearly the set is small.

The BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) was run, although this is not the standard adopted for the derivation of the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines and the value is provided only out of interest as a comparative. The endpoint values were entered into the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) to determine a concentration protective of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species. A modified variate Plassche et al (1993) scheme applied in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines recommends an assessment factor of five be applied to convert a chronic EC/LC50 effect to a curonic NOEC, so this was used. Where two toxicity values were reported for the same endpoint of the same species the geometric mean of the two results was entered (Van de Plassche et al 1995). The NOEC for the seabream mortality test was used in favour of the LC50. The software does warn that the number of data are small and that results should be interpreted with caution. Guideline trigger values of 30, 59 and 88  $\mu$ g/L result from applying this method.

There are at least three chronic EC50 or LC50 values in the data sets provided. Applying the assessment factor of five as described above but to the lowest of the effects concentrations of the set of three results in a guideline trigger value of  $100 \mu g/L$  for simazine, which is between one and four orders of magnitude greater than the freshwater guideline adopted by ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) depending upon what per cent of species protection is being considered.

If simazine is identified as a contaminant for which greater certainty of the guideline trigger value is required we note that an additional invertebrate toxicity test, preferably a zooplankton to meet the minimum that requirement (Warne 2001), would be of most benefit.

Given the low reliability of any of these derivation methods at this stage, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority adopts the more conservative ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guideline, applying the moderate reliability freshwater guideline with a low reliability.

A low reliability guideline trigger value of 0.2, 3.2 and 11  $\mu$ g/L is applied for simazine for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

### 6.4.5 Hexazinone

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) has no marine data sets for hexazinone. A low reliability freshwater guideline was calculated for hexazinone as 75  $\mu$ g/L.

Since the publication of the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) water quality guideline data on effects on marine species has become available from the US Environmental Protection Agency Pesticide Ecotoxicity Database (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2004, Table 15).

Table 15: US EP	A toxicity data for hexazinone
-----------------	--------------------------------

Organisms and comments	Toxicity, µg/L test substance	Year reported	, C.2A
Crustacea			S [™]
Decapoda sp (grass shrimp)	LC50 94 000 98% ac	1984	3.35
Palaeomonetes pugio, (daggerblade grass shrimp)	LC50 78 000 95% ac	2000	2017
Mollusca			
Crassostrea virginica (eastern oyster)	LC50=560 000 acute, 48h 95 % ai	EFED	
Crassostrea virginica (eastern oyster)	LC50=320 000 acute, 48h 95 % ai	E C D	
Diatom			
Skeletonema costatum	EC50 = 100 NOEC = 4	EFED	

These data support the ANZECC and ARMCADZ (2000) adopted freshwater guideline concentration. They still provide insufficient data to meet the minimum requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong at least four different taxonomic groups, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method to derive a guideline trigger value cannot be applied.

There are at least three acute EC50 or LC50 values in the data set provided. Therefore the lowest of the acute values is divided by 100 (OECD 1992) to provide a low reliability guideline trigger value of 1.2  $\mu$ g/L for hexactione which is over an order of magnitude lower than the freshwater guideline adopted by ANXECC and ARMCANZ (2000).

A low reliability guideline trigger value of  $1.2 \mu g/L$  is adopted for hexazinone.

6.4.6

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) has five marine data reported for 2,4-D (Table 16).

able 16: Marine data for 2,4-D (Sunderam et al 2000)

2.4-D

Species	Effects conc. μg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
Fish			
<i>Fundulus similis</i> (longnose killfish)	3000	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 48h
<i>Mugil curema</i> (white mullet)	1500	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 48h

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity measure	
Crustaceans	με			
<i>Chasmagnathus granulata</i> (crab)	6 730 000	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 72h	1
Chasmagnathus granulata	3 370 000	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	
Molluscs				انی
<i>Mytilus edulis</i> (common bay mussel, blue)	259 000	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h	SEV .
Concerns about potential risks t	o people and to the e	nvironment an	d off-target crops led to	<b>B</b>

Concerns about potential risks to people and to the environment and off-target crops led to the APVMA announcement, in October 2006, of the suspension of products containing high-volatility ester forms of the herbicide 2,4-D http://www.apvma.gov.au/chemrev/2,4-D shtml (accessed 28 Feb 2007).

The APVMA published preliminary review findings in 2006 in relation to approvals for 2,4-D related products (APVMA 2006abcdef). 2,4-D is available in a number of forms and the toxicity of the forms is variable. Endpoint results from the APVMA database mediated for all forms in Table 17. The geometric mean of the effects concentration was used in the BurrliOZ (Campbell et al 2000) analysis.

Only the preliminary review findings for high volatile esters had been released at time of writing. The APVMA proposed to find:

*'that it is NOT satisfied that continued use* ..... *would not be likely to have an unintended effect that is harmful to animals, plants or things in the environment.'* 

The preliminary risk assessments for aquatic tion and invertebrate for acid and salt forms was found to be acceptable, but unacceptable for esters. Risk assessments for algae and aquatic plants were unacceptable for all forms.

A refined risk assessment for the high volatile esters considered the risks from broadcast and nonbroadcast use to be acceptable. Direct application of 2,4-D esters in aquatic situations was found to have unacceptable risk. Short chain high volatile ester forms have been recommended for discontinuation because of their increased risks.

Ester forms are identified as having higher toxicity to marine species than other forms. Several forms of ester arc included in the reports. Two of the forms, butoxyethyl and isopropyl, are not used in Australia and their endpoint toxicity results have been excluded. The acid form is not as soluble as pair or ester forms and as such is not used as often commercially. Evidence in the literature indicates that amine salts are not persistent under most environmental conditions, dissociating rapidly to the acid equivalent, hence acid data may be used to characterise the risk to marine fish.

Table 17: The APVMA 2006 ecotoxicity data for effects of 2,4-D

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity
	µg/L		measure
Fish			
Menidia beryllina	175 000 A	Mortality	LC50
(tidewater silverside)	469 000 S	-	Acute
	187 000 S ae		
	203 000 S ae		

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	
	μg/L		measure	
Molluscs				
Crassostrea virginica	57 000 A	Growth	EC50	
(eastern oyster)	146 000 A	inhibition	Acute	
	136 000 S			
Crustaceans				الماني
Penaeus duorarum	554 000 A	Mortality	LC50	
(pink shrimp)	150 000 S		Acute	$\bigcirc$
Diatoms				્ઝ્
Skeletonema costatum	$150 E^*$ ae	Growth	LC50	2
	129 000 S $ae^+$	inhibition	Acute	D.

A = acid form; E = ester form; S= salt form

Authors do report issues analysing this result with nominal concentrations overestimating actual exposure A plotted equation effects concentration

ae = acid equivalent

By combining the data in the two tables above the minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups is met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) can be used to derive a guideline trigger value for ecosystem protection. The software does warn however that the number of data are small and that results should be interpreted with caution, be trigger values the authors consider it reasonable to use the results.

An assessment factor of 10.22 was applied for conversion of acute data to chronic NOECs. Where more than one toxicity value was reported for the same endpoint in the same species the geometric mean of the values was entered (Var de Plassche et al 1995). The effect concentration values were entered into the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) to determine a concentration protective of 95, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

Moderate reliability guideline targger values of 0.8, 30.8 and 152 μg/L were derived for 2,4- D for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

# 6.4.7 Tebuthiukon

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) has three marine data reported for tebuthiuron (Table 18).

	$\sim$	
Table 18 Marin	data sets for tehuthiuron	(Sunderam et al 2000)

Species	Effects conc. µg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
, Oustaceans			
Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp)	84 000	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 48h
Penaeus duorarum	48 000	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h
Diatom			
Skeletonema costatum (diatom)	38	Growth	NOEC, Acute

With the limited marine data available, the high reliability freshwater guideline concentrations were adopted in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines as low reliability guideline of 0.02, 2 and 20  $\mu$ g/L respectively for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

A low reliability guideline trigger values of 0.02, 2 and 20 µg/L is applied for tebuthiuron for protection of 99,95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

#### 6.4.8 **Chlorpyrifos / Oxon**

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) has more than 45 marine data sets reported for chlorpyrifos (Table 19). The ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines derive high reliability trigger values for marine water for chlorpyrifos of of 0.0005, 0.009 and 0.04  $\mu$ g/L respectively for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

	Species	Geometric mean of Effects conc. µg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
	Fish	10		S
	Atherinops affinis (topsmelt)	5.0	Mortality	2C50, Acute
	Cyprinodon variegatus (sheepshead minnow)	185	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Fundulus grandis (gulf killifish)	1.8	Montality	LC50, Acute
	Fundulus heteroclitus (mummichog)	4.7	ortality	LC50, Acute
	Fundulus similis (longnose killfish)	3.7	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Fundulus sp.	470	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	<i>Leiostomus xanthurus</i> (spot)	×.0	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Leuresthes tenuis (California grunion)	1.9	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Menidia beryllina (inland silverside)	5.0	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Menidia menidia (atlantic silverside)	1.9	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	<i>Menidia peninspice</i> (tidewater silverside)	1.3	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Mugil ceptorus (striped nullet)	5.4	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	<i>Opsanns beta</i> (guite)oadfish)	263.9	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Sustaceans			
,0 ⁴	Ampelisca abdita (amphipod)	0.39	Mortality	LC50, Acute
all'	Calllinectes sapidus (blue crab)	5.2	Immobilisation	EC50, Acute
	<i>Mysidopsis bahia</i> (opossum shrimp)	0.04	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Palaemonetes pugio (daggerblade grass shrimp)	1.5	Immobilisation	EC50, Acute
	Palaemonetes pugio	1.7	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Penaus aztecus (brown shrimp)	0.2	Mortality	EC50, Acute

Table 19: Marine data for chlorpyrifos (Sunderam et al 2000)

Species	Geometric mean of	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
	Effects conc.		
	μg/L	Mantalitar	EC50 A secto
(pink chrimp)	2.4	Mortality	EC50, Acute
(plink silililip) Phanorymius abronius	0.1	Immobilization	EC50 Aguta
(amphipod)	0.1	mmoomsation	EC30, Acute
Rhenorynius abronius	0.1	Mortality	I C50 Acute
Malluga	0.1	Wortanty	LC50, Addic
Mouuse			
Crassostrea gigas	2000	Developmental	EC50, Acute
(pacific oyster)	07.0		
Crassostrea gigas	95.8	Growth	EC50, Acute
Mytilus galloprovincialis	22 500	Mortality	LC50, Acute
(Mediterranean mussel)			
Green algae			
Chlorococcum sp.	2000	Growth	NOEC, Acute
(green algae)		4	
Diatoms			
Amphiprora sp.	2000	Growth	NOEC. Acute
Amphora coffeaeformis	10 000	Grown	NOEC. Acute
Nitzschia closterium	10 000	Growth	NOEC. Acute
Skeletonema costatum	600	Growth	EC50. Acute
Skeletonema costatum	1200	Growth	NOEC. Acute
Thalassiosira pseudonana	150	Growth	EC50. Acute
Dinoflagellates	2		
Gonvaulax sp	2000	Growth	NOEC Acute
(dinoflagellate)		Growth	11020,110400
Fish	- S		
Lourosthos tonuis		Mortality	NOEC Chronic
(California grunion)	. 0.4	Wortanty	NOLC, Chrome
Leuresthes tenuis	0.25	Not recorded	NOEC. Chronic
Menidia beryllina	0.8	Mortality	NOEC, Chronic
(inland silverside)			,
Menidia menidia	0.3	Mortality	NOEC, Chronic
(atlantic silverside)			
Menidia me <b>ndi</b> a	0.3	Not recorded	NOEC, Chronic
Menidia ganinsulae	0.4	Mortality	NOEC, Chronic
Menida peninsulae	0.4	Not recorded	NOEC, Chronic
Optimus beta	8.2	Growth	LOEC, Chronic
(g)lf toadfish)			
Øpsanus beta	150	Mortality	LOEC, Chronic
Opsanus beta	1.4	Growth	NOEC, Chronic
Opsanus beta	93	Mortality	NOEC, Chronic
Crustacean			
Mysidopsis bahia	0.003	Reproduction	NOEC, Chronic
(onossum shrimn)		1	1

### Additional considerations

Additional data on chlorpyrifos toxicity to corals (Te 1998, Markey et al 2007) have become available since the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines were released (Table 20).

Chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos oxon reduced settlement and metamorphosis by greater than 50 per ,DEC.2A cent in the larvae of A. *millepora* at concentrations of 1.0 and 0.4 µg/L respectively (Markey et al 2007). Mortality of greater than 50 per cent for the coral P. damicornis occurred at concentrations of 6 µg/L chlorpyrifos (Te 1998).

		-		
Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	μg/L		measure	.0.
Coral				4
Coral larvae				S
A. millepora	0.4	↓ settlement and metamorphosis	LOEC	Markey et al 2007
A. millepora	1	↓ settlement and metamorphosis	EC50 Acute, 18k	Markey et al 2007
Adult colonies			R.	
P. damicornis	6	↑ mortality	EC 0	Te 1998

Table 20: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of chlorpyrifos

Adding the two additional mortality and metamorphosis EC50 effects concentrations from reef data resulted in a minor lowering of the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values giving derivations of 0.002, 0.009 and 0.03 µg/L for precision of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

A high reliability trigger value was derived in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guideline for marine water for chlorpyrifos. The concentration including the additional data is in the same order of magnitude, and because of the need for conversion factor application its inclusion would degrade the reliability to a moderate reliability value. Therefore, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority adopts the way reliability trigger value aligning with the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guideline.

The high reliability guideline trigger values of 0.0005, 0.009 and 0.04 µg/L are applied for chlopyrifos for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

### 6.4.9 Endosulfan

The NZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al (2000) has more than 35 marine data sets reported for endosulfan (Table 21). A moderate Fiability trigger value for marine water for endosulfan of 0.005, 0.01 and 0.02  $\mu$ g/L was derived for 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively. However, because of endosulfan's potential to bioaccumulate ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) recommends that the 99 per cent protection figure of 0.005 µg/L for slightly-to-moderately disturbed systems be adopted.

Table 21: Marine data sets for endosulfan (Sunderam et al 2000)

	Species	Geometric mean of Effects conc. µg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
	Fish			
	Atherinops affinis (topsmelt)	1.3	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Cymatogaster aggregata (shiner perch)	1.1	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Cyprinodon variegatus (sheepshead minnow)	1.4	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Fundulus heteroclitus (mummichog)	1.2	Mortality	LC50, Activ
	Lagodon rhomboides (pinfish)	0.3	Mortality	LC50 Acute
	Leiostomus xanthurus (spot)	0.3	Mortality	DC50, Acute
	Menidia beryllina (inland silverside)	1.5	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Morone saxatilis (striped bass)	0.1	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Mugil cephalus (striped mullet)	1.5	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Mugil curema (white mullet)	0.6	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	(coho salmon, silver salmon)	Q	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Crustaceans			
	Acartia tonsa (calanoid copepod)	0.1	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Calllinectes sapidus (blue crab)	19	Immobilisation	EC50, Acute
	Calllinectes sapidus	35	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Cancer magister (Dungeness or edible (rab)	15	Immobilisation	EC50, Acute
	Cancer magister	15	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	(sand shring)	0.5	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Mysidopsis bahia (opossum shrimp)	1.0	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Palaemonetes pugio Aggerblade grass shrimp)	0.7	Mortality	LC50, Acute
,04	Penaus aztecus (brown shrimp)	0.2	Immobilisation	EC50, Acute
	Penaus aztecus	0.4	Mortality	LC50, Acute
8-v	Penaeus duorarum (pink shrimp (America))	0.04	Mortality	LU50, Acute
	Penaeus indicus (indian prawn)	0.3	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Penaeus monodon (jumbo tiger prawn)	17.8	Mortality	LC50, Acute
	Scylla serrata (crab)	261	Mortality	LC50, Acute

Species	Geometric mean of Effects conc. µg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure	
Molluscs				
Crassostrea madrasensis (oyster)	17.4	Mortality	LC50, Acute	
Crassostrea sp. (oyster)	65	Growth	EC50, Acute	
<i>Crassostrea virginica</i> (American or Virginia oyster)	52	Growth	EC50, Acute	K
<i>Katelysia opima</i> (marine bivalve)	15.4	Mortality	LC50, Acute	
Meretrix casta (bivalve)	16	Mortality	LC50, Acute	
Paphia laterisulca (estuarine clam)	2.0	Mortality	L (5), Acute	
Annelids				
Dinophilus gyrociliatus (archiannelid)	1082	Mortality	LC50, Acute	
Neanthes arenaceodentata (polychaete)	197	Nortality	LC50, Acute	
Echinoderms		D,		
Strong.ylocentrotus (purple sea urchin)	230	Mortality	LC50, Chronic	
Red algae				
<i>Champia parvula</i> (red algae)	×+ ⁽⁸⁾	Reproduction	NOEC, Chronic	]

Additional considerations

Additional data on endosult to xicity to corals has become available (Table 22).

Reductions in settlement and metamorphosis of greater than 50 per cent for the larvae of *A. millepora* were found for concentrations of 1.0  $\mu$ g/L endosulfan (Markey et al 2007).

Table 22: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of endosulfan.

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
14	μg/L		measure	
Cond larvae				
A.millepora	1	$\downarrow$ settlement and	EC50	Markey et al 2007
		metamorphosis	Acute, 18h	

The minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups is met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) was used to derive a guideline trigger value for ecosystem protection. The additional EC50 effects concentration from Table 16 when entered into the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) does not alter the existing ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) derived guideline value and consequently this trigger value is retained.

Recognising the potential to bioaccumulate, a **moderate reliability guideline trigger value of 0.005**  $\mu$ g/L for endosulfan for protection of 99 per cent of species is recommended reef-wide.

## 6.4.10 2-Methylethyl mercuric chloride (MEMC)

There are no data in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) for MEMC, however, data on MEMC toxicity to corals are available (Table 23). MEMC inhibited fertilisation and metamorphosis at 1  $\mu$ g/L, with 50 per cent failure of fertilisation at 1.68  $\mu$ g/L, and 50 per cent failure of metamorphosis at 2.5  $\mu$ g/L (Markey et al 2007). Other effects including polyp retraction, tissue damage, expulsion of dinoflagellates, and reduced photosynthesis all occurred at concentrations of 10  $\mu$ g/L (Markey et al 2007).

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity measure	Reference
Comul	P18/2			<u> </u>
Corai				
Coral larvae				S
A. millepora	1	↓ fertilisation and	LOEC	Markey et al 2007
_		metamorphosis		
A. millepora	2.5	↓metamorphosis	EC50,	Markey et al 2007
		_	Acute 18h	-
A. millepora	1.68	↓ fertilisation	ECSO	Markey et al 2007
-			Avare, 3h	
A. millepora	10	Polyp retraction, tissue	LOEC	Markey et al 2007
		damage, loss of algae,	Acute, 96h	-
		↓ photosynthesis		

Table 23: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of MEMC

The minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of Tive different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups is not met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method cannot be applied.

Since there are data on only one taxonomic group an assessment factor of 1000 was applied to the effects concentration to convert the EC50 acute effect to a chronic NOEC for the guideline trigger value (Warne 2001). This results in a value of  $0.002\mu g/L$  for MEMC.

A low reliability guideline trigger value of 0.002 µg/L was derived for MEMC.

#### 6.4.11

The ANZIAC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) has two marine data sets reported for diazinon (Table 24). Because of the limited data set the moderate reliability freshwater guideline concentration was applied with a low reliability. These are 0.00003, 0.01 and 0.2  $\mu$ g/L respectively, for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

Table 24: Marine data sets for diazinon (Sunderam et al 2000)

hazinon

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity measure
	μg/L		
Crustaceans			
Mysidopsis bahia	6.0	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h
(opossum shrimp)			
Penaeus duorarum	21	Mortality	LC50, Acute, 96h
(pink shrimp)			

Additional data on diazinon toxicity to sea urchins are available (Table 25). Reduction in fertilisation in urchin eggs of *Paracentrotus lividus* occurs at 30 000 $\mu$ g/L of diazinon (Pesando et al 2003) while molecular activity such as lectin binding and acetylcholinesterase (neuromuscular function) inhibition occurring at 30  $\mu$ g/L.

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference	
	μg/L		measure		
Echinoderms					
Urchin eggs				୍ୟୁ	×
P. lividus	30 000	↓ fertilisation	LOEC	Pesando et al 2003	
P. lividus	30	↓ neuromuscular	Not coded	Pesando et al 2003	
		system function	Chronic		

Table 25: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of diazinon

The minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that being to at least four different taxonomic groups is not met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method to derive a guideline trigger value cannot be applied.

Combining the ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) with the effects concentration from Table 25, provides at least three acute EC50, IC50, or LC50 values (**if** we assume Pesando's non-cored toxicity measure was an LC50 or EC50 value). However, biochemical endpoints such as inhibition of acetylcholinesterase activity are not considered valid to use in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines, so this data was not used in derivation.

Since there are data on only limited taxonomic groups, an assessment factor of 1000 was applied to the lowest of the effects concentration to convert the LC50 acute effect to a chronic NOEC for the guideline trigger value (Warne 2006). This results in a low reliability guideline trigger value of 0.006  $\mu$ g/L for diazinon, which is between the 99th and 95th percentile freshwater guideline adopted by ANZECC and ARMCAPL (2000).

At this stage, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority adopts the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guideline, applying the moderate reliability freshwater guideline with a low reliability.

A low reliability guideline trigger value of 0.00003, 0.01 and 0.2 µg/L is applied for diazinon protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of marine species, respectively.

# 1.12 **Pesticide summary**

For ogh ecological value water bodies, a guideline concentration that is protective of 99 per cent of species is ideal. In section 1.3, the environmental values of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park were discussed. Regardless of the current condition of the waters aquatic ecosystem protection is the environmental value currently applied to the entire World Heritage Area. Even in the highly disturbed trawl grounds any effects of pesticides and biocides would be considered unacceptable. Therefore, trigger values for these parameters as derived in these guidelines apply to all of the five water bodies at the concentration protective of 99 per cent of species.

Sufficient data exists to derive moderate reliability trigger value concentrations for ecosystem protection for diuron, atrazine, ametryn, endosulfan and 2,4-D. Chlorpyrifos was the only pesticide that met high reliability trigger value data requirements. These guidelines adopt the high reliability trigger value from the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guideline for chlorpyrifos. These values are summarised in Table 26.

Table 26: Summary of high and moderate reliability guideline trigger values for pesticides

Pesticide	99% species protection	95% species protection			
	High reliability trigger value, µg/L				
Chlorpyrifos	0.0005	0.009			
	Moderate reliability	trigger value, μg/L			
Diuron	0.9	1.6			
Atrazine	0.6	1.4			
Ametryn	0.5	1.0			
2,4-D	0.8	30.8			
Endosulfan	0.005	0.005 ^a			

^a 99th percentile value recommended reef-wide because of bioaccumulation

3-DEC-24 Where the minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups is not met a low reliability guideline can be derived in a number of ways. Except for MEMC and hexazinone these guidelines adopt the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) method of using a freshwater guideline with lower reliab

There was sufficient EC50 or LC50 data available for hexazinone to apoly the division of the lowest of the acute values by 100 (OECD 1992) to provide a low reliability guideline trigger value.

ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) did not include an assessment of MEMC. Data have since become available on the toxicity of this fungicide. Since there are data on only one taxonomic group an assessment factor of 1000 was applied to the effect concentration to convert an acute effect to a chronic for the guideline trigger variate. These values are presented here in Table 27.

Pesticide	Low reliability guideline trigger value, µg/L
Simazine	0.2
Hexazinone	1.2
Tebuthiuron	0.02
MEMC	0.002
Diazinon	0.00003

Table 27: Summary of low reliability guideline trigger s for pesticides

In accordance whe ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines, low reliability trigger values are only to be used as indicative working levels for interim guidance. Monitoring programs should ascertain and report on the concentration of these contaminants in Great Barrier Reef ement action so to further minimise los sufficient quality to further asses exposure to these contaminants. waters The management actions that result from exceedance may be to improve management practices to further minimise loss from adjacent catchments, or to search, or test, for more data of sufficient quality to further assess the likely risk to aquatic ecosystems associated with further

#### 6.5 **Tributyltin**

Table 28: Marine data for tributyltin (Sunderam et al 2000)

The ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants (Sunderam et al 2000) reports seventeen marine data sets for tributyltin that were used (Table 28). The ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines derive high reliability trigger values for marine water for tributyltin of 0.0004, 0.006 and 0.02  $\mu$ g/L respectively for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species.

Toxicity measure Effects conc. Endpoint Species µg/L Fish Cyprinodon variegatus 0.6 Mortality NOEC, Chronic, 720h (sheepshead minnow) **Crustaceans** 0.0044 Chronic, 144h Acartia tonsa Mortality (calanoid copepod) NOEC, Chronic, 144h 0.0042 Acartia tonsa Mortality **Molluscs** Crassostrea virginica 0.13 NOEC, Chronic, Grow (American or Virginia oyster) 1584h Growth Mytilus edulis 0.8 NOEC, Chronic, 792h (common bay mussel, blue) Mytilus edulis 0.002Growth NOEC, Chronic, 792h Mytilus edulis NOEC, Chronic, Growth 1584h Scrobicularia plana Mortality NOEC, Chronic, 522h (bivalve) Scrobicularia plana Mortality NOEC, Chronic, 720h 1 Scrobicularia plana 0.05 Mortality NOEC, Chronic, 720h Diatom Skeletonema costatum 0.14 Growth EC50, Chronic, 72h Growth 376.5 EC50, Chronic, 72h Skeletonema costanin Skeletonema costatum 0.13 Growth EC50, Chronic, 72h Skeletonema costatum 0.13 Growth EC50, Chronic, 72h Skeletonema costatum EC50, Chronic, 96h 5.1 Growth Growth EC50, Chronic, 72h Thalassiosira pseudonana 0.48 Thanssiosira pseudonana 0.41 Growth EC50, Chronic, 72h

Mere is also additional data cited in section 8.3 including two additional fish species, four crustaceans, and one algae.

Additional considerations

Additional data on tributyltin toxicity to corals has become available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published (Table 29). Tributyltin inhibited larval metamorphosis of A. millepora at an IC50 of 2 µg/L (Negri and Heyward 2001), while fertilisation was inhibited (IC50) at 200  $\mu$ g/L (Negri and Heyward 2001).

Table 29 Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of tributyltin

	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference	]
	μg/L		measure		
Coral					
Coral larvae					
A. millepora	200	↓ fertilisation	IC50, Acute, 4h	Negri and Heyward 2001	」
A. millepora	2	↓ metamorphosis	IC50, Acute, 24h	Negri and Heyward 2001	
As the new da	ta is for the same	e species but two dif	ferent endpoints, the	e lower of the effects	~

As the new data is for the same species but two different endpoints, the lower of the effects concentration is used to derive the trigger value. An assessment factor of 16.26 was applied for conversion of the acute IC50 data to chronic NOEC. Addition of this data into the Burrio statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) run did not alter the trigger value derived. Therefore, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority adopts the high reliability rigger value aligning with the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guideline.

Most large scale dredging and spoil disposal within the Great Barrier Reel Marine Park is associated with ports. The work is undertaken to maintain port accessibility which is important to the regional economy. Proposals for spoil disposal in the Great Barrier Reel Marine Park will continue to be assessed in accordance with the Great Barrier Reel Marine Park Authority's policies for Environmental Impact Management, Dredging and Spoil Disposal, and Risk Management.

There are existing approved dumping grounds for special within the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. Many of these grounds have been used repeatedly for a number of years. These sites are carefully managed to ensure any adverse effects are prevented or minimised. In recognition of their slightly to moderately disturbed state the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority assign a guideline trigger level protective of 95 percent of species. In the case of tributyltin that results in the 0.006  $\mu$ g/L guideline trigger value being applied.

In the event of any future proposal for new spoil disposal sites determination of the appropriate level of protection will be one of the considerations at the time of assessment. Such an assessment would include, but not be limited to an assessment of the conservation values of the area likely to be affected by disposal activities. The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority has an open and transparent decision making process and works with stakeholders to achieve successful management and mitigation of environmental impacts associated with spoil disposal.

High retability guideline trigger values of 0.0004, 0.006 and 0.02 μg/L are applied for tributytlin for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

#### 6.6 Sublethal effects consideration

Sublethal effects have not been included in derivations of guideline trigger values. However, minimisation of these impacts could prove critical to long term protection of the ecosystem. The effects data is presented here as an information source to facilitate further discussion, along with the change in the trigger value if the data are included in the derivation.

### 6.6.1 Diuron

3-04-0-24 There are numerous additional reports (Table 30) in the open scientific literature that have become available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published. Reports on diuron toxicity to corals (Jones and Kerswell 2003, Jones et al 2003, Owen et al 2003, Råberg 2003, Jones 2004, Negri et al 2005), seagrass (Haynes et al 2000b, Ralph 2000, Macinnis-Ng and Ralph 2003, Chesworth et al 2004), phytoplankton (Magnusson et al 2006, Seery et al 2006) and mangroves (Duke et al 2003, Duke and Bell 2005) are presented here.

The results show that exposure of a number of organisms to diuron reduces the efficiency of photosynthesis. However, as discussed in the pesticide introduction section, photosynthesis responses are not universally accepted as an appropriate endpoint for deriving toxicity guidelines. This response could well be an indicator of sublethal ecosystem impacts, and while it may not, on its own, lead to mortality creates a concern as to the potential detrimental additive effects of photosynthesis suppression on marine organisms. Further prove these effects might be reasonably expected to occur on the primary production end of the consequent cascading impacts to all higher levels of the accurate impacts to all higher levels of the ecosystem.

In the corals Acropora formosa, Montipora digitata, Porites cylindrica and Seriatopora hystrix suppression of photosynthesis occurred at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ g/L diuron after ten hours exposure (Jones et al 2003). During a four tay exposure *M. digitata* showed suppression of photosynthesis occurred at a concentration of 1 µg/L diuron and visible bleaching occurred at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/L (Jones et al 2003).

Further research at varying light levels confirmed photosynthesis suppression occurred at exposures of 1 µg/L, and higher in the coral S. hystrix (Jones 2004). Two different light levels were tested with these conventrations, (50 per cent and five per cent surface irradiation), and photosynthesis suppression was found to be less intense at reduced light levels. Bleaching occurred in the conversion  $10 \,\mu g/L$  and higher concentrations, although at the higher concentration blocking only occurred at the higher of the two light levels (Jones 2004).

Lowest observable effects occurred at concentrations of 0.3 µg/L diuron in symbionts of the coral S. hystrik within host tissue (Jones and Kerswell 2003) and an EC50 of 2.3  $\mu$ g/L. Lowest observable effects occurred at concentrations of 0.3  $\mu$ g/L diuron in symbionts of the coral A. Monosa, within host tissue (Jones and Kerswell 2003) and an EC50 of 2.7 µg/L.

Asolated symbiotic dinoflagellates of *Stylophora pistillata* showed suppression of photosynthesis at exposures as low as 0.25 µg/L of diuron (Jones et al 2003). Using ¹⁴C uptake studies, lowest observable effects on photosynthesis occurred at concentrations of 2 µg/L diuron in isolated zooxanthellae of the corals Diploria strigosa, Madracis mirabilis and Favia fragum after six hours (Owen et al 2003). This reduction was also evident in M. mirabilis at concentrations of  $1 \mu g/L$  diuron after eight hours exposure (Owen et al 2003).

*Pocillopra damicornis* (recruits and adults) bleached at 10 µg/L concentration (Negri et al 2005). P. damicornis (recruits and adults) and Acropora millepora (adults) also showed reduced photosynthetic efficiency at 1  $\mu$ g/L diuron, with apparent full recovery after 14 days at no exposure (Negri et al 2005).

There was no significant inhibition of fertilisation or metamorphosis in A. millepora, Montipora aequituberculata or P. damicornis at concentrations of diuron well over 30 µg/L (Negri et al 2005). A. millepora showed significant metamorphosis inhibition at 300 µg/L (Negri et al 2005).

Significant reduction in gross primary production rate, and gross primary production to respiration ratio in the hermatypic coral P. cylindrica occurred at 10 µg/L concentration of diuron (Råberg et al 2003).

FC-20 Diuron suppresses photosynthesis in the seagrasses Cymodocea serrulate, Zostera capricorni and, *Halophila ovalis* at concentrations in seawater of 10  $\mu$ g/L, 0.1  $\mu$ g/L and 0.1  $\mu$ g/L respectively, and no full recovery occurred after five further days of no applied diuron exposure (Ralph 2000, Haynes et al 2000b). Diuron suppressed photosynthesis in the seagrass Z. capriconi at concentrations in seawater of 10  $\mu$ g/L, with recovery after four days (Macinnis-Ng are Raiph 2003). Reductions in photosynthetic yield of Zostera marina occur at concentrations of up/L, while reduced growth occurred at 5.0  $\mu$ g/L (Chesworth et al 2004).

In field observations, herbicide levels in Johnstone and Daintree River mangion sediments correlated with upstream distributions of A. marina, noting this species was absent where diuron concentrations exceeded 2 µg diuron/kg of sediment. Dieback was observed near Mackay where diuron concentrations in sediments were in the range 6-8 µg/kg (Duke et al 2003, Bell and Duke 2005). The findings have been translated to a threshold concentration of 2 µg diuron/kg of sediment as the level above which dieback of the mangrove species A. marina may be expected.

Marine diatoms sensitivities to diuron estimated IC10 concentrations in the range of 0.1-0.19 µg/L for Nitzschia closterium, Phaeodactylum trickfutum and Dunaliella tertiolecta after less than 20 minutes exposure (Bengston Nash et 2005a). The lowest observable effects concentration was 0.05 µg/L (Bengston Nash et a 2005b). P. tricornutum also showed significant inhibition of photosynthetic yield (IC50) at 3 stug/L diuron (Schreiber et al 2002). Several species of estuarine benthic diatoms (*Naviesto sp*) showed significant inhibition of photosynthetic yield at 2.9 µg/L diuron (Magnusson exal 2006).

Diuron inhibits photosynthesis of the crustose coralline algae Porolithon onkodes at concentrations of 2.9 µg/L (Harrington et al 2005). This may also compromise coral recruitment, as crustose coralline algae a critical settlement inducer for many coral species (Heyward and Negri 1999

Gametes from the trace algae Hormosira banksii showed significant inhibition of photosynthesis (EC50 measured by effective quantum yield of photosystem II) at concentrations of 1.65 µg/L diuron (Seery et al 2006).

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
$\circ$	μg/L		measure	
Seagrass				
C. serrulata	10	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Haynes et al 2000b
Z. capricorni	10	$\downarrow$ photosynthesis	LOEC	Macinnis-Ng and Ralph
				2003
Z. capricorni	5.0	$\downarrow$ growth	LOEC	Chesworth et al 2004
Z. capricorni	1.0	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Chesworth et al 2004
H. ovalis	0.1	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Haynes et al 2000b
Z. capricorni	0.1	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Haynes et al 2000b

Table 30: Boodgical effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of diuron

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity measure	Reference
Corals	N8, 2			
Isolated zooxanthellae				
D. strigosa	2	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003
F. fragum	2	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003
M. mirabilis	1	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003
S. pistillata	0.25	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
Larvae				୍ୟୁ
A. millepora	300	1 Metamorphosis	LOEC	Negri et al 2005
Coral recruits		•		
P. damicornis	10	Loss of algae	LOEC	Negri et al 2005
P. damicornis	1	photosynthesis	LOEC	Negri et 1,005
Adult colonies		• p		
M. digitata	10	Loss of algae	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
P. damicornis	10	Loss of algae	LOEC 🗸	Negri et al 2005
S. hystrix	10	Loss of algae	LOEC	Jones 2004
P. cylindrica	10	GPP* rate, GPP to	LOFE	Råberg et al 2003
		respiration ration, effective quantum yield	BOAN	
A. formosa	1	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
P. cylindrica	1	$\downarrow$ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
M. digitata	1	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
S. hystrix	1	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003, Jones 2004
A. millepora	1	photosynthesis	LOEC	Negri et al 2005
P. damicornis	1	photosynthesis	LOEC	Negri et al 2005
A. formosa	0.3	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones and Kerswell 2003
A. formosa	2.7	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Jones and Kerswell 2003
S. hystrix	0,0	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
S. hystrix	2.3	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Jones et al 2003
S. hystrix	0.3	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones and Kerswell 2003
Macro algae 🗸	211			
H. banksii	1.65	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Seery et al 2006
Red algae				
P. Orkodes	2.9	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Harrington et al 2005
Kiatoms				
V. tricornutum	3.3	↓ photosynthesis	I50	Schreiber et al 2002
Navicula sp	2.9	$\downarrow$ photosynthesis	IC50 Acute, 6 m	Magnusson et al 2006
D. tertiolecta	0.05	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Bengston Nash et al 2005a
N. closterium	0.1-0.19	Sensitivity	IC10	Bengston Nash et al 2005a
N. closterium	0.05	Sensitivity	LOEC	Bengston Nash et al 2005a
P. tricornutum	0.1-0.19	Sensitivity	IC10	Bengston Nash et al 2005a
D. tertiolecta	0.11	↓ photosynthesis	IC10	Bengston Nash et al 2005a

Species	Effects conc. µg/L	Endpoint	Toxicity measure	Reference	
Mangrove					
A. marina	1.1	Health	NOEC	Duke et al 2003, 2005	
A. marina	1.5 <x≤2< td=""><td>Reduced health</td><td>LOEC</td><td>Duke et al 2003, Bell and Duke 2005</td><td></td></x≤2<>	Reduced health	LOEC	Duke et al 2003, Bell and Duke 2005	
A. marina	>2.0	Dieback/ absence	Mortality	Duke et al 2003, Bell and Duke 2005	ວ່

* Gross Primary Production

These studies report LC50 IC50 EC50, IC10, LOEC and NOEC toxicity measures. Most of the acute studies have the tests lasting for less than a day. Where more than one toxicity value was reported for the same endpoint in the same species the geometric mean of the values was intered (Van de Plassche et al 1995). An assessment factor of 10 was applied to convert acute LC or EC50s to chronic NOECs, and a factor of 2.5 to convert acute LOECs to chronic NOECs. LC or EC50s were used in preference to LOECs. IC10, and NOEC toxicity measures were not used at all.

Including these responses with the other effects data presented in section 6.4.1 for diuron in the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) run, results in the derivation of moderate reliability guideline trigger values of 0.01, 0.06 and 9 µg/L for diuron for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

At this stage, with implementation of numerous management actions underway, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority sets the trigger value without the sublethal responses included ie  $0.9 \ \mu g/L$  and  $1.6 \ \mu g/L$  for diuron for protection of 99, and 95 per cent of species respectively.

Additional consideration of the potential such a lecosystem effects of suppressed photosynthesis is recommended.

## 6.6.2 Atrazine

Sublethal effects data on atterime toxicity to marine species are shown in Table 31. There are numerous studies that have become available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published. Reports on toxicity to corals (Jones and Kerswell 2003, Jones et al 2003, Owen et al 2003), seagrass (Raub 2000; Macinnis-Ng and Ralph 2003; Schwarzschild et al 1994) mangroves (Dube et al 2005) and micro flora (Magnusson 2006) are presented here.

In the corals A. formosa, M. digitata, and P. cylindrica a suppression of photosynthesis occurred at an exposure of 3  $\mu$ g/L atrazine for 10 hours, with apparent full recovery after the same period in the absence of atrazine (Jones et al 2003). The 10-hour EC50 values for four coral species range from 37-88  $\mu$ g/L (Jones and Kerswell 2003, Jones et al 2003). Lowest observable effects occurred at concentrations of 3  $\mu$ g/L and an EC50 of 45  $\mu$ g/L atrazine in symbionts of the coral *S. hystrix,* within host tissue (Jones and Kerswell 2003).

Using ¹⁴C uptake studies, lowest observable effects on photosynthesis occurred at concentrations of 100  $\mu$ g/L atrazine in isolated zooxanthellae of the coral *D. strigosa*, *M. mirabilis* and *F. fragum* (Owen et al 2003).

Atrazine suppresses photosynthesis in the seagrass *H. ovalis, Z. capricorni* at concentrations of 10  $\mu$ g/L in seawater (Ralph 2000; Macinnis-Ng and Ralph 2003). These studies confirmed the findings of Schwarzschild et al (1994) as cited in Macinnis-Ng and Ralph (2003).

Several species of estuarine benthic diatoms (*Navicula sp*) showed significant inhibition of photosynthetic yield at 47  $\mu$ g/L atrazine (Magnusson et al 2006).

Species	Effect	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	conc.		measure	(
	µg/L			C;
Seagrass				
H. ovalis	10	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Ralph 2000
Z. capricorni	10	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Macinnis-Ng and Ralph 2003
Corals				
D. strigosa	100	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003
M. mirabilis	100	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2008
F. fragum	100	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003
Adult colonies				
A. formosa	3	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
P. cylindrica	3	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Notes et al 2003
M. digitata	3	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones et al 2003
S. hystrix	3	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones and Kerswell 2003
S. hystrix	45	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Jones and Kerswell 2003
Macrophyte				
Potamogeton perfialutus	80	↓ photosynthesis	HC50	NRA 1997
Diatoms				
Navicula sp	47	↓ photosynthesis	IC50, Acute, 6 minute	Magnusson et al 2006

Table 31: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of atrazine

These studies report IC50, EC50, and LOEC toxicity measures. Most of the acute studies have the tests lasting for less than a day. An assessment factor of 20.21 was applied to convert acute LC or EC50s to chronic NOECs and a factor of 2.5 to convert acute LOECs to chronic NOECs. LC or EC50s toxicity measures were used in preference to LOECs.

Including these responses in the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) run, with the arazine data in section 6.4.2, resulted in moderate reliability guideline trigger values of 0.5, 1.1 and 1.8  $\mu$ g/L for atrazine for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

At this stage, with implementation of numerous management actions underway, the Great Barrier Ref Marine Park Authority sets the trigger value without the sublethal responses included ie  $0.6 \ \mu g/L$  and  $1.4 \ \mu g/L$  for atrazine for protection of 99 and 95 per cent of species respectively.

Additional consideration of the potential sublethal ecosystem effects of suppressed photosynthesis is recommended.

#### 6.6.3 Ametryn

Sublethal data on ametryn toxicity to corals (Jones and Kerswell 2003) has become available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published (Table 32).

Symbiotic dinoflagellates showed significant inhibition (EC50) of photosynthesis at ametryn concentrations of 1.7 µg/L (Jones and Kerswell 2003). Lowest observable effects occurred at concentrations of 0.3 µg/L in symbionts of the coral S. hystrix within host tissue (Jones and Kerswell 2003).

Table 32: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing for ametryn					
Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference	
_	μg/L	_	measure		
Corals					
Adult colonies				3	
S. hystrix	0.3	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones and Kerswell 2003	
S. hystrix	1.7	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Jones and Kerswell 2003	

Table 32: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing for ametryn

An assessment factor of 10 was applied to convert the acute EC50 to a chronic preference to the LOEC toxicity measure.

Including this response with the data in the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) run at section 6.4.3, results in the derivation of moderate reliability guideline trigger values of 0.2, 0.4 and 0.7 µg/L for ametryn for protection of 25,05 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

At this stage, with implementation of numerous management actions underway, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority sets the trigger value without the sublethal response included ie  $0.5 \ \mu g/L$  and  $1.0 \ \mu g/L$  for ametryn for protection of 99 and 95 per cent of species respectively.

Additional consideration of the potential Nethal ecosystem effects of suppressed photosynthesis is recommended.

### 6.6.4 Simazine

Sublethal effects data has been available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published including effect of simazine toxicity to corals (Jones and Kerswell 2003, Owen et al 2003) and micro flora (Magnusson et al 2006) (Table 33).

Lowest observable effects occurred at concentrations of 30  $\mu$ g/L simazine in symbionts of the coral S. hystrix within host tissue (Jones and Kerswell 2003) and an EC50 of 150 µg/L.

Using ⁴C uptake studies, lowest observable effects on photosynthesis occurred at concentrations of  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$   $\mu$ g/L simazine in isolated zooxanthellae of the coral D. strigosa, M. mirabilis and F. fragum (Owen et al 2003).

Several species of estuarine benthic diatoms (*Navicula sp*) showed significant inhibition of photosynthetic yield at 110 µg/L simazine (Magnusson et al 2006).

Table 33: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of simazine

Species	Effects	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference	
	conc.		measure		
	μg/L				
Corals					
Isolated zooxanthellae				0	,0
D. strigosa	100	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003	V
M. mirabilis	100	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003	
F. fragum	100	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003	
Corals				<u>ୁ</u> ଦୁଂ	
Adult colonies					
S. hystrix	30	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones and Kerswell 2003	
S. hystrix	150	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Jones and Kerswell 2003	
Microphyto- benthos					
Navicula sp	110	↓ photosynthesis	IC50, Acute,	Magnusson et al 2006	
			6 minute	<b>/</b>	

Including these data with those in section 6.4.4 does result in sufficient data to meet the minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that before to at least four different taxonomic groups, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method to derive a guideline trigger value can be applied. However, as we have discussed, reduced photosynthesis endpoints are not used in derivation of guidelines and the software does with that the number of data are small and that results should be interpreted with caution.

Out of interest in its comparison to the freshwater guideline value the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) was run. The same assessment factors were used as in section 6.4.4. The NOECs were used for the sea bream. An assessment factor of 10 was applied to convert acute EC50 to a chronic NOEC in preference to using the LOEC toxicity measure. An assessment factor of five was applied to convert a chronic EC50 to a chronic NOEC. The run results in trigger values of 4.3 and 10.4  $\mu$ g/L for simazine for protection of 99 and 95 per cent of species respectively. These results are similar to the 95th and 90th percentile freshwater guideline (3.2  $\mu$ g/L and 1  $\mu$ g/L respectively), hence an order of magnitude greater than the ANZECC and ARMCARZ adopted freshwater guideline.

At this stage, with implementation of numerous management actions underway, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority sets the trigger value without the sublethal response included ie 0.  $2 \mu g/L$  and  $2 \mu g/L$  for simazine for protection of 99 and 95 per cent of species respectively.

Additional consideration of the potential sublethal ecosystem effects of suppressed photosynthesis is recommended.

# **6.6.5** Hexazinone

Sublethal effect data on hexazinone toxicity to corals has become available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published (Table 34).

Lowest observable effects occurred at concentrations of 3  $\mu$ g/L hexazinone in symbionts of the coral *S. hystrix*, within host tissue (Jones and Kerswell 2003) and an EC50 of 8.8  $\mu$ g/L.

Table 34: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of hexazinone

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	μg/L		measure	
Coral				
Adult colonies				
S. hystrix	3.0	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones and Kerswell 2003
S. hystrix	8.8	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Jones and Kerswell 2003

Including these data with those in section 6.4.5 does result in sufficient data to meet the minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method to derive a guideline trigger value can be applied. However, attempts to run the data set failed with the error message rea floating value returned. Further, as we have discussed, reduced photosynthesis endpoints are not used in derivation of guidelines.

If we apply the same interpretation as in section 6.4 that there are at least three acute EC50 or LC50 values in the data set provided, the lowest of the acute values is divided by 100 (OECD 1992) to provide a low reliability guideline trigger value. The photosymbolic endpoint becomes the lowest of the acute values and a trigger value of 0.09  $\mu$ g/L results for hexazinone, which is two orders of magnitude lower than the low reliability guideline adopted in the derivation process in the section 6.4. Alternatively, in recognition of the endpoint teng sublethal the divisor might be lowered to 10 and a trigger value of 0.9  $\mu$ g/L results for hexazinone which is quite close to the 1.2  $\mu$ g/L derived.

At this stage, with implementation of numerous management actions underway, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority sets the trigger value without the sublethal response included ie  $1.2 \mu g/L$  for hexazinone. We note that this value falls below the observed LOEC of the photosynthetic effect and this gives us some confidence that it may be an effective trigger value. We recommend that additional consideration be made of the potential sublethal ecosystem effects of suppressed photosynthesis.

### 6.6.6 2,4-D

Additional data on 2,4-Detexicity to corals has become available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published (Table 35).

Significant reductions in gross primary production rate, gross primary production to respiration ratio and effective quantum yield of the hermatypic coral *P. cylindrica* occurred at 100 000  $\mu$ g/L concentration 2, 4-D for a period of 48 hours (Råberg et al 2003).

Using ¹⁴C uptake studies, lowest observable effects on photosynthesis occurred at concentrations  $1000 \mu g/L 2,4$ -D in isolated zooxanthellae of the coral *D. strigosa, M. mirabilis* and *F. fragum* (Owen et al 2003).

Table 35: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of 2,4-D

Species	Effects conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference	
	μg/L		measure		
Adult coral colonies					
P. cylindrica	100 000	GPP* rate, GPP to respiration ratio, effective quantum yield	LOEC	Råberg et al, 2003	م م ر
D. strigosa	1000	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003	
M. mirabilis	1000	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003	
F. fragum	1000	$\downarrow$ ¹⁴ C incorporation	LOEC	Owen et al 2003	

*Gross primary production

There is no acute LOEC to chronic NOEC assessment factor for 2,4-D so the assessment factor of 2.5 was applied to convert the acute LOECs to chronic NOECs in this case.

Including these responses in the BurrliOZ statistical distribution software (Campbell et al 2000) run, with the data in section 6.4.6, resulted in moderate reliability guideline trigger values of 46.5, 112 and 191  $\mu$ g/L for 2,4-D for protection of 99, 95 and 90 per cent of species respectively.

At this stage, with implementation of numerous management accors underway, the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority sets the trigger value without these responses included ie 0.8  $\mu$ g/L and 30.8  $\mu$ g/L for protection of 99 and 95 per cent of species respectively.

Additional consideration of the potential sub-lethal cosystem effects is recommended.

### 6.6.7 Tebuthiuron

Additional data on tebuthiuron toxicity ocorals has become available since ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) was published (Table 36).

Lowest observable effects occurred at concentrations of 10  $\mu$ g/L tebuthiuron in symbionts of the coral *S. hystrix*, within host classe (Jones and Kerswell 2003) and an EC50 of 175  $\mu$ g/L.

Species	Effects	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	conc.		measure	
	μg/L			
Coral				
Adot colonies				
S. hystrix	10	↓ photosynthesis	LOEC	Jones and Kerswell 2003
S. hystrix	175	↓ photosynthesis	EC50	Jones and Kerswell 2003

Table 36: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of tebuthiuron

Even combining with the data presented at section 6.4.7 the minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups is not met, so the BurrliOZ statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000) cannot be used.

As the data set includes only one species an acute to chronic assessment factor of 1000 would normally be applied (Warne 2001). However, in recognition of the toxicity measure being based on a sublethal endpoint response, and its reversibility, an assessment factor of 100 was applied to convert the acute EC50 effects concentration to a chronic NOEC. This calculation is provided out of interest in its comparison to the freshwater guideline value and its potential consideration as a low reliability trigger value. This calculation results in a value of 1.8  $\mu$ g/L for tebuthiuron,

which is between the 99th and 95th percentile freshwater guideline  $(0.2\mu g/L \text{ and } 2\mu g/L)$ respectively). This result increases our confidence that the adoption of the freshwater guideline trigger value aligning with the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guideline is appropriate for protecting ecosystem health.

Additional consideration of the potential sub-lethal ecosystem effects of suppressed

Additional data on chlorpyrifos toxicity to microflora (Bengston Nash et al 2005a) has become available since the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines were released (Table 37). (IC10) occurring at concentrations of 38  $\mu$ g/L for *N. closterium* within less than 20 minutes exposure (Bengston Nash et al 2005a). D. tertiolecta and P.tricornutum showed leactions at 41 µg/L and 130 µg/L (IC10) respectively.

Species	Effect conc.	Endpoint	Toxicity	Reference
	μg/L		measure	
Diatoms			A	
N. closterium	38	↓ photosynthesis	1010	Bengston Nash et al 2005a
D. tertiolecta	41	$\downarrow$ photosynthesis	IC10	Bengston Nash et al 2005a
P. tricornutum	130	↓ photosynthesis	IC10	Bengston Nash et al 2005a

Table 37: Biological effects concentrations from direct toxicity testing of chlorpyrifos

The guideline trigger value has not been recalculated including this data as there is ample data without its inclusion, only IC10 are reported and an appropriate conversion factor is not known.

#### Range of effect including sublethal data 6.6.9

Three of the pesticides had lower trigger values derived if sublethal data was included in the derivations (Table 38). Simaline and tebuthiuron actually showed higher values but still within the 99th and 95th perconic derived guidelines. The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority will continue to more pesticide concentrations under its Marine Monitoring Program and will be keeping an eye of whether these lower figures are exceeded. If future research results support the inclusion should be that effects ambient concentrations may be maintained at concentrations below these Table 38.

Pesticide	Range, µg/L
Duron	0.01-0.9
Ametryn	0.2-0.5
Hexazinone	0.09-1.2

Table 38: Trigger value range with and without sublethal data

#### 7 **Future Research Needs**

Compilation of the available scientific literature on water quality related impacts on Marine Park ecosystems has resulted in the development of this current document. In doing so, it has become M3-DEC-2A apparent that there are a number of significant knowledge gaps. The following discussion provides guidance for consideration in future research direction. Some of this guidance is extracted from the De'ath and Fabricius (2008) report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority.

#### 7.1 Sublethal effects

There are some concerns about the adequacy of the guideline trigger values for protection of the tropical marine ecosystem. As discussed in the previous section, photosynthesis, gross primary production and carbon uptake suppression responses are not universally accepted as appropriate endpoints for deriving toxicity guidelines and have not been included in derivations of guideline trigger values. However, this response may be an indicator of sublethal indicators the minimisation of which could prove critical to the protection of the ecosystem. The covern about sublethal effects is heightened particularly if additional environmental stressers are involved, eg high temperatures, storm damage, sedimentation, grazing etc. Discussion has been included in these guidelines (section 6.6) for consideration for particular pesticities.

Species such as the coral P. damicornis that are dependent on photosynthesis for energy contributions are more sensitive to the effects of posticides in terms of reproductive development and may be bioindicators of ecosystem impacts. Further research on these responses is recommended.

#### 7.2 Paucity of data

In order to ensure the health of the marine ecosystem significant consideration must be given to the preservation of food web in particular the primary producers. There is a paucity of data relating to the toxicity many contaminants to those primary producers in the tropical marine ecosystem. Given the mode of action of many of the pesticides it is possible that a higher weighting should have in the effect responses that occur in plants rather than in animals. At present, no weighing is applied in the statistical distribution application and so the data will be biased tending towards the more acute mortality endpoints on animals such as fish and crustacean that are generally less susceptible and require extrapolation to chronic effects.

A population of the product of the p organisms is recommended.

#### 7.3 Toxicity of mixtures

Additive, synergistic and antagonistic effects complicate the setting of guideline trigger values, and these are still poorly understood. For example, crustose coralline algae are far more sensitive to damage by sedimentation when traces of the herbicide diuron are present (Harrington et al 2005). Banks et al (2005) found that the toxicity effects of diazinon are significantly increased in the presence of even quite low concentrations of atrazine (although this was in freshwater). An estuarine study looking at atrazine found it to be more toxic to a particular copepod at lower salinities (Hall et al 1994), although in the same study the opposite was the case for the fish species tested (ie more toxic at higher salinity). Temperature and light were also found to

significantly influence the growth of the alga Nannochloris oculata and P. tricornutum (Mayasich et al 1986).

Other examples are that the uptake of dissolved inorganic nutrients in some benthic macro algae might be diffusion limited ie depending on both concentration and water turbulence (Hurd 2000), ON 3-DEC-24 and that benthic macro algae can only use additional nutrients where light is not limiting.

Where mixtures are encountered the methodology for addressing this is set out in the current ANZECC and ARMCANZ guidelines.

Further research on additive, synergistic and antagonistic effects is recommended.

#### 7.4 **Biogeochemistry**

Natural events generate inputs of nutrient to the marine ecosystem as well as lan sourced runoff. It is important to understand these events as exceedances of guideline trigger when might result from natural events rather than from land-sources that may require management actions. For example, the primary production of the water column and the benthic compartment can increase by as much as factors of 4 and 2.5 respectively as a result of mass spowing events (Glud et al 2008). Further research effort is required on biogeochemical cycling in the marine ecosystems to improve this understanding and hence the appropriate interpretation of exceedances.

Whilst the potential ecosystem impacts of sediment have been identified, further research on the impacts of sediment associated with the organic contendation of activity and grain size is needed for these interactive effects to become part of the guidelines. For example, high rates of sedimentation of inorganic material may not stress corals, whereas low rates of sedimentation of organically rich material stress and kill corals of 48 hours. Currently there is insufficient information available to quantitatively determine the role that elevated levels of organic carbon plays in the process of ecosystem decliperin the nearshore marine environment, although enough is known to be concerned.

#### 7.5 Sedimentation

7**.6** 

More field data on ecoortim responses in relation to sediment quality and quantity are needed to test the sedimentation trager value. Hydrodynamic settings determine to what extent ecosystem stress is due to sedimentation and to what extent due to turbidity. In areas of low hydrodynamic energy stress due to sedimentation will exceed the stress due to light attenuation. At high hydrodynamic energy where sediments tend to remain in suspension, the reverse is true.

In the longer term, sediment quality guidelines should be developed for the Great Barrier Reef. Such guidelines should include trigger values for sediment nutrient concentrations, which are responsible for toxicity through the development of pore water ammonia and hydrogen sulfide.

#### Relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity

The relationship between light, suspended solids and turbidity (water clarity, measured either with nephelometers or as Secchi depth) depends on the nature of the particulate matter (Te 1998), and this relationship is not yet fully understood for the Great Barrier Reef. Secchi depth was used here as proxy for light, due to the good spatial coverage of the data, and because direct light measurements (eg from CTD casts) have not yet been compiled and processed for the Great Barrier Reef.

Light is a key resource for marine ecosystems, as corals and many other key groups are phototrophic organisms, and light controls coral growth (Anthony et al 2004). However, before
adequate light targets can be set, further work is needed to analyse the spatial distribution of light, its relationship to Secchi depth and turbidity, and its role in shaping the ecosystems on the Great Barrier Reef, and to better characterise hydrodynamic settings that determine whether ecosystem changes are predominantly due to sedimentation or due to turbidity.

Cooper et al (2008) have suggested long-term turbidity of greater than three NTU leads to 3-04-0-24 sublethal stress, and long-term turbidity of greater than five NTU shows severe stress effects on corals at shallow depths.

#### 7.7 Hydrodynamic and biological models

A number of coupled hydrodynamic and biological models have been developed with ling applicability (King et al 2001, Wolanski and De'ath 2005, Legrand et al 2006, Wooldr de et al 2006, Maughan et al 2007). Modelling capability that covers the whole Marine Park and its associated ecosystems is critical to understanding the linkage between river disclared and contaminant dispersal in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon.

Capacity to model the spatial and seasonal changes of lagoonal water quality will be essential to understanding residency times of contaminants, an important factor in their effect. Models indicate great variability in the residency times of water in the lagoon but agree that the lower end of the range close to the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one more view with the coast is generally greater than one with the coast is g 2007). This timeframe is well within timeframes for primary available nutrients turnover (hours to two weeks), phytoplankton population generation time average (one day), pelagic development timeframe for fish, echinoderm and coral veeks to months). Therefore contaminants that reach the marine water body remain there for biologically relevant times and are hence capable of impacting on ecosystem health

Coupling nitrate runoff-seawater mixing zone relationships (Wooldridge et al 2006) with existing hydrodynamic modelling for the Great Barrier Reef (King et al 2001, 2002)) allows quantification of dissolved inorganic nitrogen reductions needed in the end-of-river concentrations to meet the chlorophyll a guideline trigger value discussed above. Currently this relationship is available for a number of river systems, from the Burdekin River to the north, that drain the catchment. Through the ongoing development of Water Quality Improvement Plans this approach is being explored for the remainder the Great Barrier Reef.

Improved understanding of hydrodynamics sediment dispersion in the marine environment is a critical factor in free stating management decisions to improve water quality in the Marine Park. The influence to athymetry, wind, tidal recirculation around headlands and narrow passages, resuspension and upwellings all need to be taken into consideration.

A fine resolution grid of estimated environmental conditions should be developed for each natural resonce management region based on measurements and hydrodynamic models. Such data will more provered to the provided and the pr chemical transformations and help identifying areas of greatest risk (e.g. exposure to highest loads, highest concentrations or greatest retention).

Additional data and models are needed to identify the main factors responsible for inter- and intra-annual variability in concentrations of nutrients and suspended solids, such as the effects of river floods, wind- and wave-driven resuspension, and blooms of the nitrogen-fixing Trichodesmium. These factors are not well understood but should be incorporated into future models.

There are some models that deal with time varying exposure of contaminants at the community level. The comprehensive aquatic systems model (CASM) has been used to address this challenge in theoretical ecology (DeAngelis et al 1989) and assess potential risks of chemical contaminants

to aquatic ecosystems (Bartel et al 2000, Bartel et al 1999, Naito et al 2002). CASM is a complex aquatic ecosystem model that considers water chemistry characteristics, spatial and temporal scales, and food web structure. It has not yet been used for corals but should be considered for adaptation for this purpose.

#### 7.8 Catchment action relationships

Comunued research on relationships between land use actions in individual catchments contribution to water quality conditions and ecosystem health in the estuaries, coastal seagrasses and the coral reefs of the Great Barrier Reef is essential to improved understanding and management.

Demonstration Farms Project to quantify the economic and water quality benefits of particular improved management practices. Partial results from 2008 are very encouraging an highlighted some areas of the farming system where improvements such as testing and accounting for nutrients in irrigation water and use of shielded sprayers can lead to improve water quality outcomes.

Effective on farm capture and reuse of irrigation tail water has demonstrated that minimal loss of nutrients and pesticides off farm can be achieved. Strip trials testing a new slow release fertiliser show initial runoff measurements that are very promising religing significantly less nitrate concentrations.

The Department of Natural Resources and Water and the Reef Catchments Mackay Whitsunday Group have also run a plot and paddock-scale triging a series of practices and assessed the quality of runoff from different practices (Masters et al 2008). Each system was treated with two different nitrogenous fertilisers and method of residual herbicide application.

Results showed that controlled traffic farming had significantly less runoff rates than the cultivation practice on the farm under the conditions trialled. Timing of applications of herbicide to maximise the period before minfull was most critical to minimising loss. Loss concentrations from broadcast application *provides* were more than double those for banded applications.

Information gathered will be used to refine and improve modelling exercises through the comparison of predicted versus observed data.

# Reference condition 7.9

The region of Cape York plays an important role in the Great Barrier Reef, being the only remaining coastal and midshelf reference site. The biodiversity, ecological functions and water quality conditions of the Cape York region should be much better documented and researched, before climate change and other intensifying pressures start degrading this ecosystem. Two rare category 4 - 5 cyclones and some bleaching have already disturbed some of Cape York's reefs in this region in the past five years, so it is important to obtain data from this remote region to consolidate continued use of this region as reference location. Also, Cape York should be added to any monitoring program to monitor the natural variability of reference conditions.

### 7.10 Risk based guideline packages

Ideally, risk based guideline packages should be developed for each ecosystem issue and ecosystem type represented in the Great Barrier Reef. These guideline packages consist of two components, a set of low risk trigger values (for key stressors) and a protocol for further investigating the risk where the trigger value is exceeded (ANZECC and ARMCANZ 2000).

Quantification of the relationships between the key stressors and environmental factors in Great REPORTORINARIA PARK AUTHORIT BOARD DECISION ON 3 DECISIONO Barrier Reef ecosystems has not been undertaken to date, hence no risk-based guideline packages have been developed. To facilitate the development of these guideline packages, clarification of

### 8 Conclusion

This document provides trigger values for a range of contaminants based on their effects on marine aquatic ecosystems. It is presented as a benchmark document. It is the intention that this document will be updated periodically to include new information and enhance the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority's ability to manage the Marine Park for current and future generations.

The aim in any application of the guidelines is to improve the long-term protection and maintenance of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area. Trigger values derived in these guidelines are presented in the following tables. Through other programs comprehensive data are being collected on current condition of waterways. Where the assessment of current conduron is better than the long-term guideline trigger values presented here, or the state or national guidelines, the precautionary long-term approach is to adopt an objective that is equal to current condition so that water quality does not degrade (eg in some cases in the Mackay Whitsunday Water Quality Improvement Plan (Drewry et al 2008), Rohde et al 2008). The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority wholeheartedly support the implementation of more stringent objectives.

Parameters that are not listed here default to the Queensland Water Quarry Guidelines 2006, which in turn default to the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2000 (currently under review).

	Walerbody				
Parameter	Enclosed coastal	Open	Midshelf	Offshore	
	(Wet Tropics/Central	coastal			
	Coast)				
Chlorophyll a		~			
(µg/L)	2.0	0.45	0.45	0.4	
Secchi depth ¹					
(m)	1.0/1.5	10	10	17	
SS (mg/L)	5.0/15	2.0	2.0	0.7	
PN (µg/L)	na	20	20	17	
PP (µg/L)	na	2.8	2.8	1.9	

¹ Guideline trigger values for water arity need to be decreased by 20 per cent for areas with greater than 5 m tidal ranges eg Broad Sound. na Guideline trigger values are not currently available for these parameters for enclosed coastal waters.

For the following parameters, the trigger values are chosen to be applied in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park case regardless of the current condition of the ecosystems, or indeed regardless of the flow of vater. Therefore, only single values are set and apply equally to the water bodies.

/	Parameter	Guideline trigger value
	Sedimentation	Maximum mean annual sedimentation rate of 3 mg/cm ² /d, and a daily
)	rate	maximum of 15 mg/cm ² /d
	Sea	Increases of no more than 1°C above the long-term average maximum
	temperature	

High, moderate and low reliability guideline trigger values were derived for listed pesticides, and for tributyltin, where sufficient marine specific data were available. Where there was insufficient data the trigger values from the *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality 2000* are repeated here. All pesticide and biocide trigger values are set protective of 99 per cent of species.

Pesticide	Trigger value, μg/L	
	High reliability	
Chlorpyrifos	0.0005	
	Moderate reliability	
Diuron	0.9	
Atrazine	0.6	<b>N</b>
Ametryn	0.5	$\gamma$
2,4-D	0.8	
Endosulfan	0.005	
	Low reliability	
Simazine	0.2	
Hexazinone	1.2	
Tebuthiuron	0.02	
MEMC	0.002	
Diazinon	0.00003	
Biocide	High reliability	
Tributyltin	0.0004*	

* In recognition of their slightly to moderately disturbed systems state existing approved spoil dumping ground guideline trigger values are set protective of 95 per cent of species. Roter to section 6.5.

The trigger values identified in these guidelines are not targets, but are guideline trigger values that, when exceeded, trigger management responses. Management responses are a part of the adaptive management strategies in Water Quality Improvement Plans in the Great Barrier Reef catchments and in regional natural resource management plans.

We know that under present conditions concernations sometimes exceed those set in these guidelines (particularly in flood events). Many actions are already being undertaken to improve water quality and as those are widely implemented in the catchments the situation is expected to improve. Careful consideration will be made of any monitoring results that are over the trigger values in deciding if any action is needed. The Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority acknowledge and emphasise the importance of working with people to set appropriate short-term and long-term targets for the catchments that they live in, and supporting activities in their area that will improve local water quality and subsequently protect the health of the Great Barrier Reef.

These guidelines device trigger values that will be used to:

- Support setting targets for water quality leaving catchments
- Propublic management actions where trigger levels are exceeded
- Envourage strategies to minimise release of contaminants
- Identify further research into impacts of contaminants in the Marine Park
- Assess cumulative impacts on the Great Barrier Reef ecosystems at local and regional levels
- Provide an information source for natural resource management bodies, industry, government and communities.

The guidelines will be revised from time to time as we find out more about our systems and their responses to different conditions. Comments on the guidelines are invited at any time and can be made to:

### **Corresponding author: Carol Honchin**

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority PO Box 1379 Townsville QLD 4810 Or by email to: wqguide@gbrmpa.gov.au

REPORT BORNAGE PARK AUTHORIT BOARD DECISION ON 3 DECISIONO

### Appendices

### Appendix 1: Pesticides

#### 1.1 Diuron

Diuron is a long acting (residual) herbicide that has been registered in Australia for more than 20 years. Diuron kills weeds by inhibiting the process of photosynthesis, this means that plants cannot convert sunlight energy to grow. It is absorbed by the plant via the root system.

The hald life of diuron varies from 5 days to 372 days depending on soil type and aerobic/anaerobic conditions.

OFC-2A In Australia there are currently 88 registered products containing diuron. Diuron is used to kill weeds total before and after emergence. Most of the uses are in agriculture to control all types of weeds in sugarbane, cotton, broadacre crops (oats, wheat, barley), citrus and some horticultural crops such as pineapric and bananas. It is also used to control weeds in irrigation channels and drainage ditches. Diuron is used as a component of antifouling paints, to protect boats from marine growth, in home aquariums and ponds to prevent algal growth and for weed control around buildings, railway lines, sheds and drivewys.

Key findings of the 2005 Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority into the use of diuron included that:

- there is a risk to the environment caused by diuron in water and so the form use in sugarcane, cotton, citrus, horticultural crops and in irrigation channels and drainage ditches; and
- the risk to the environment can be reduced by decreasing the environmental load (through reducing application of diuron).

Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (2005) Diuron review – FAQ. http://www.apvma.gov.au/chemrev/diuron FAQ.shtml (Accessed 14 September 2006)

#### 1.2 Atrazine

Atrazine is a selective, systemic herbicide that provides knockdown and residual action for control of many broad-leaved weeds and some grasses in tree plantations and a variety of crops such as sorghum, maize, canola and sugarcane. Atrazine is one of the most widely used herbicides in Australian agriculture. The chemical does not adsorb strongly to soil particles and has a lengthy half-life (60 to >100 days). Atrazine has a high potential for groundwater contamination despite its moderate solubility in water. Sunlight and saline conditions speed-up atrazine degradation rates (Brambilla et al 1993) resulting in a half-life less than 30 days in estuarine systems (Huber 1993). Tropical soil conditions also shorten atrazine's half life.

A 1997 National Registra Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals (NRA) review found that atrazine continues to demonstrate the potential to contaminate ground and surface water and that safety margins for aquatic oxer in some circumstances, narrow. The NRA recommended that measures be taken to reduce quatic contamination, and that levels of atrazine and its major metabolites in the environment be nonitored to determine trends in atrazine contamination of surface and ground waters and to establish whether current and future restrictions are effective in maintaining or improving safety margins.

National Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals. Review of Atrazine (1997) www.apvma.gov.au/chemrev/atsum.shtml - Toc392061205 (Accessed 14 September 2006) NEN Body Burden Community Monitoring Handbook: Chemical Fact Sheet (2002) http://www.oztoxics.org/cmwg/chemicals/rbapts_chem/Atrazine.html (Accessed 14 September 2006)

#### 1.3 Ametrvn

Ametryn, a member of the Triazine chemical family, is a herbicide which inhibits photosynthesis and other enzymatic processes. It is used to control broadleaf weeds and annual grasses in pineapple, sugarcane and bananas.

Ametryn's half-life in soils, the amount of time it takes to degrade to half of the original concentration, is 70 to 250 days, depending on the soil type and weather conditions. Loss from the soil is principally by microbial degradation (1, 3). Ametryn moves both vertically and laterally in soil due to its high water solubility (5). Because it is persistent, it may leach as a result of high rainfall, floods, and furrow irrigation (1).

Ametryn is moderately toxic to fish. The LC50 for rainbow trout exposed for 96 hours is 8.8 mg/l. The LC50 for bluegill is 4.1 mg/l and for goldfish it is 14.1 mg/l ( $\underline{2}$ ,  $\underline{3}$ ). Ametryn is highly toxic to crustaceans and moderately to highly toxic to mollusks ( $\underline{4}$ ).

Cornell University. The Extension Toxicology Network.

http://pmep.cce.cornell.edu/profiles/extoxnet/24d-captan/ametryn-ext.html (Accessed 26 September 2006).

### REFERENCES

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. Health Advisory Summary: Ametryn. U.S. EPA. Washington, DC.

FC-2

- 2. Meister, R. T. 1992. Farm Chemicals Handbook '92. Meister Publishing Company. Willough OH.
- 3. The Agrochemicals Handbook, Third Edition. 1994. Royal Society of Chemistry Information Systems, Unwin Brothers Ltd., Surrey, England.
- 4. Briggs, Shirley. 1992. Basic Guide to Pesticides. Hemisphere Publishing. Washington DC.
- 5. Thomson, W. T. 1982. Agricultural Chemicals Book II Herbicides. Thomson Publications. Fresno, CA.

### 1.4 Simazine

Simazine (2-chloro-4,6-bis(ethylamino)-*s*-triazine) is a synthetic chemical that is widely used as an herbicide to control the growth of weeds. Its primary agricultural use is to control broad-leaf and grassy weeds in corn fields, citrus crops, alfalfa and grapes. It is also used to control weeds in strawberries, apples, pears, nuts, olives, pineapples, asparagus, sugar cane, tea and coffee to is often used as a *pre-emergent herbicide* to control weeds before the new seedlings emerge from the soil. Its non-agricultural uses have included weed control on vacant lots and right-of-ways.

It is a photosynthesis inhibitor. Its half-life is 30 days framilton and Haydon 1997 cited in McMahon et al 2003). It has a soil adsorption coefficient of 100 (NoAdhon et al 2003).

There is some evidence that simazine persists in soil. About half of the simazine is still present in soil 12 days to two years after its application. Over time, some of the simazine is broken down by bacteria in the soil. More information is needed on the persistency of these simazine breakdown products in soil, and their potential to leach into ground and surface water.

Cornell University. The Spreener Institute for Comparative Cancer Research. Division of Cancer and Environment.

http://envirocancer.com/il.edu/FactSheet/Pesticide/fs16.simazine.cfm (Accessed 26 September 2006).

## 1.5 Hexazinone

Hexazinone is a contact and residual herbicide. It is a photosynthetic inhibitor, effective for reducing competition from broad leaf trees and bushes, as well as annual and perennial weeds.

In sacaral stream water hexazinone half-life has been reported to be greater than 56 days by Kollman and Segawa (1995) and Linders et al (1994), and more than 260 days by Bouchard et al (1985). Soil hexazinone studies have determined half-lives of 10 to 275 days (Neary et al 1983, Bouchard et al 1985, Michael 1990, Kollman and Segawa 1995). Linders et al (1994) classified hexazinone as "slightly degradable" with a half-life of 62 days.

Hexazinone is mobile in the environment and partitions into water more than to soil, or biota. In Linders et al (1994) hexazinone is classified as moderately mobile in soil. Bouchard and Lavy (1985) found that hexazinone is weakly adsorbed by soil, in fact, less adsorbed by soil and more mobile than atrazine. Also, hexazinone is the most water-soluble triazine herbicide. With the moderate to long half-life and moderate mobility, hexazinone can potentially move off-site with water in runoff and in baseflow.

Department of Pesticide Regulation, Sacramento, USA. Environmental Monitoring & Pest Management Branch. Environmental fate of hexazinone. Ganapathy, C.

### http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/empm/pubs/fatememo/hxzinone.pdf - search=%22hexazinone%22

(Accessed 26 September 2006).

### REFERENCES

Bouchard, D.C., and T. L. Lavy. 1985. Hexazinone adsorption-desorption studies with soil and organic adsorbents. J. of Environ. Qual., Vol. 14, no. 2, 181-186.

Linders, L. B. H. J., et al 1984. Pesticides:benefaction or Pandora's box? A synopsis of the environmental aspect of 243 pesticides. Research for Man and Environment. National Institute of Public Health and Environment. Bilthoven, the Netherlands. Report # 7101014.

Michael, J.L. 1990. Fate of Hexazinone After Application for Pine Planting Site Preparation. S.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Inc. Wilmington, DE. Document No. AMR 1786-90. unpublished stars, DPR #396-062.

Neary, D. G., P. B. Bush, and J. E. Douglass. 1983. Off-site movement of hexazi fore in stormflow and baseflow from forest watersheds. Weed Sci. 31:543-551.

#### 2,4-D 1.6

2,4-D is an herbicide in the phenoxy or phenoxyacetic acid family the source of a post emergent broadleaf weed control. It causes disruption of plant hormone responses including disruption of multiple growth processes in susceptible plants by affecting proteins in the plasma membrane, interfering with RNA production, and changing the properties and integrity of the plasma membrane. The plant's vascular system becomes blocked and inhibits the vascular transport system.

2,4-D acid is non-persistent in terrestrial environments (half life = 6.2 days), moderately persistent in aerobic aquatic environments (half life = 45 days), and highly persistent in anaerobic terrestrial and aquatic environments (half life = 231 days). Because 2.4 Dacid will be anionic under most environmental conditions, it is expected to be highly mobile in soil and aquatic environments.

Marine invertebrate LC50 s ranged from .092 to >66 mg ae/l for the 2,4-D butoxyethyl ester (BEE).

US EPA. Environmental Fate and ffects Division's Risk Assessmentfor the Reregistration Eligibility Document for 2,4-Dichloropheroxyacetic Acid (2,4-D). Corbin,

http://www.epa.gov/espp/afects/24d/attachment-b.pdf (Accessed 26 September 2006).

### 1.7 Tebuthing

Tebuthiuron is **Area** - spectrum herbicide used to control weeds in non-cropland areas, rangelands, rightsof-way, and mustrial sites. It is effective on woody and herbaceous plants in grasslands and sugar cane. It is a photosynthesis inhibitor.

Tebainteron is highly persistent in soil with reported half-lives from 12 to 15 months in areas with over 40 increasing annual rainfall, with longer half-lives expected in drier areas or in soils with high organic matter content [1]. Tebuthiuron is broken down slowly in the soil through microbial degradation. Breakdown by sunlight is negligible, as is volatilisation (or evaporation from the soil surface) [1]. It is poorly bound to soil, suggesting high mobility. In field studies, however, little or no lateral movement has been seen in soils with appreciable clay or organic matter content [1].

### EXTOXNET. Extension Toxicology Network. Pesticide Information Profile.

Cooperative Extension Offices of Cornell University, Oregon State University, the University of Idaho, and the University of California at Davis and the Institute for Environmental Toxicology, Michigan State Uni. http://extoxnet.orst.edu/pips/tebuthiu.htm (Accessed 27 September 2006).

REFERENCES: 1. Weed Science Society of America. Herbicide Handbook, Seventh Edition. Champaign, IL, 1994.9-5

### 1.8 Chlorpyrifos / chloropyrifos oxon

Chlorpyrifos is a broad-spectrum organophosphate insecticide. It is registered for use in Australia for crop protection and pest control. In agricultural applications, chlorpyrifos is registered to control a broad range of insect pests across many crops. In domestic and commercial settings it is registered for the control of pests such as termites, fleas and cockroaches. It is also registered for use in cat and dog flea shampoos and collars, and in flea sprays for dogs (Queensland health).

It acts by inhibiting an enzyme involved in neural transmissions (Humphrey and Klumpp 2003).

It has a relatively persistent nature, with a half-life between 29 and 74 days in water (Racke 1993 cited in Humphrey and Klumpp 2004). It is not persistent in the water column so spot sampling can miss it. Continuous samplers are recording its occurrence in marine water bodies of the Great Barrier Reef (Muller, pers. comm.). Its detection is of concern, particularly for the early life stages of corals and fish.

FC-24

Chlorpyrifos is one of the most widely used insecticides in Queensland sugar industry being applied at rates of up to 74 tonne per year across Queensland (Hamilton and Haydon 1996).

### 1.9 Endosulfan

Endosulfan is a broad spectrum organochlorine insecticide for the control of a large variety of insects and mites in crops.

It is moderately persistent in the soil environment with a reported average field half-life of 50 days. The two isomers have different degradation times in soil (half-lives of 35 and 150 days for á - and â -isomers, respectively, in neutral conditions). It has a moderate capacity to adsord to soils and it is not likely to leach to groundwater. In plants, endosulfan is rapidly broken down to the corresponding sulfate, on most fruits and vegetables, 50 per cent of the parent residue is lost within three to seven days.

Endosulfan is highly to moderately toxic to bird species (Mallards: oral LD50 31 - 243 mg/kg) and it is very toxic to aquatic organisms (96-hour LC50 rainbow trout 1.5  $\mu$ g/L). It has also shown high toxicity in rats (oral LD50: 18 - 160 mg/kg, and dermal: 78 - 359 mg/kg). There is a strong evidence of its potential for endocrine disruption.

Concentrations of endosulfan in sediments from Queensland sugar and cane farms have been found up to  $840 \mu g/kg$  (Muller et al 2000).

IPEN Body Burden Community Monitoring Handbook: Chemical Fact Sheet (2002) http://www.oztoxics.org/cmwg/chemicals/rbapts_chem/Endosulfan.html (Accessed 27 September 2006).

### 1.10 MEMC

2-Methylethyl mercuric chloride (MEMC) is a fungicide.

More than 500 kg of the fungicide methylethylmercuric chloride was applied each year for 40 years in one Great Barrier Keef catchment (Johnson & Ebert 2000 cited in Markey et al, in press). Great Barrier Reef sediment cores have identified mercury concentrations of up to 100  $\mu$ g kg⁻¹, an order of magnitude higher than background concentrations (Walker and Brunskill 1997 cited in Markey et al 2007). These concentrations were attributed to the contemporary application of mercury-based fungicides on sugar cane farms (Markey et al 2007).

### Diazinon

Diazinon is an organophosphate insecticide used to control insects that acts by inhibiting neuromuscular system activity (Pesando et al 2003).

Its persistence in the environment is generally considered reasonably short (1-2 months). Pesando et al (2003) however cites Dauberschmidt et al (1996) as reporting that it may persist in sediments for longer, and cites Romero et al (1989) that effects in organisms may last several months due to persistence in the blood.

It has a low persistence in soil. The half-life is two to four weeks [1]. Bacterial enzymes can speed the breakdown of diazinon and have been used in treating emergency situations such as spills [2]. Diazinon seldom migrates below the top half inch in soil, but in some instances it may contaminate groundwater.

Breakdown rate in water is dependent on the acidity. At highly acidic levels, one half of the compound disappeared within 12 hours while in a neutral solution, the pesticide took six months to degrade to one half

In plants, a low temperature and a high oil content tend to increase the persistence [3]. Generally the half-

<text><text><text><text><text> 3.04.24 Cooperative Extension Offices of Cornell University, Oregon State University, the University of Idaho, and the University of California at Davis and the Institute for Environmental Toxicology, Michigan State Uni.

SCS/ARS/CES Pesticide properties database for environmental decisionmaking. Rev. Environ. Coltam.

(2) Howard, P. H., Ed. Handbook of Environmental Fate and Exposure Data for Organic Chemicals. Vol 3:

# Appendix 2: Other contaminants

### 2.1 Tributyltin

Organotin compounds are potent toxins, and this property has led to their use in a range of biocides including ship antifouling paints. Their use on large ships is extensive, with most of the world's oceangoing fleet reliant on their use to inhibit fouling growth (Michel and Averty 1999). Tributyltin (TBT) based antifoulant paints have been used in Australia since the early 1970s, although use on vessels smaller than 25m was banned in Eastern Australian States in 1989 in response to growing evidence of environmental impacts in semi-enclosed waters subject to intensive shipping or ship-related activity (Wilson et al 1993, Batley 1996, Evans 1999). Butyltins are highly toxic to a range of marine reef biota including scleractinian corals (Morse et al 1988, Allemand et al 1998, Negri and Heyward 2001), octocorals (Sebens 1983), other cnidarians (Mercier et al 1996, Leitz 1997) and molluscs (Labare et al 1997), and bryozoans (Kitamura and Hirayama 1987). Its mode of action is primarily through disruption of the functioning of cell membranes (Viarengo 1989, Fent 1996), and microcosm experiments have demonstrated its effectiveness against organisms that recruit to hard substrata (Henderson 1988).

### 2.2 Dioxins

Dioxins are a group of 210 chlorinated compounds consisting of chlorinated diberzopara-dioxins (PCDDs) and chlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs). They are formed during various chemical and industrial manufacturing processes and by combustion of organic material (Kjeller et al 1991), and also via lesser-known natural processes (Hashimoto et al 1995, Alcock et al 1998). Dioxins are known to display a diverse and complex array of toxicological properties (Buckland et al 1990) and have been detected in a variety of environmental compartments including freshwater and marine sediments (Czuzwa and Hites 1984, Rappe et al 1987, Jonsson et al 1993, Mosse and Haynes 1993) and the tissue of marine mammals (Buckland et al 1990, Muir et al 1996, Haynes et al 1999).

A summary of the findings of studies on the effects of forms conducted from 2001-2004 was published in 2004 (Department of the Environment and Heritage 2004)).

### 2.3 Organochlorines

Chlorinated organic compounds (or organichlorines) are carbon-based chemicals that contain bound chlorine. These compounds are mostly artificial and enter the environment mainly through human activities. However, it is now recognised that marine algae and invertebrates and natural processes such as forest fires also contribute variable quantities of organochlorines to the environment (Leach et al. 1985;Enell and Wennberg 1991;Gribble 1994). Chlorinated organic compounds have had a wide range of industrial and agricultural applications, although many of them are now banned from use. They include pesticides such as DDT (dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane) and lindane ( $\gamma$ -HCH or gamma-hexachlorocyclohexates and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs).

The few studies of the impacts of organochlorine compounds carried out in Australian freshwater and marine environments indicate that environmental contamination by organochlorine substances has occurred at relatives low concentrations in Australia. Highest concentrations have been associated with centres of urbanisation (Richardson 1995). This contamination pattern is similar to the findings of studies elsewhere who have identified chlorinated organic compounds in estuarine and marine sediments near major metropolitan areas along the eastern coast of the United States and at a wide range of locations in Europe and Asia associated with human settlement (Alvarez Piñeiro et al 1995;Mohapatra et al 1995;Thompson et al. 1996;Agnihotri et al 1996).

Organochlorine pesticides enter the environment via a number of routes following their release or application. They enter the atmosphere directly during spraying, and later following volatilisation of deposited spray from both foliage and surface soil (Nash and Hill 1990). They also enter the atmosphere adsorbed to wind-blown dust particles (Clark 1992), which are ultimately re-deposited on land or water. Applied and deposited pesticides are transported from application and depositional sites to the aquatic environment in overland flows and ground leachate following rainfalls (Clendening et al. 1990). Organochlorine compounds can also enter the environment as contaminants contained in effluent discharges and in urban stormwater runoff. Organochlorine compounds are highly hydrophobic and once in the water column, tend to adsorb to fine particulates or be bioaccumulated into lipids in aquatic biota (Olsen et al 1982). The final distribution of organochlorine compounds between the different phases in the aquatic environment is complex (Connell 1995). The consequences of organochlorine tissue accumulation

are also complex (Clark 1992) and organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) have been implicated in reproductive and immunological abnormalities observed in terrestrial bird populations and in marine mammal populations (Boon et al. 1992). While the impact of organochlorines are still unclear for lower invertebrates such as corals, and their potential toxicity to immune systems and reproductive processes is of concern.

The persistent nature of many of these and related contaminants, together with possible continued illegal

Heavy metals are natural constituents of rocks and soils and enter the environment as a consequence of weathering and erosion. Many metals are biologically essential, but all have the potential to be toxic to biota above certain threshold concentrations. Following industrialisation, unnatureal such as arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu), mercury (How to be released, and continue to be released. stormwater and wastewater discharges. As, Cd, Cu, Hg and Zn are the metals with the most potential impact that enter the environment as a consequence of agricultural activity. Zn and wave used in small amounts as fertilisers in some soils deficient in these elements, and As, Cd and Hg are constituents of some fungicides (Hunter 1992). Cu is also used as an algaecide and Cd and Zn occur (s contaminants of phosphatic fertilisers (Rayment et al. 1989). Another metallic compound, organotin, has no natural counterparts and is generally introduced into the marine environment though biocide applications, principally as constituents of antifouling paints (Witney unpublished report).

Metals are strongly associated with particulates and enter the marine environment in a similar fashion to organochlorine compounds. They mostly enter the environment via the atmospheric transport of dust and through sediment movement in overland flows and in waterways (Bryan 1971). Additional quantities of metals are also added to the environment via the discharge of effluent and urban stormwater. Particulate metals in suspension and in bottom sediments are not generally directly available to aquatic organisms. The exception to this is sediment bound metals, which an be accumulated following solubilisation in the acidic juices of a sediment-feeder's gut (Waldichuk 1985). The rates at which metals are solubilised from particulates is dependent on environmental actors including dissolved oxygen concentrations, pH, salinity and temperature (Waldichuk 1985). Once dissolved in the water column, metals may be accumulated by marine invertebrates from solution via sive uptake across permeable surfaces such as gills and the digestive tract (Rainbow 1990). Cellular metal toxicity is primarily due to the chemical inactivation of ible viour REVOKED BY MARINE REVOKED BY MARINE cellular enzymes responsible for normal organism survival and function (1989). Organism growth, reproduction and behaviour recalso potentially affected by elevated environmental metal concentrations (Langston 1990).

## List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

	ac	active constituent
	ae	acid equivalent
	AIMS	Australian Institute of Marine Science
	ANZECC	Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council
	APVMA	Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
	ARMCANZ	Agricultural and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand
	CASM	Comprehensive aquatic systems model
	CCI	Coastal Catchments Initiative
	chl	chlorophyll
	CL	confidence level
	cm	centimetre
	d	day
	DEWHA	Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (previously Department of the Environment and Heritage)
	EC10	The concentration of a test substance resulting in an effect on 10% of the test species
	EC50	The concentration of a test substance resulting in an effect on 50% of the test species
	EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
	GBRMPA	Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority
	h	hour
	IC50	The concentration of a test substance resulting in an inhibition of a given biological process (or component of a process) in 50% of the test species
	L	litre
	LC50	Concentration (for example, in water, food or soil) resulting in a 50% mortality of the set organism.
	LOEC	Lowest Observed Effect Concentration ie the test concentration at which some effect occurs
	MEMC	2-methylethyl mercuric chloride
	mg	prilligram
	NOEC	Observed Effect Concentration ie the test concentration at which no effect vis observed
	NRA	National Registration Authority (precursor agency to the APVMA)
	NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
	NWQMS	National Water Quality Management Strategy
	OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
	PN	particulate nitrogen
	₩РР	particulate phosphorus
'O'	RED	Re-registration Eligibility Document
	SS	suspended solids
$Q^{\mathbf{v}}$	SSD	Species Sensitivity Distribution
•	μg	micrograms

# Glossary

	Active constituent	Active constituents are the substance/s in an agvet chemical product primarily responsible for a product's biological or other effects	
	Acute	Rapid adverse effect caused by a substance in a living organism. Can define either the exposure or the response to an exposure. This document applies acute exposure for multi-celled organisms as being less than or equal to 96 hours, and for single-celled organisms as being less than 72 hours (Warne 2001)	بر جریک
	Ambient waters	Surrounding waters, generally of largely natural occurrence	$\checkmark$
	Assessment factor	A unitless number applied to the lowest toxicity effect figure for a cheminal to derive a concentration that should not cause adverse environmental effects in the absence of sufficient data to apply more rigorous derivation methods	
	Aquatic ecosystem	Any watery environment, from small to large, from pond to ocean, in which plants and animals interact with the chemical and physical beaures of the environment	
	Benthic	Referring to organisms living in, or on, the sediments of analic habitats	
	Biomass	The living weight of a plant or animal population, as ally expressed on a unit area basis	
	Catchment	The total area draining into a river, reservoir, or other body of water including the Great Barrier Reef lagoon	
	Chronic	Lingering or continuing adverse effect caused by a substance in a living organism for a long time; often for periods of several weeks to years. Can define either the exposure or peresponse to and exposure (effect). This document applies chronic exposure for multi-celled organisms as being greater than 96 hours, and for single-celled organisms as being equal to or greater than 72 hours (Warne 2001)	_
	Concentration	The quantifiable amount of chemical in, say, water, food or sediment	
	Contaminant	Biological (eg. becterial and viral pathogens) and chemical (see Toxicant) introductions capable of producing an adverse response (effect) in a biological system, seriously injuring structure or function or resulting in death	_
	Criteria	Scient fic data	
	Desorption	Repeal of an absorbed material from a surface	_
	Direct toxicity assessment/ test	The use of toxicity tests to determine the acute and/or chronic toxicity of a particular contaminant on an organism	
	Economic hardlet	The shills of an exception to support and unitation has easily included	
	NAT NAT	and organisms so that their species compositions, diversity and functional organisations are as comparable as possible to those occurring in natural habitats within a region	
	Enclosed coastal water body	The water body adopted from the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines 2006. Refer to text at section 3.2 for full description	
<u>/</u>	Endpoint	Measured attainment response	
OFVOX	Environmental values	Particular values or uses of the environment that are important for a healthy ecosystem or for public benefit, welfare, safety or health and that require protection from the effects of pollution, waste discharges and deposits. Several environmental values may be designated for a specific waterbody	
X-	Fertilization	The fusion of gametes to produce a new organism of the same species	
	Geometric mean	A type of mean or average, which indicates the central tendency or typical value of a set of numbers. It is similar to the arithmetic mean, which is what most people think of with the word "average," except that instead of adding the set of numbers and then dividing the sum by the count of numbers in the set, $n$ , the numbers are multiplied and then the nth root of the resulting product is taken	

	Guideline	Numerical concentration limit or narrative statement recommended to support and maintain a particular water use. In the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park the use is the function of aquatic ecosystems	
	High reliability guideline trigger value	Trigger values that have a higher degree of confidence because they are derived from chronic no observed effect concentration (NOEC) toxicity data for five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups (Warne 2001)	(
	Inhibition	A restraining of the function of a particular process or sequence	C:
	Inshore	In most cases, the water body commencing at the seaward edge of the open coastal water body (0.1 across the continental shelf) and continuing to the relative distance of 0.4 across the continental shelf assuming the shoreline may a value of zero, and the edge of the continental shelf has a value of one. In general text referenced to other authors the inshore water body may also include open coastal and enclosed coastal water body	
	Low reliability guideline trigger value	Trigger values that have a low degree of confidence because they are derived from an inadequate data set. They are derived using either assessment factors or from modelled data or by adopting freshwater guideline trigger values.	
	Median	Middle value in a sequence of numbers	
	Metamorphosis	A biological process by which an animal physically develops after birth or hatching, involving a conspicuous and relatively obrupt change in the animal's form or structure through cell growth and offerentiation	
	Midshelf water body	The water body commencing at the seaward edge of the open coastal water body and continuing to the relative distance of 0.4 across the continental shelf assuming the shoreline has a varie of zero, and the edge of the continental shelf has a value of one. Referred to in De'ath and Fabricius (2008) as the inshore water body	
	Moderate reliability guideline trigger value	Trigger values that have a moderate degree of confidence because they are derived from a minimum data requirement (Warne 2001) of five different species that belong to at least four different taxonomic groups, and applying into the Burrliez statistical distribution method (Campbell et al 2000)	
	Mortality	The condition of susceptibility to death	
	Offshore water body	The water body commencing at the seaward edge of the midshelf water body and communing to the relative distance of one across the continental shelf assuming the shoreline has a value of zero, and the edge of the continental shelf has a value of one	
	Open coastal water body	The water body commencing at the seaward edge of the enclosed coastal water body and continuing to the relative distance of 0.1 across the continental shelf assuming the shoreline has a value of zero, and the edge of the continental shelf has a value of one. Referred to in De'ath and Fabricius (2008) as the coastal water body	
	Parameter	A measurable or quantifiable characteristic or feature	
	Percentile	Division of a frequency distribution into one hundredths	
.6	Postičide	A substance or mixture of substances used to kill unwanted species of plants or animals	
104	Photosynthesis	chlorophyll using light energy	
AL.	Physico-chemical	Refers to the physical (eg temperature, electrical conductivity) and chemical (eg concentration of nitrate, mercury) characteristics of water	
N	Primary production	The production of organic matter from inorganic materials	
	Reference condition	An environmental quality or condition that is defined from as many similar systems as possible and used as a benchmark for determining the environmental quality or condition to be achieved and/or maintained in a particular system of equivalent type	

SedNet	A web-based management tool that constructs sediment budgets for river networks to identify patterns in the material fluxes. This can assist effective targeting of catchment and river management actions to improve water quality and riverine habitat
Sorption	Process whereby contaminants in soil adhere to the inorganic and organic soil particles
Species richness	The number of species present (generally applied to a sample or community)
Sublethal effects	Below the level that causes death
Tolerance	The ability of an organism to withstand adverse or other environmental conditions for an indefinitely long exposure without dying
Toxicant	A chemical capable of producing an adverse response (effect) in a biological system at concentrations that might be encountered in the environment, seriously injuring structure or function or producing death
Toxicity test	The means by which the toxicity of a chemical or other test material is determined. A toxicity test is used to measure the degree of response produced by exposure to a specific level of stimulus (or concentration of chemical)
Toxicology	The study of the nature and effects of poisions
Trigger value	Concentration (or other measure) of the particular parameter measured for the ecosystem, below which there exists a for risk that adverse biological (ecological) effects will occur. They indicate a risk of potential impact if exceeded and should 'trigger' some action, either implementation of management/remedial action, or costr investigation to identify if the value has been set at the appropriate level for the particular organism
Zooplankton	The animal portion of the plankton
Zooxanthellae	Intracellular endosymptionts of various marine animals and protozoa, especially anthozoans uch as the scleractinian corals and the tropical sea anemone, <i>Aiptasia</i>

Beecially antioco anome, Aiptasia

### References

Abal E and Dennison WC (1996). Seagrass depth range and water quality in southern Moreton Bay, Queensland, *Marine and Freshwater Research* 47:763-771.

Abdel-Salam H, Porter JW, Hatcher BG (1988). Physiological effects of sediment rejection on photosynthesis and respiration in three Caribbean reef corals. Proceedings of the Sixth International Coral Reef Symposium, Townsville, Australia 10:285-292.

Achituv Y, Dubinksy, Z. (1990) Evolution and zoogeography of coral reefs. Elsevier Scientific Publishing, New York

Access Economics Pty Limited (2007). *Measuring the Economic and Financial Value of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park* (2005-2006). A report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Agnihotri NP, Kulshrestha G, Gajbhiye VT, Mohapatra SP and Singh SB (1996). Organochlorine insecticide residues in agricultural soils of the Indo-Gangetic plain. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 40:279-288.

Alcock RE, McLachlan MS, Johnston AE and Jones KC (1998). Evidence for the presence of PCDD/Fs in the environment prior to 1900 and further studies on their temporal trends. *Environmental Science and Technology* 32:1580-1587.

Allemand D, Tambutté É, Girard J and Jaubert J (1998). Organic matrix synthesis in the scelactinian coral Stylophora pistillata: role in biomineralisation and potential target of the organotin tributyltim. The Journal of Experimental Biology 201(13):2011 2009.

Alvarez Piñeiro ME, Simal Lozano J and Lage Yusty MA (1995). Organochlorine compounds in mussels of the estuarine bays of Galicia (north-west Spain). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 3(1984-487.

Anon (2003). The State Queensland and Commonwealth of Antralia, Reef Water Quality Protection Plan; for catchments adjacent to the Great Barrier Reef Work Heritage Area, Queensland Department on remier and Cabinet, Brisbane.

Anthony K, Connolly S, Willis B (2004). Environmental limits to growth: physiological niche boundaries of corals along turbidity-light gradients. *Decologia* 141:373-384

Anthony KR, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2003). Kinetics of photoacclimation in corals. *Oecologia* 134:23-31

Anthony KRN (1999). Coral suspension feeding on fine particulate matter. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 232:85-106

Anthony KRN (2000). Enhanced particle-feeding capacity of corals on turbid reefs (Great Barrier reef, Australia). *Coral Reefs* 19:59-67

Anthony KRN, Fabricius KE (2000). Shifting roles of heterotrophy and autotrophy in coral energetics under varying turbidity. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 2:221-253

Anthony KRN (2000). Enhanced particle-feeding capacity of corals on turbid reefs (Great Barrier Reef, Australia). *Coral Reefs* 19:9-67.

Anthony KRN and Fabricius KE (2000). Shifting roles of heterotrophy and autotrophy in coral energetics under varying turbidity. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 252:221-253.

Arufe MI, Arellano J, Moreno MJ, Sarasquet C (2004). Comparative toxic effects of formulated simazine on *Vibrio fischeri* and gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.) larvae. *Chemosphere* 57: 1725-1732

ANZECC (Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council) and ARMCANZ (Agriculture and Recorce Management Council of Australia and New Zealand) (2000). *Australian and New Zealand Purdelines for fresh and marine water quality*. National Water Quality Management Strategy. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, Canberra.

ANZECC and ARMCANZ (Australia and New Zealand and Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand) (1994). *National water quality management strategy*, Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand and Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council, Canberra.

APVMA (Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority) (2005). The Reconsideration of Approvals of the Active Constituent Diuron, Registrations of Products containing Diuron and their Associated Labels. Preliminary Review Findings. Volume I and II.

Babcock R and Davies P (1991). Effects of sedimentation on settlement of *Acropora millepora*. *Coral Reefs* 9:205-208.

Babcock R and Mundy C (1996). Coral recruitment: Consequences of settlement choice for early growth and survivorship in two scleractinians. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 206:179-201.

Babcock RC and Smith L (2002). Effects of sedimentation on coral settlement and survivorship. In: *Proceedings of the Ninth International Coral Reef Symposium*, Bali, October 23-27 2000. Moosa MKK, Soemodihardjo S, Nontji A, Soegiarto A, Romimohtarto K, Sukarno and Suharsono (eds), Bali, Indonesia, 245-248. Bak RPM (1976). The growth of coral colonies and the importance of crustose coralline algae and burrowing sponges in relation with carbonate accumulation. *Neth. J. Sea Res* 10:285-337

Baker J (2003). Land-Sourced Contaminants and their Impacts on Water Quality in and adjacent to the Great Barrier Reef. Department of Premier and Cabinet, Brisbane, Queensland.

Batley GE (1996). The distribution and fate of tributyltin in the marine environment. In: *Tributyltins: case study of an environmental contaminant*, deMora SJ (ed), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 139-166.

Bell A and Duke N (2005). Effects of Photosystem II inhibiting herbicides on mangroves - preliminary toxicology trials. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51(1-4):297-307.

Bellamy JA, McDonald GT, Syme GJ and Walker DH (1999). Planning and implementing integrated catchment management. In: *Evaluation of integrated catchment management in a wet tropical environment*, compiled by Bellamy JA. CSIRO Brisbane. 229-252.

Bengtson-Nash S, Goddard J and Muller JF (2005b). Phytotoxicity of surface waters of the Thames and Brisbane River estuaries: a combined chemical analysis and bioassay approach for the comparison of two systems. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 21:2086-2093.

Bengtson-Nash S, Quayle PA, Schreiber U and Muller JF (2005a). The selection of a model microalgal species as biomaterial for a novel aquatic phytotoxicity assay. *Aquatic Toxicology* 72:315:326.

Bengtson-Nash S, Schreiber U, Ralph PJ and Muller JF (2004). The combined SPE:Tox FAM pnytotoxicity assay; application and appraisal of a novel biomonitoring tool for the equatic environment. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 20:1443-1451.

Benson AA and Summer's RE (1981). Arsenic accumulation in Great Barrier Reef invertebrates. *Science* 211:482-483.

Berkelman, A and Oliver JK (1999) Large-scale bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Rev* (\$18:55-60.

Bikeland C (1988) Geographic comparisons of coraleef community processes. Proceedings of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium, Australia.

Birrell C L, McCook LJ and Willis BL (2005). Effects of algal turfs and sediment on coral settlement. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:408-414.

Blake SG (1996). The distribution of sediments and nutrients throughout the Whitsunday Islands inner shelf region, Great Barrier Reef. Great Barrier Reef Conference. Townsville, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Blakemore L, Searle PL and Daly BK (1987). *Methods for the analysis of soils*. New Zealand Soil Bureau.

Boon JP, van Arnhem E, Jansen S, Kannan N, Petrick G, Schulz D, Duinker JC, Reijnders PJH and Goksoyr A (1992). The toxicokinetics of PCBs in marine mammals with special reference to possible interactions of individual congeners with the cytochrom P450-dependent monooxygenase system: an overview. In: *Persistent contaminants in marine ecosystems*. Walker CH and Livingstone DR (eds). Pergamon Press: Oxford, 119-60.

Bocquene G and Franco A (2005). Pesticide contamination of the coastline of Martinian *Warine Pollution Bulletin* 51(5-7):612-619.

Bongiorni L, Shafir S, Angel D, Finkevich B (2003a). Survival, growth and gonad development of two hermatypic corals subjected to in situ fish-farm nutrient enrichment. *Marine feeological Progress Series* 253:137-144.

Bongiorni L, Sbafir S, Rinkevich B (2003b). Effects of particulate matter eleased by a fish farm (Eilat, Red Sea) on survival and growth of *Stylophora pistillata* coral nubburs. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 46(9): 1120-1124.

Bouchard DC, and Lavy TL (1985a). Hexazinone adsorption-desorption studies with soil and organic adsorbents. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 14(2):181-186.

Bouchard, DC; Lavy, TL; Lawson, ER (1985b). Mobility and Persistence of Hexazinone in a Forest Watershed. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 14(2):229-233.

Boully L (1999). The need for a new ICM model. *Water* 27(3):26-28.

Brackup I and Capone DG (1985). The effect of several metal and organic contaminants on nitrogen fixation (acetylene reduction) by the roots and rhizomes of *Zostera marina* L. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 25:145-151.

Brady BA, Johns RB, and Smith JD (1994). Trace metal geochemical association in sediments from the Cairns Region of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 28:230-234.

Brambilla A, Rindone B, Polesello S, Galassi, S and Balestrini R (1993). The fate of triazine pesticides in River Po water. *The Science of the Total Environment* 132:339-348.

Brix H and Lyngby JE (1983). The distribution of some metallic elements in eelgrass and sediment in the Limfjord, Denmark. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 16:455-467.

Brix H, Lyngby JE and Schierup HH (1983). Eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) as an indicator organism of trace metals in the Limfjord, Denmark. *Marine Environmental Research* 8:165-181.

Brodie J (1994). Management of Sewage Discharge in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. 6th Pacific Congress on Marine Science and Technology, 457-65.

Brodie J (1997) The water quality status of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area. In: *Proceedings of the State of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Workshop*, November 1995. Wachenfeld, D, Oliver J and Davis K (eds). Workshop Series No. 23, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Brodie J, Binney J, Fabricius K, Gordon I, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Hunter H, O'Reagain P, Pearson R, Quirk M, Thorburn P, Waterhouse J, Webster I and Wilkinson S (2008). *Synthesis of Evidence to support the Scientific Consensus Statement on Water Quality in the Great Barrier Reef.* A Report to the Australian Government and partners.

Brodie J, Fabricius K, De'ath G and Okaji K (2005). Are increased nutrient inputs responsible for more outbreaks of crown-of-thorns starfish? An appraisal of the evidence. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51(1-4):266-278.

Brodie J and Furnas M (1994). Long term monitoring programs for eutrophication and the design of a monitoring program for the Great Barrier Reef. In: *Proceedings of the Seventh International Coral Reef Symposium*. Richmond RH (ed), Guam, 77-84.

Brodie J, Furnas M, Ghonim S, Haynes D, Mitchell A, Morris S, Waterhouse J, Yorkston H, Audas D, Lowe D and Ryan M (2001). *Great Barrier Reef Catchment Water Quality Action Plan.* Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, 116.

Brodie J and Furnas MJ (1996). Cyclones, riverflood plumes and natural water quality extremes in the central Great Barrier Reef. In: *Downstream Effects of Land Use*, Hunter HM, Eyles AG and Rayment GE (eds), Queensland Department of Natural Resources, Brisbane, 367-374.

Brodie J, Furnas M J, Steven ADL, Trott LA, Pantus F and Wright M (1997), Vonitoring chlorophyll in the Great Barrier Reefjagoon: trends and variability. In: *Proceedings of the 8th International Coral Reef Symposium*, 297-802.

Brodie L Hicks WS, Richards GN and Thomas FG (1962). Residues related to agricultural chemicals in the groundwaters of the Burdekin River delta, north Oceensland. *Environmental Pollution (Series B)* 8:187-215.

Brodie J, McKergow LA, Prosser IP, Furnas M, Hughes AO, Hunter H (2003). *Sources of sediment and nutrient exports to the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area*. Australian Centre for Tropical Freshwater Research, James Cook University, Townsville, 191.

Brodie J and Mitchell A (2005). Nutrients in Australian tropical rivers: changes with agricultural development and implications for receiving environments. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 56(3):279-302. Brown BE, Le Tissier MDA, Scoffin TP, Tudhope AW (1990). Evaluation of the environmental impact of dredging on intertidal coral reefs at Ko Phuket, Thailand, using ecological and physiological parameters. *Marine Ecology Prog Series* 65:273-281.

Bruno JF and Selig ER (2007). Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: timing, extent, and subregional comparisons. *PLoS ONE* 2: e711. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000711.

Brunskill GJ, Pfitzner J, Zargoskis I, Walker GS and Isdale P (1999). An episode of mercury contamination of Bowling Green Bay 1870-1900. In: *Sources, Parss and Consequences of Contaminants in the Great Barrier Reef and Torres Strait*, Kellaway Deal). Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Bryan GW (1971). The effects or heavy metals (other than mercury) on marine and estilarine organisms. *Proceedings of the ecological society of London (B)* 177:389-410.

Bryan GW and Langston WJ (1992). Bioavailability, accumulation and effects of heavy metals in sediments with special vertrence to United Kingdom estuaries: a review *Evotronmental Pollution* 76:89-131.

Bocknind SJ, Hannah DJ, Taucher JA, Slooten E and Dawson S (1990). Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in New Zealand's hector's dolphin. *Chemosphere* 20:1035-1042.

Burdon-Jones C, Denton GRW, Jones GB and McPhie KA (1982). Regional and seasonal variations of trace metals in tropical Phaeophyceae from north Queensland. *Marine Environmental Research* 7:13-30.

Butler GL (1977). Algae and pesticides. *Residue Reviews* 66:19-62.

Cairns Port Authority (1998). Water and sediment quality monitoring results: biannual report. Cairns Port Authority, Cairns.

Campbell E, Palmer MJ, Shao Q, Warne MStJ, Wilson D (2000). *BurrilOZ: A computer program for calculating toxicant trigger values for the ANZECC and ARMCANZ water quality guidelines.* Perth, Western Australia, Australia.

Campbell SJ and McKenzie JJ (2004). Flood related loss and recovery of intertidal seagrass meadows in southern Queensland. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 60:477-490.

Carlon DB (2002) Production and supply of larvae as determinants of zonation in a brooding tropical coral. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 268:33-46

Cavanagh JE, Burns KA, Brunskill GJ and Coventry RJ (1999). Organochlorine pesticide residuess in soils and sediments of the Herbert and Burdekin River regions, north Queensland - implications for contamination of the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 39:367-375. Chessels M J, Hawker DW, Connell DW and Papajcsik IA (1988). Factors influencing the distribution of lindane and isomers in soil of an agricultural environment. *Chemosphere* 17:1741-1749.

Chesworth JC, Donkin ME and Brown MT (2004). The interactive effects of the antifouling herbicides Irgarol 1051 and Diuron n the seagrass Zostera marina (L.). *Aquatic Toxicology* 66:293-305.

Clark RB (1992). *Marine pollution*. Oxford, Clarendon Press.

Clarke KR and Warwick RM (1994). *Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*. Natural Environmental Research Council.

Clegg DE (1974). Chlorinated hydrocarbon pesticide residues in oysters (*Crassostrea commercialis*) in Moreton Bay, Queensland, 1970-72. *Pesticides Monitoring Journal* 8: 162-166.

Clendening LD, Jury WA and Ernst FF (1990). A field mass balance study of pesticide volatilization, leaching and persistence. In: *Long range transport of pesticides*, Kurtz DA (ed). Lewis Publishers Inc: Michigan, USA. 47-60.

Coates M, Connell DW, Bodero J, Miller GJ and Back R (1986). Aliphatic hydrocarbons in Great Barrier Reef organisms and environment. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 23:99-113.

Cole SL and Brown BE (2003). Coral bleaching capacity for acclimatization and adaptation. *Advances in Marine Biology* 46:183-223.

Connell DW (1990). Environmental route bedding to the bioaccumulation of lipophilic chenicals. In: *Bioaccumulation of xenobiotic compounds* (ed). Connell DW, CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida. 47-58.

Connell DW (1993). Water pollution: causes and effects in Australia and New Zealand. University of Queensland Press, St. Lucia.

Connell DW (1995). Occurrence and effects of petroleum hydrocarbons on Australia's marine environment. In: *The state of the marine environment report for Australia technical annex: 2 pollution* (eds). Zarn LP and Sutton DC. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, 47-52.

Connell JH, Hughes TP, Wallace CC (1997). A 30-Year Study of Coral Abundance, Recruitment, and Disturbance at Several Scales in Space and Time. *Ecological Monographs*, 67(4):461-488.

Cook FJ, Hicks W, Gardner EA, Carlin GD and Frogatt DW (2000). Export of acidity in drainage water from acid sulfate soils. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 41:319-326. Cooper B and Riley GT (1996). Storm transport of Contaminants from Dryland Agriculture. In: *Downstream Effects of Land Use*, Hunter HM, Eyles AG and Rayment GE (eds). Queensland Department of Natural Resources, Australia, 173-176.

Cooper TF, Ridd P, Ulstrup KE, Humphrey C, Slivkoff M and Fabricius (2008) Temporal dynamics in coral bioindicators for water quality on coastal coral reefs of the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 59:703-716

Cooper T, Uthicke S, Humphrey C, Fabricius K (2007) Gradients in water column nutrients, sediment parameters, irradiance and coral reef development in the Whitsunday Region, central Great Barner Reef. *Estuarine and Coastal Shelf Science* 74:458–470. Costa Jr OS, Leao ZM, Nimmo M, Arten MJ (2000) Nutrification impacts on coral rees from northern Bahia, Brazil. *Hydrobiologia* 440:570-415.

Cox EF and Ward S (2002). impact of elevated ammonium on reproduction in two Hawaiian scleractinian corals with different life history patterns. *Marine Pollution Burletin* 44:1230-1235.

Cruzwa JM and Hites RA (1984). Environmental fate of combustion-generated polychlorinated dioxins and furan. *Environmental Science and Technology* 1844-450.

Cuet P, Naim O, Faure G, Conan JY (1988). Nutrientrich groundwater impact on benthic communities of La Saline fringing reef (Reunion Island, Indian Ocean): Preliminary results.

Dahl B and Blanck H (1996). Toxic effects of the antifouling agent Irgarol 1051 on Perifphyton communities in coastal water microcosms. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 32(4): 342-350.

Dauberschmidt C, Dietrich RD and Schlatter C (1996). Toxicity of organophosphorus insecticides in the zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha* P. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 30(3):373-378.

Davies PS (1991) Effect of daylight variations on the energy budgets of shallow-water corals. *Marine Biology* 108:137-144.

Davison W and Zhang H (1994) In-situ speciation measurement of trace components in natural waters using thin-film gels. *Nature* 367:546-548.

Dawson SP and Dennison WC (1996). Effects of ultraviolet and photosynthetically active radiation on five seagrass species. *Marine Biology* 125:629-638.

De'ath G (2007a) Boosted trees for ecological modeling and prediction. *Ecology* 88:243-251

De'ath G (2007b) The spatial, temporal and structural composition of water quality of the Great Barrier Reef and indicators of water quality. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.

De'ath G, Fabricius KE (2008) *Water Quality of the Great Barrier Reef: Distributions, Effects on Reef Biota and Trigger Values for Conservation of Ecosystem Health.* Final Report to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville.

Dennison WC and Abal EG (1999). *Moreton Bay* study: a scientific basis for the healthy waterways campaign. SE Queensland Regional Water Quality Mangement Strategy, Brisbane.

Delgado O, Lapointe BE (1994) Nutrient-limited productivity of calcareous versus fleshy macroalgae in a eutrophic, carbonate-rich tropical marine environment. *Coral Reefs* 13:151-159

DeVantier LM, De'ath G, Turak E, Done T J and Fabricius K (2006). Species richness and community structure of reef-building corals on the nearshore Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs*, 25(3):329-340.

Devlin M, Waterhouse J, Taylor J and Brodie J (2001). Flood plumes in the Great Barrier Reef: spatial and temporal patterns in composition and distribution. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Devlin MJ and Brodie J (2004). Terrestrial discharge into the Great Barrier Reef Lagoon: nutrient behavior in coastal waters. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:9-22.

Department of the Environment and Heritage (2004). Dioxins in Australia: A Summary of the findings of studies conducted from 2001-2004. Department of the Environment and Heritage, Canberra, Australia.

Dodge RE, Aller RE and Thomson J (1974) Coral growth related to resuspension of bottom seliments. *Nature* 247:574-576.

Douglas G, Ford P, Moss A, Noble R, Packett B, Palmer M, Revill A, Robson D, villman P, Webster I (2005). *Carbon and Nutrient Cycling in a Subtropical Estuary (The Fitzroy), Sectural Queensland.* Final Report of the Cooperative Research Centre for Coastal Zone, Estuary and Waterway Management. Technical Report No. 14

Drewry J, Sigham W, Mitchell C (2008). Water Quality Improvement Plan. Final report for Mackay Whitemaay Region. Mackay Whitsunday Natural Pesoarce Management Group.

Duke N, Bell A, Pederson D, Roelfsema C.M, Nash SB (2005). Herbicides implicated as the cause of severe mangrove dieback in the Mackay region, NE Australia: consequences for marine plant habitats of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area. Marine Pollution Bulletin 51(1-4):308-324.

Duke N, Bell A, Pederson D, Roelfsema CM, Nash SB, Godson LM, Zahmel KN and Mackenzie J (2003). *Mackay mangrove dieback*. (Investigations in 2002 with recommendations for further research, monitoring and management).

Dyall RA and Johns RB (1985). Organochlorine pesticide levels in selected sediments of the Great Barrier Reef. Unpublished report, Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Melbourne. Enell M and Wennberg L (1991). Distribution of halogenated organic compounds (AOX) - Swedish transport to surrounding sea areas and mass balance studies in five drainage systems. *Water Science and Technology* 24:385-395.

Enell M and Wennberg L (1991). Distribution of halogenated organic compounds (AOX) – Swedish transport to surrounding sea areas and mass balance studies in five drainage systems. *Water Science and Technology* 24:385-395. لكني

Environmental Protection Agency (2006) Queensland Water Quality Guidelines. The State of Queensland, Environmental Protection Agency

European Community (2005) Wher Framework Directive (WFD). Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a Framework for Community Action in the field of Marine Environmental Policy (Marine Strategy Directive) [SES(2005) 1290], Brussels, 24.10.2005

Evans SM(1999). Tributyltin pollution: the catastrophe that never happened. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 38:629-636.

Fabricius K (1999) Tissue loss and mortality in soft corals following mass bleaching. *Coral Reefs* 18- 54.

Fabricius K (2005). Effects of terrestrial runoff on the ecology of corals and coral reefs: review and synthesis. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 50:125-146.

Fabricius K and De'ath G (2001a). Biodiversity on the Great Barrier Reef: large-scale patterns and turbidityrelated local loss of soft coral taxa. In: *Oceanographic Processes of Coral reefs: Physical and Biological Links in the Great Barrier Reef*, Wolanski E (ed), CRC Press, Boca Raton, 127-144.

Fabricius K and De'ath G (2001b). Environmental factors associated with the spatial distribution of crustose coralline algae on the Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs* 19(4): 303-309.

Fabricius K, De'ath G, McCook L, Turak E, Williams DM (2005). Changes in algal, coral and fish assemblages along water quality gradients on the inshore Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51(1-4):384-398.

Fabricius KE and De'ath G (2004). Identifying ecological change and its causes. A case study on coral reefs. *Ecological Applications* 14:1448-1465.

Fabricius K, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Johnson J, McCook L, Lough J (2007a) Vulnerability of coral reefs of the Great Barrier Reef to climate change. In: *Climate Change and the Great Barrier Reef.* Johnson J, Marshall P (eds). Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority and Australian Greenhouse Office, Australia. Fabricius KE and McCorry D (2006). Changes in octocoral communities and benthic cover along a water quality gradient in the reefs of Hong Kong. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 52:22-33.

Fabricius K, Uthicke S, Cooper T, Humphrey C, De'ath G, Mellors J (2007b) Candidate bioindicator measures to monitor exposure to changing water quality on the Great Barrier Reef. Interim Report. . Catchment to Reef Research Program - CRC Reef and Rainforest CRC and Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville 225.

Fabricius KE, Wild C, Wolanski E and Abele D (2003). Effects of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) and muddy terrigenous sediments on the survival of hard coral recruits. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 57:613-621.

Fabricius KE and Wolanski E (2000). Rapid smothering of coral reef organisms by muddy marine snow. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 50:115-120.

Faithful Jw, Brodie J, Hooper A, Leahy P, Henry G, Finlayson W and Green D (2007). *Plot-Scale Runoff of Nutrients and Sediments Under Varying Management Regimes of a Banana and Cane Farm in the Wet Tropics, Queensland.* ACTFR Report No 05/03, Townsville, QLD.

Fent K (1996). Ecotoxicology of organotin compounds. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology* 26:1-117.

Fernández-Bayo JD, Romero E, Schnitzler F and Burauel P (in press). Assessment of pesticide availability in soil fractions after the incorporation of winery-distillery vermicomposts. *Environmental Pollution.* 

Ferrier-Pages C, Gattuso JP, Dallor S and Jaubert J (2000). Effect of nutrient enrichment of growth and photosynthesis of the zooxanthelate coral *Stylophora pistillata*. *Coral Reefs* 19:103(113).

Ferrier-Pages C, Scheeleke V, Jaubert J, Muscatine L and Hoegh-Guldberg O (2001). Response of a scleractinian coral. *Stylophora pistillata*, to iron and nitrate enrichment. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Scology* 259:249-261.

Forege J, Pavillon JF, Menasria MR, Boquené (1998). Mortanty and LC50 Values for Several Stages of the Marine Copepod *Tigriopus brevicornis*(Müller) Exposed to the Metals Arsenic and Cadmium and the Pesticides Atrazine, Carbofuran, Dichlorvos, and Malathion. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 40 (3): 239-244

Furnas M (2003). *Catchments and Corals: Terrestrial Runoff to the Great Barrier Reef,* Australian Institute of Marine Science and CRC Reef Research Centre, Townsville.

Furnas M, Mitchell A, Skuza M and Brodie J (2005). In the other 90%: phytoplankton responses to enhanced nutrient availability in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:253-265. Furnas M and Mitchell A (1997). Terrestrial inputs of nutrients and suspended sediments to the Great Barrier Reef lagoon. In: *Proceedings of the Great Barrier Reef science, use and management a national conference*, Volume 1.

Furnas M J and Brodie J (1996). Current status of nutrient levels & other water quality parameters in the Great Barrier Reef. In: *Downstream Effects of Land Use*, Hunter HM, Eyles AG and Rayment GE (eds), Department of Natural Resources, Brisbane. 9-23.

Gilmour J (1999). Experimental investigation into the effects of suspended sediment on fertilisation, larvar survival and settlement in a scleractinian coral. *Marine Biology*, 3: 451-462.

Glud RN, Eyre B, Patten N (2008). Biogeochemical responses to mass spawning at the Great Barrier Reef: Effects on respiration and primery production. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 53(3): 1014-1024

Goreau TJ and Mcfarlupe AH (1990). Reduced growthrate of Montastrea annularis following the 1987-1988 coral bleaching event. *Coral Reefs* 8:211-215.

Great Barber Reef Marine Park Authority (1981). Nomination of the Great Barrier Reef by the Commonwealth of Australia for inclusion in the World Heritage List. Unpublished report to the World Heritage Committee, UNESCO. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (1994). Turtle and dugong conservation strategy for the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (1996). Shoalwater Bay (Dugong) Plan of Management. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (2003). Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Zoning Plan 2003. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (2008). Economic Contribution pf the GBRMP 2006-2007 A report by Access Economics to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Greiner R, Herr A, Brodie J and Haynes D (2005). A multi-criteria approach to Great Barrier Reef catchment (Queensland, Aust) diffuse-source pollution problem. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:128-137.

Gribble GW (1994). The natural production of chlorinated compounds. *Environmental Science and Technology* 28:310-319.

Hall LW, Ziegenfuss MC, Anderson RD, Spittler TD, Leichtweis HC (1994). Influence of salinity on Atrazine toxicity to a Chesapeake Bay copepod (*Eurytemora affinis*) Fish (*Cyprinodon variegatus*). *Estuaries* 17(1):181-186 Hall LW, Ziegenfuss MC, Anderson RD, Tierney DP (1995). The influence of salinity on the chronic toxicity of atrazine to an estuarine copepod: Implications for development of estuarine chronic criterion. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 28:344-348

Hall LW, Anderson RD, Ailstock MS (1997). Chronic Toxicity of Atrazine to Sago Pondweed at a Range of Salinities: Implications for Criteria Development and Ecological Risk. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 33(3):261-267

Hallegraeff GM (1993). A review of harmful algal blooms and their apparent global increase. *Phycologia* 32,(2):79-99.

Hamdorf I (1992). Organochlorine termiticides: residues in fisheries products. Australian Fisheries Council.

Hamilton D and Haydon G (1996). Pesticides and fertilisers in the Queensland sugar industry - estimates of usage and likely environmental fate. Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.

Harrington L, Fabricius K, Eaglesham G and Negr A (2005). Synergistic effects of diuron and sedimentation on photosynthesis and survival of crustose coralline algae. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:415-427.

Harrison PL and Ward S (2001). Elevated levels of nitrogen and phosphorus reduce fertilisation success of gametes from scleractinian reef corals. *Marine Biology* 139:1057-1068.

Harvell CD, Kim K, Burkholder JM, Colwell RK Epstein PR, Grimes DJ, Hofmann EE, Lipp EK Osterhaus ADME, Overstreet RM, Porter DV, Smith GW and Vasta GR (1999). Emerging Marine diseases - climate links and anthropogenic fanters. *Science* 285:1505-510.

Hawker DW and Connell DW (1989). An evaluation of the tolerance of corals to putrients and related water quality characteristics. *International Journal of Environmental Studies A & B* 34:179-188.

Hashimoto S. Wakimoto T and Tatsukawa R (1995). Possible nargal formation of polychlorinated dibenzop-dioxins as evidenced by sediment analysis from the Yellow Sea, the East China Sea and the Pacific Ocean. Marine Pollution Bulletin 30:341-346.

Aaynes D, Muller J, Carter S (2000a). Pesticide and herbicide residues in sediments and seagrasses from the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area and Queensland coast. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 41(7-12):279-287.

Haynes D, Ralph P, Pranges J and Dennison B (2000b). The Impact of the Herbicide Diuron on Photosynthesis in Three Species of Tropical Seagrass. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 41(7-12):288-293. Haynes D, Brodie J, Christie C, Devlin M, Michalek-Wagner K, Morris S, Ramsay M, Storrie J, Waterhouse J and Yorkston H (2001). *Great Barrier Reef water quality: Current issues*, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Haynes D, Carter S, Gaus C, Müller J and Dennsion W (2005). Organochlorine and heavy metal concentrations in blubber and liver tissue collected from Queensland (Australia). dugong (*Dugong dugon*). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:361-369.

Haynes D and Loong D (2001). Antifoulant (butyltin and copper) concentrations in sediments from the Great Barrier Reef. *Environmental Pollution* 120:391-396.

Henderson RS (1988). Marine micro and experiments on effects of copper and tributyltif based antifoulant paint leachates, Naval Ocean Systems Centre, San Diego, CA (USA).

Heyward A and Negritor (1999). Natural inducers for coral larval metamorphosis. *Coral Reefs* 18:273-279.

Hoberg JR (1993) Atrazine Technical – Toxicity to the marine diatom (*Skeletonema costatum*). Springbow Laboratories #93-4-4753. Ciba-Geigy, NC, USA

Hodgson G (1990). Sediment and the settlement of larvae of the reef coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Coral Reefs* 9:41-43.

Hoegh-Guldberg O (1999). Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 50:839-866.

Howard PH (1991). Diuron. In: *Handbook of environmental fate and exposure data for organic chemicals*. Michalenko EM, Jarvis WF, Basu DK, Sage GW, Meylan WM, Beauman JA and Gray DA (eds). Lewis Publishers, Inc: USA, 319-326. Hughes T, Szmant AM, Steneck R, Carpenter R and Miller S (1999). Algal blooms on coral reefs: What are the causes. *Limnology and Oceanography* 44(6):1583-1586.

Huber W (1993). Ecotoxicological relevance of atrazine in aquatic systems. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 12:1865-1881.

Hughes JS, Alexander MM, Balu K (1988). Evaluation of Appropriate Expressions of Toxicity in Aquatic Plant Bioassays as Demonstrated by the Effects of Atrazine on Algae and Duckweed. *Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment* (10): p 531-547. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia PA.

Hughes TP, Tanner JE (2000). Recruitment Failure, Life Histories, and Long-Term Decline of Caribbean Corals. *Ecology*, 81(8):2250-2263. Humphrey C and Klumpp DW (2003). Toxicity of Chlorpyrifos to the early life history stages of Eastern Rainbowfish *Melanotaenia splendida splendida* (Peters 1866) in Tropical Australia. *Environmental Toxicology* 18(6):418-427.

Hunte W and Wittenberg M (1992). Effects of eutrophication and sedimentation on juvenile corals. II. Settlement. *Marine Biology* 114:625-631.

Hunter HM (1992). Agricultural contaminants in aquatic environments: a review. Department of Primary Industry, Brisbane.

Hunter CL and Evans CW (1995). Coral reefs in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii: two centuries of western influence and two decades of data. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 57:501-515.

Hurd C (2000). Water motion, marine macroalgal physiology, and production. *Journal of Phycology* 36:453-472.

Hutchings P and Haynes D (2000). Sources, fates and consequences of contaminants in the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 41(7-12):265-434.

Hutchings P and Haynes D (eds) (2005) Catchment to Reefs: Water Quality Issues in the Great Barrier Reef Region. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51(1-4).

Johannes RE, Wiebe WJ, Crossland CJ, Rimmer DW, Smith SV (1983). Latitudinal limits of coral reef growth. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 11:105-111.

Jones RJ (2004). Testing the 'photoinhibition'model of coral bleaching using chemical inhibitors. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 284:133-145.

Jones RJ (2005). The ecotoxicological effects of Photosystem II herbicides on coral *Pharine Pollution Bulletin* 51(5-7):495-506.

Jones R, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Larkum AWD and Schreiber U (1998). Tennerature induced bleaching of corals begins with increasing of the CO2 fixation mechanism in zooranthellae. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 21:1019-1230.

Jones RJ and Kerswell AP (2003). Phytotoxicity of Photosystem II (PSII) herbicides to coral. *Marine Eccopy Progress Series* 261(October 17):149-159.

AM chlorophyll fluorometry: a new in situ technique for stress assessment in scleractinian corals, used to examine the effects of cyanide from cyanide fishing. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 38:864-874.

Jones R, Muller J, Haynes D, Schreiber U (2003). Effects of herbicides diuron and atrazine on corals of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 251:153-167.

Jonsson P, Rappe C, Kjeller LO, Kierkegaard A, Hakanson L and Jonsson B (1993). Pulp-mill related polychlorinated organic compounds in Baltic Sea sediments. *Ambio* 22: 37-43. Kannan K, Tanabe S, Williams RJ, and Tatsukawa R (1994). Persistant organochlorine residues in foodstuffs from Australia, Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands: contamination levels and human dietry exposure. *The Science of the Total Environment* 153:29-49.

Kannan, K., Tanabe, S., and Tatsukawa, R. (1995). Geographical distribution and accumulation features of organochlorine residues in fish in tropical Asia and Oceania. *Environmental Science and Technology* 29:2673-2683.

Kerrigan B, Breen D, De'ath G, Day J, Fernandes D Partridge R and Dobbs K (unpublished). Technical report on the classification phase of the representative areas program. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Kiene WE and Hutchings PA (1994). Bioerosion experiments at Lizard Island, Grat Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs* 13:91-98.

King B, McAllister F, Wolanski E, Done T and Spagnol, S (2001), River plume dynamics in the central Great Barrier Reef. In: Wolanski, E (ed), *Oceanographic Processes of Coral Reefs: Physical and Biological Links in the Great Barrier Reef.* CRC Press, Boca Raton, 145–160.

King B, McAllister F and Done T (2002). Modelling the impact of the Burdekin, Herbert, Tully and Johnstone River plumes on the Central Great Barrier Reef. *CRC Reef Research Centre Technical Report No.* 44, CRC Reef Research Centre, Townsville.

Kinsey DW and Davies PJ (1979). Effects of Elevated Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Coral Reef Growth. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 24(5):935-940.

Kitamura H and Hirayama K (1987). Effect of cultured diatom films on the settlement of larvae of a bryozoan *Bugula neritina*. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 52:1383-1385.

Kjeller LO, Jones KC, Johnston AE and Rappe C (1991). Increases in polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin and -furancontent of soils and vegetation since the 1840s. *Environmental Science and Technology* 25:1619-1627.

Kleypas JA (1996). Coral reef development under naturally turbid conditions: fringing reefs near Broadsound, Australia. *Coral Reefs* 15:153-167.

Klumpp DW and Von Westernhagen H (1995). Biological effects of contaminants in Australian Tropical coastal waters: embryonic malformations and chromosomal aberrations in developing fish eggs. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 30(2):158-165.

Kollman, W., and R. Segawa. 1995. Interim report of the pesticide chemistry database. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program. Department of Pesticide Regulation. United States of America. Koop K, Booth D, Broadbent A, Brodie J, Bucher D, Capone D, Coll J, Dennison W, Erdmann M, Harrison P, Hoegh-Guldberg O, Hutchings PA, Jones GB, Larkum AWD, O'Neil J, Steven A, Tentori E, Ward S, Williamson J and Yellowlees D (2001). ENCORE: The effect of nutrient enrichment on coral reefs. Synthesis of results and conclusions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 42(2):91-120.

Kurtz DA and Atlas EL (1990). Distribution of hexachlorocyclohexanes in the Pacific Ocean basin, air, water, 1987. In: *Long range transport of pesticides*, Kurtz DA (ed). Lewis Publishers Inc, Michigan, USA, 143-60.

Labare ML, Coon SL, Mathias C, Weiner RM (1997). Magnification of tributyltin toxicity to oyster larvae by bioconcentration in biofilms of *Shewanella colwelliana*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 63:4107-4110.

Langston WJ (1990). Toxic effects of metals and the incidence of metals pollution in marine ecosystems. In: *Heavy metals in the marine environment*, Furness RW and Rainbow PS (eds). CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida, 101-22.

Lapointe BE (1997a). Nutrient thresholds for eutrophication and macroalgal blooms on coral reefs in Jamaica and southeast Florida. *Limnology and Oceanography* 42:1119-1131.

Lapointe BE (1997b). Nutrient Thresholds for Bottom-Up Control of Macroalgal Blooms on Coral Reefs in Jamaica and Southeast Florida. *Limnology and Oceanography*, Vol. 42, No. 5, Part 2: 1119-1131

Lapointe BE and Matzie WR (1996). Effects of Stormwater Nutrient Discharges on Eutrophication Processes in Nearshore Waters of the Florida Keys. *Estuaries*, Vol. 19, No. 2, Part B: Dedicated Issue: Nutrients in Coastal Waters, 422-4.5.

Lapointe BE and O'Connell J (1989) Nutrientenhanced growth of *Classephora prolifera* in Harrington Sound, Bernhuda: Eutrophication of a confined, phosphorus united marine ecosystem. *Estuarine Coastanand Shelf Science* 28:347-360.

Lapointe Be, Barile PJ, Yentsch CS, Littler MM, Littler US, Kakuk B (2004). The relative importance of rubient enrichment and herbivory on macroalgal communities near Norman's Pond Cay, Exumas Cays, Banamas: a 'natural' enrichment experiment. *Journal* of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 298:275-301.

Larcombe P, Ridd PV, Prytz A and Wilson B (1995). Factors controlling suspended sediment on inner-shelf coral reefs, Townsville. *Coral Reefs* 14:163-171.

Laws EA (1993). Aquatic pollution, 3rd Wiley, NY.

Leach JM, Howard TE and Lanz H E (1985). Chlorinated organics in shellfish from coastal waters. *Pulp and paper Canada* 86:T389-T401. Legrand S, Deleersnijder E, Hanert E, Legat V, Wolanski E (2006). High-resolution, unstructured meshes for hydrodynamic models of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 68:36-46.

Lewis S, Davis A, Brodie J, Bainbridge Z, McConnell V, Maughan M (2007). *Pesticides in the lower Burdekin and Don River catchments (edition 1)*. ACTFR Report 07/05.

FC.J

Lewis S and Gardiner J National Rivers Authority. Proposed environmental quality standards for diuron linuron, chlorotoluron and isoproturon in water. 1995. Bristol, National Rivers Authority.

Leitz T (1997). Induction of settlement and metamorphosis of cnidarian larvae: size is and signal transduction. *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development* 31:109-122.

Linders LBHJ et al 1984 *Pesticides:benefaction or Pandora's box? A symptis of the environmental aspect of 243 pesticides.* Research for Man and Environment. National Institute of Public Health and Environment Bilthoven, the Netherlands. Report # 67101014.

Long taf BJ and Dennison WC (1999). Seagrass survey during pulsed turbidity events: the effects of ight deprivation on the seagrasses *Halodule pinifolia* and *Halophila ovalis*. *Aquatic Botany* 65:105-121.

Lohrenz SE, Fahnenstiel GL, Redalje DG, Lang GA, Chen X, Dagg MJ (1997). Variations in primary production of northern Gulf of Mexico continental shelf waters linked to nutrient inputs from the Mississippi River. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 155:45-54.

Loya Y (1976). Effects of water turbidity and sedimentation on the community structure of Puerto Rico corals. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 26:450-466.

Loya Y (2004) The Coral Reefs of Eilat – Past, Present and Future: Three Decades of Coral Community Structure Studies. In: Rosenberg E, Loya Y (eds) Coral Reef Health and Disease. Springer-Verlag;, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.

Loya Y, Lubinevsky H, Rosenfeld M, Kramarsky-Winter E (2004). Nutrient enrichment caused by in situ fish farms at Eilat, Red Sea is detrimental to coral reproduction. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 49(4):344-353.

Luick JL, Mason L, Hardy T, Furnas M (2007). Circulation in the GBR Lagoon using numerical tracers and in situ data. *Continental Shelf Research* 27:757-778

Macinnis-Ng CMO and Ralph PJ (2003). Short-term response and recovery of *Zostera capricorni* photosynthesis after herbicide exposure. *Aquatic Botany* 76:1-15.

Mackay Whitsunday Natural Resource Management Group (2008). Water Quality Improvement Plan: Final report for Mackay Whitsunday Region.

Magnusson M, Heimann K, Negri A, Ridd M (2006). Pesticide Toxicity to estuarine benthic microflora in tropical Queensland. Oral Presentation, Australian Marine Sciences Association, 9-13 July 2006, Cairns Convention Centre, Queensland, Australia. Magnusson M, Heimann K, Negri A (2008). Comparative effects of herbicides on photosynthesis and growth of tropical estuarine microalgae. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 58:1545-1552.

Malone TC, MaÁ lej A, Smodlaka N (1996). Trends in land-use, water quality and fisheries: a comparison of the Northern Adriatic Sea and the Chesapeake Bay. *Periodicum Biologorum* 98:137-148.

Markey KL, Baird AH, Humphrey C, Negri AP (2007). Insecticides and a fungicide affect multiple coral life stages. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 330:127-137.

Marubini F and Atkinson MJ (1999). Effects of lowered pH and elevated nitrate on coral calcification. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 188:117-121.

Marubini F and Davies PS (1996). Nitrate increases zooxanthellae population density and reduces skeletogenesis in corals. *Marine Biology* 127:319-328.

Masters B, Rohde K, Gurner N, Higham W and Drewry J (2008). Sediment, nutrient and herbicide runoff from caneframing practices in the Mackay Whitsunday region: a field-based rainfall simulation study of management practices. Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Water for the Mackay Whitsunday Natural Resource Management Group, Australia.

Maughan M, Brodie J and Waterhouse J (2007). *Reef Exposure Model for the Green Barrier Reef Lagoon.* Australian Centre for Tropica and Freshwater Reserach Report No. 0749, Townsville, Queensland, Australia.

Mayasich JM, Katander EP, Terlizzi DE (1986). Growth responses of *Nannochloris oculata* Droop and *Phaeodaci funt tricornutum* Bohlin to the herbicide atrazine as influenced by light intensity and temperature. *Aquatic Toxicology* 8:175-184

Wyasich JM, Karlander EP, Terlizzi DE (1987). Growth responses of *Nannochloris oculata* Droop and *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* Bohlin to the herbicide atrazine as influenced by light intensity and temperature in unialgal and bialgal assemblage. *Aquatic Toxicology* 10:187-197

McClanahan TR (2002). The near future of coral reefs. *Environmental Conservation* 29: 460-483.

McClanahan TR and Obura D (1997). Sedimentation effects on shallow coral communities in Kenya. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 209(1-2): 103-122.

McCloskey LR and Duebert KH (1972). Organochlorines in the seastar Acanthaster planci. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 8:251-256.

McCook LJ (1999). Macroalgae, nutrients and phase shifts on coral reefs: scientific issues and management consequences for the Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs* 18:357-367.

McCook LJ, Jompa J and Diaz-Pulido G (2001). Competition between corals and algal turfs along a gradient of terrestrial influence in the nearshore central Great Barrier Reef. *Coral Reefs* 19:419-425.

ic.?

McCulloch M, Fallon S, Wyndham T, Hendy K, Lough J and Barnes D (2003). Coral Record of increased sediment flux to the inner Great Barrier Reef since European settlement. *Nature* 431:727-730.

McMahon K, Nash S, Eagleshan G, Muller J, Duke N, Winderlich S (2005), Herbicide contamination and the potential impact to seagrass meadows in Hervey Bay, Queensland, Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51(1-4):325-334

McMahon Kebengtson-Nash S, Muller JF, Duke N and Eaglevian G (2003). Relationship between seagrass health and herbicide concentration in Hervey Bayand the Great Sandy Straits. Environmental Protection Agency, Maryborough, Queensland, Australia.

Mellors J, Waycott M and Marsh H (2005). Variation in biogeochemical parameters across intertidal seagrass meadows in the central Great Barrier Reef region. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:335-342.

Mercier A, Pelletier E, Hamel J (1996). Toxicological response of the symbiotic anemone *Aiptasia pallida* to butyltin contamination. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 144:133-146.

Mercurio P, Negri A, Burns K, Heyward A (2004). The ecotoxicology of vegetable versus mineral based lubricating oils: 3. Coral fertilization and adult corals. *Environmental Pollution* 129 (2): 183-194

Meyer JL and Schultz ET (1985). Migrating haemulid fishes as a source of nutrients and organic matter on coral reefs. *Limnology and Oceanography* 30:146-156.

Michael, JL (1990). Fate of Hexazinone After Application for Pine Planting Site Preparation. E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Inc. Wilmington, DE. Document No. AMR 1786-90. unpublished study. DPR #396-062.

Pierre Michel and Bernard Averty (1999). Distribution and Fate of Tributyltin in Surface and Deep Waters of the Northwestern Mediterranean. *Environmental Science Technology* 33(15):2524 –2528.

Miller MW (1998). Coral/seaweed competition and the control of reef community structure within and between latitudes. *Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual reviews* 36:65-96. Mitchell C, Brodie J, White I (2005). Sediments, nutrients and pesticide residues in event flow conditions in streams of the Mackay Whitsunday Region. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:23-36.

Mohapatra SP, Kumar M, Gajbhiye VT and Agnihotri NP (1995). Ground water contamination by organochlorine insecticide residues in a rural area in the Indo-Gangetic plain. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 35:155-164.

Molander S, Dahl B, Blanck H, Jonsson J and Sjostrom M (1992). Combined effects of tri-n-butyl tin (TBT) and diuron on marine periphyton communities detected as pollution-induced community tolerance. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 22:419-427.

Moosa MK, Soemodihardjo S, Soegiarto A, Romimohtarto K and Nontji A (2000). Coral bleaching reduces reproduction of scleractinian corals and increases suscepibility to future stress. In: *Ninth International Coral Reef Symposium*. Suharsono SA (ed). Bali, 1123-1128.

Morse DE, Hooker N, Morse ANC, Jensen RA (1988). Control of larval metamorphosis and recruitment in sympatric agariciid corals. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*116:193-217.

Mortimer MR (1998). Organochlorine pesticide residues in Brisbane waterways. In: *Moreton Bay and catchments*. Tibbetts IR, Hall NJ and Dennison WC. (eds). University of Queensland, Brisbane, 241-246.

Mortimer MR (2000) Pesticide and trace metal concentrations in Queensland estuarine crabs. *Anythe Pollution Bulletin* 41:359-366.

Mortimer MR and Hughes JM (1991). Effects of organophosphate pollution on generic tructure in two species of estuarine crabs. *Marine Kollution Bulletin* 22:352-359.

Moss A and Mortimer M(1996). Brisbane River estuary: notes on water quality. Workshop on water quality and environmental aspects of the Brisbane River.

Moss A, Brodie J and Furnas M (2005). Water Quality Guidelines for the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area basis for development and preliminary values. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:76-88.

Moss A and Costanzo S (1998). Levels of heavy metals in the sediments of Queensland rivers, estuaries and coastal waters. Queensland Department of Environment, Brisbane.

Moss A, Connell D and Bycroft B (1992a). Water quality in Moreton Bay. In: *Moreton Bay in the balance*. Crimp ON (ed). Australian Littoral Society Inc.: Moorooka, Queensland, 103-114.

Moss AJ, Rayment GE, Reilly N and Best EK (1992b) Sediment and Nutrient Exports from Queensland Coastal Catchments, A Desk Study. Queensland, Department of Environment & Heritage, Brisbane. Mosse PRL and Haynes D (1993). Dioxin and furan concentrations in uncontaminated waters, sediments and biota of the Ninety Mile Beach, Bass Strait. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 26:465-468.

Muir DCG, Ford CA, Rosenberg B, Norstrom RJ, Simon M and Béland P (1996). Persistent organochlorines in beluga whales (*Deophinapterus leucas*) from the St Lawrence River estuary - I. concentrations and patterns of specific PCBs, chlorinated pesticides and polychlorinated dibenzo-pdioxins and dibenzofurans. *Environmental Pollution* 93:219-234.

Müller JF, Duquesne S, Ng J, Shaw GR, Krrishnamohan K, Manonmanii K, Hodger M and Eaglesham GK (2000). Pesticides in sediments from Queensland irrigation channels and crains. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 41:294-301.

Müller JF, Haynes D, McLasmar M, Böhme F, Will S, Shaw GR, Mortimer M, Sadler R and Connell D (1999). PCDDs, PCDEs and HCB in marine and estuarine sediment. from Queensland, *Chemosphere* 39:1707-1721.

Müller J F, Sutton M, Wermuth UD, McLachlan MS, Will S, Hawker DW and Connell DW (1996a). Burning sugar cane - a potential source for PAHs and PCPD/Fs. Intersect 96, Brisbane.

Müller JF, Sutton M, Wermuth UD, McLachlan MS, Will S, Hawker DW and Connell D W (1996b). Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and polychlorinated dibenzo-furans in topsoils from northern Queensland, with a history of different trash management. In: *Sugarcane: Research towards efficient and sustainable production.* Wilson JR, Hogarth DM, Cambell JA and Garside AL (eds). CSIRO Division of Tropical Crops and Pastures: Brisbane, 273-274.

Muller-Parker G, McCloskey LR, Hoegh-Guldberg O and McAuley PJ (1994) Effect of ammonium enrichment on animal and algal biomass of the coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Pacific Science* 48:273-283.

Muscatine L, Falkowski PG, Dubinsky Z, Cook PA and McCloskey LR (1989). The effect of external nutrient resources on the population dynamics of zooxanthellae in a reef coral. In: Proceedings of the Royal Society London Series B, 311-324

Muse JO, Stripeikis JD, Fernandez FM, d'Huicque L, Tudino MB, Carducci CN and Troccoli OE (1999). Seaweeds in the assessment of heavy metal pollution in the Gulf of San Jorge, Argentina. *Environmental Pollution* 104:315-322.

Nash SMB, Goddard J and Muller JF (2005). Phytotoxicity of surface waters of the Thames and Brisbane River Estuaries: A combined chemical analysis and bioassay approach for the comparison of two systems. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 21(2006): 2086-2093. Nash RG and Hill BD (1990). Modelling pesticide volatilization and soil decline under controlled conditions. In: *Long range transport of pesticides*, Kurtz DA (ed). Lewis Publishers, Inc., Michigan, USA, 17-28.

Nash SMB, Schreiber U, Ralph PJ and Muller JF (2004). The combined SPE:Tox Y-PAM phytotoxicity assay; application and appraisal of a novel biomonitoring tool for the aquatic environment. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* 20(2005):1443-1451.

NRA (National Registration Authority) (1997). Review summary on the National Registration Authority review of Atrazine, November 1997. National Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals, Australia.

Neary DG, Bush PB, Douglass JE (1983). Off-Site Movement of Hexazinone in Stormflow and Baseflow from Forest Watersheds. *Weed Science*, 31(4):543-551.

Neff JM (1997). Ecotoxicology of arsenic in the marine environment. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 16:917-927.

Negri A and Heyward A (2001). Inhibition of coral fertilisation and larval metamorphosis by tributyltin and copper. *Marine Environmental Research* (51):17-27

Negri A, Vollhardt C, Humphrey C, Heyward A, Jones R, Eaglesham G and Fabricius K (2005). Effects of the herbicide diuron on the early life history stages of coral. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51(2005):370

Nicolaidou A and Nott JA (1998). Metals insediment, seagrass and gastropods near a nickle smetre in Greece: possible interactions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 36:360-365.

Noble RM, Rummenie SK, Long PE, Fabbro LD, Duivenvoorden LJ (1996) The Fitzroy River Catchment: An assessment of the condition of the riverine system. In: *Receidings of the 8th Australian Agronomy Conference*. Toowoomba, January 1996.

Norstrom R. Smon M and Muir DCG (1990). Polychlorinadd dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in marine mammals in the Canadian north. *Environmental Pollution* 66:1-19.

Nordemar I, Nystroem M, Dizon R (2003). Effects of elevated seawater temperature and nitrate enrichment on the branching coral *Porites cylindrica* in the absence of particulate food. *Marine Biology* 142:669-677.

Nugues MM, Roberts CM (2003). Coral mortality and interaction with algae in relation to sedimentation. *Coral Reefs* 22:507-516.

NRC (National Research Council) (1989). Contaminated marine sediments - assessment and remediation. National Research Council, National Academy Press: Washington DC. O'Shea TJ (1999). Environmental contaminants and marine mammals. In: *Biology of marine mammals*. Reynolds JE and Rommel SA (eds). Melbourne University Press, Melbourne, 485-536.

O'Shea TJ and Brownell RL (1994). Organochlorine and metal contaminants in baleen whales: a review and evaluation of conservation implications. *The Science of the Total Environment* 154:179-200.

O'Shea TJ, Moore JF and Kochman HI (1984). Contaminant concentrations in manatees in Florida. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 48:741-748.

O'Shea TJ, Reeves RR and Long AK, O'Shea N Reeves RR and Long AK (1999). *Marine mannals and persistent ocean contaminants*. Marine Mammal Commision, Bethesda, Maryland, US4.

Oeberg LG and Rappe C (1992) Rochemical formation of PCDD/Fs from enforcements. *Chemosphere* 25:49-52,

Okamura H, Aoyana A, Yakami T, Maruyama T, Suzuki Y, Matsumoto M, Katsuyama I, Hamada J, Beppu T, Tanata O, Maguire RJ, Liu D, Lau YL and Pacepavicius of (2000). Phytotoxicity of the new antifouling compound Irgarol 1051 and a major degratation product. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 400,754-763.

Olafson RW (1978). Effect of agricultural activity on levels of organochlorine pesticides in hard corals, fish and molluscs from the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Environmental Research* 1:87-107.

Olsen CR, Cutshall NH and Larsen IL (1982). Contaminant-particle associations and dynamics in coastal marine environments: a review. *Marine Chemistry* 11:501-533.

Olsson PE and Kille P (1997) Functional comparison of the metal-regulated transcriptional control regions of metallothionein. *Biochimica and Biophysica Acta* 1350: 325-334.

Orpin AR, Ridd PV and Stewart LK (1999). Assessment of the relative importance of major sediment-transport mechanisms in the central Great Barrier Reef lagoon. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* 46:883-896.

Owen R, Knap A, Ostrander N and Carbery K (2003). Comparative acute toxicity of herbicides to photosynthesis of Coral Zooxanthellae. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 70:541-548.

Owen R, Knap A, Toaspern M and Carbery K (2002). Inhibition of coral photosynthesis by the antifouling herbicide Irgarol 1051. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 44:623-632.

Parry DL and Munksgaard NC (1992). *Heavy metal baseline data for sediment, seawater, and biota, Bing Bong, Gulf of Carpentaria.* University of the Northern Territory, Darwin.

Parry DL and Munksgaard N C (1993). *Heavy metal* baseline data for sediment, seawater and biota, Bing Bong, Gulf of Carpentaria. University of the Northern Territory, Darwin.

Parsons ECM (1999). Trace element concentrations in the tissues of cetaceans from Hong Kong's territorial waters. *Environmental Conservation* 26:30-40.

Pastor D, Boix J, Fernández V and Albaigés J (1996). Bioaccumulation of organochlorinated contaminants in three estuarine fish species (*Mullus barbatus, Mugil cephalus* and *Dicentrarcus labrax*). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 32:257-262.

Pastorok RA and Bilyard GR (1985). Effects of sewage pollution on coral reef communities. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 21:75-189.

Pergent G and Pergent-Martini C (1999). Mercury levels and fluxes in *Posidonia oceanica* meadows. *Environmental Pollution* 33-37.

Pesando D, Hitorel P, Dolcini V, Angelini C, Guidetti P and Falugi C (2003). Biological targets of neurotoxic pesticides analysed by alteration of developmental events in the Mediterranean sea urchin, Paracentrotus lividus. *Marine Environmental Research* 55:39-57.

Peters EC, Gassman N J, Firman JC and Richmond RH (1997) Ecotoxicology of tropical marine ecosystems. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 16(1):12-40.

Philipp E and Fabricius K (2003). Photophysiological stress in scleractinian corals in response to short term sedimentation. *Journal of Experimental Maxime Biology and Ecology* 287:57-78.

Phillips DJH (1994). Macrophytes as biomonitors of trace metals. *In: Biomonitoring of Coastal Waters and Estuaries*. Kees KJM (ed). CPC Vress Inc, Boca Raton, 85-103.

Phillips DJH and Rainbow PS (1993). Biomonitoring of trace aquatic contourinants. Elsevier Applied Science: London.

Phillips DX4 and Spies RB (1988). Chlorinated hydrocarbons in the San Francisco estuarine ecoverem. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 19:445-453.

Plomley FG and Davis DE (1980). The effects of a photosynthesis inhibitor atrazine, on salt marsh edaphic algae, [*Nitzschia sigma, Thalassiosira fluviatilis*] in culture, microecosystems, and in the field [Alabama]. *Estuaries* 3(4):271-277

Plumley FG and Davis DE (1980). The effects of a photosynthesis inhibitor atrazine, on salt marsh edaphic algae, in culture, microecosystems, and in the field. *Estuaries and Coasts* 3 (4): 1559-2723

Plumley FG, Davis DE McEnerney JT, Everest JW (1980). Effects of a photosynthesis inhibitor, atrazine, on the salt marsh fiddler crab *Uca pugnax* (Smith). *Estuaries* 3:217-223

Prange J, Haynes D, Schaffelke B and Waterhouse J (2007). Great Barrier Reef Water Quality Protection Plan (Reef Plan). Annual Marine Monitoring Report: Reporting on data available from December 2004 to April 2006.

Prange J (comp) (2008). *Reef Water Quality Marine Monitoring Report:Mid-year Progress Report June* 2008.Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville Qld.

Preen AR (1993). Interactions between dugongs and seagrasses in a subtropical environment. PhD thesis, James Cook University, Townsville. 1.C.V

Preen A (1995a). Impacts of dugong foraging in seagrass habitats: observational and experimental evidence for cultivation grazing. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 124:201-213.

Preen A (1995b). Diet of duxonsk: are they omnivores? *Journal of Mummalogy* 76:163-171.

Preen T (1998). Marine protected areas and dugong conservation along Australia's Indian Ocean coast. *Environmentel monagement* 22:173-181.

Preen A and Marsh H (1995). Response of dugongs to large-scale loss of seagrass from Hervey Bay, Queensland. *Wildlife Research* 22:507-519.

Preen AR, Lee Long WJ and Coles RG (1995). Flood and cyclone related loss, and partial recovery, of more than 1000 km2 of seagrass in Hervey Bay, Queensland. *Aquatic Botany* 52:3-17.

Prest HF, Jarman WM, Burns SA, Weismueller T, Martin M and Huckins JN (1992) Passive water sampling via semipermeable membrane devices (SPMDS) in concert with bivalves in the Sacramento/San Joaquin River delta. *Chemosphere* 37:1119-1138.

Prest HF, Richardson BJ, Jacobson LA, Vedder J and Martin M (1995). Monitoring organochlorines with semi-permeable membrane devices (SPMDs) and mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) in Corio Bay, Victoria. *Marine Pollution* Bulletin 30:543-554.

Price-Haughey J, Bohnam K and Gedamu L (1987) Metallothionein gene expression in fish cell lines: Its activation in embryonic cells by 5-azacytidine. *Biochimica and Biophysica Acta* 908:158-168.

Pulich WM (1980). Heavy metal accumulation by selected *Halodule wrightii* Asch. Populations in the Corpus Christi Bay area. *Contributions in Marine Science* 23:89-100.

Pulsford JS (1996). Historical nutrient usage in coastal Queensland river catchments adjacent to the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. Research Publication No. 40, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, TSV.

Råberg S, Nystrom M, Eros M and Plantman P (2003). Impact of the herbicides 2,4-D and diuron on the metabolism of the coral Porites cylindrica. *Marine Environmental Research* 503-514. Rainbow PS (1990). Heavy metals in marine invertebrates. In: *Heavy metals in the marine environment*. Furness RW and Rainbow PS (eds). CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida, 67-80.

Ralph PJ (2000). Herbicide toxicity of *Halophila ovalis* assessed by chlorophyll *a* fluorescence. *Aquatic Botany* 66:141-152.

Ralph PJ and Burchett MD (1998). Photosynthetic response of *Halophila ovalis* to heavy metal stress. *Environmental Pollution* 103:91-101.

Ramachandran S, Rajendran N, Nandakumar R and Venugopalan VK (1984). Effect of pesticides on photosynthesis and respiration of marine macrophytes. *Aquatic Botany* 19: 395-399.

Rantalainen AL, Ikonomou MG and Rogers IH (1998) Lipid-containing semipermiable membrane devices (SPMDs) as concentrators of toxic chemicals in the Lower Fraser River, Vancouver, British Columbia. *Chemosphere* 37:1119-1138.

Rappe C, Andersson R, Bergqvist PA, Brohede C, Hansson M, Kjeller LO, Lindström G, Marklund S, Nygren M, Swanson SE, Tysklind M and Wiberg K (1987). Overview of environmental fate of chlorinated dioxins and dibenzofurans. Sources, levels and isomeric pattern in various matrices. *Chemosphere* 16:1603-1618.

Rayment GE, Best EK and Hamilton DJ (1989). Cadmium in fertilisers and soil amendments. 10th Australian Symposium Analytical Chemistry.

Rayment GE, Moss A, Mortimer M and Haynes D (1997). Monitoring pesticides in Queensland's aquatic environment and in the Great Barrier Reenvironment Park. Downstream Effects of Agriculture Committee Paper, Queensland Department of Ventral Resources, Brisbane.

Readman JW (1996). Antiforung Herbicides - a threat to the marine environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 32(4):320-321.

Readman JW, Albanis TA, Barcelo D, Galassi S, Tronczynski J and Gabrielides GP (1993). Herbicide contamination of mediterranean estuarine waters: results from a MED POL pilot survey. *Marine Polymion Bulletin* 26:613-619.

Rechelt AJ and Jones GB (1993). Characterisation and fate of suspended sediments associated with dredging activities in Cleveland Bay. James Cook University, Townsville.

Reichelt AJ and Jones GB (1994). Trace metals as tracers of dredging activity in Cleveland Bay - field and laboratory studies. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 45:1237-1257.

Reichelt-Brushett A (1998) The lethal and sublethal effects of selected trace metals on various life stages of scleractinian corals. PhD thesis, Southern Cross University, Australia.

Richardson BJ (1995). The problem of chlorinated compounds in Australia's marine environment. In: *The State of the Marine Environment Report for Australia, Technical Annex: 2.* Zann LP and Sutton DC (eds). Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority: Townsville, 53-61.

Ridd M (1999). An historical record of cadmium input to the Herbert Estuary. In: *Sources, Fates and Consequences of Contaminants in the Great Barrier Reef and Torres Strait*, Kellaway D (ed). Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Riegl B (1995) Effects of sand deposition on scleractinian and alcyonacean corals. *Marine Biology* 121:517-526.

Riegl B and Branch GM (1995). Efforts of sediment on the energy budgets of four scleractinian (Bourne 1900) and five alcyonacean (Lamouroux 1816) corals. *Journal of Experimental Macme Biology and Ecology* 186:259-275.

Rohde K, Masters E, Noble R, Brodie J, Faithful J, Carroll C, Shaw C, Brando V and Dekker A (2005). Fresh and marine vater quality in the Mackay Whitsunday Kegion, 2004/2005. Mackay Whitsunday Natural Resource Management Group, Mackay.

Robat K, Masters B, Fries N, Noble R, Carroll C 2008). Fresh and marine water quality in the Mackay Whitsunday Region, 2004/2005 to 2006/07. Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Water for Mackay Whitsunday Natural Resource Management Group, Mackay.

Rogers CS (1979) The effect of shading on coral reef structure and function. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 41:269-288.

Rogers CS (1983). Sublethal and lethal effects of sediments applied to common Caribbean reef corals in the field. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 14:378-382.

Rogers CS (1990). Responses of coral reefs and reef organisms to sedimentation. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 62:185-202.

Romero P, Barnett PG and Midtling JE (1989). Congenital anomolies associated with maternal exposure to oxydemeton-methyl. *Environmental Research* 50:255-261.

Russell DJ, Hales PW and Moss A (1996a). Pesticide residues in aquatic biota from north-east Queensland coastal streams. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Queensland* 106:23-30.

Russell DJ, Hales PW and Helmke SA (1996b). Fish resources and stream habitat of the Moresby River catchment. Quensland Department of Primary Industries, Cairns.

Sandmann G and Bölger P (1986). Sites of herbicide action at the photosynthetic apparatus. In: *Encyclopedia of plant physiology*. Staehelin LA and Arntzen CJ (eds). Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany. Scarlett A, Donkin P, Fileman TW, Evans SV and Donkin ME (1998). Risk posed by the anitfouling agent Irgarol 1051 to the seagrass, Zostera marina. *Aquatic Toxicology* 45(1999):159-170.

Scarlett A, Donkin P, Fileman TW and Morris RJ (1999). Occurrence of the antifouling herbicide, Irgarol 1051, within Coastal-water seagrasses from Queensland. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 38(8):687-91.

Schaffelke B (1999a) Particulate organic matter as an alternative nutrient source for tropical Sargassum species (Fucales, Phaeophyceae). *Journal of Phycology* 35:1150-1157.

Schaffelke B (1999b) Short-term nutrient pulses as tools to assess responses of coral reef macroalgae to enhanced nutrient availability. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 182:305-310.

Schaffelke B, Mellors J, Duke N (2005). Water quality in the Great Barrier Reef Region: responses of mangrove, seagrass and macroalgal communities. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51(1-4):279-296.

Schalcher-Hoenlinger MA and Schlacher TA (1998). Differential accumulation patterns of heavy metals amoung the dominant macrophytes of a Mediterraneaan seagrass meadow. *Chemosphere* 37:1511-1519.

Schramm KW, Henkelmann B and Kettrup A (1995). PCDD/F sources and levels in river Elbe sediments. *Chemosphere* 29:2160-2166.

Schreiber U, Muller JF, Haugg A and Gademann R (2002). New type of dual-channel PAM chlorophyll fluorometer for highly sensitive water toxicity biotests. *Photosynthesis Research* 74:317-330.

Schwarzschild AC, MacIntrye WG, Wore KA and Libelo EL (1994). Zostera marina L, growth response to atrazine in root-rhizome and whole plant exposure experiments. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 77-89.

Sebens K (1983) Sebement and metamorphosis of a temperate soft-conditional larvae (*Alcyonium sierium* Verril): induction by crustose algae. *Biological Bulletin* 1055386-304.

Seery CR, Gunthorpe L and Ralph PJ (2006). Herbidie impact on Hormosira banksii gametes measured by fluorescence and germination bioassays. *Environmental pollution* 140:43-51.

Semple P and Williams RL (1998). Metals in sediment data summary-Queensland, 1970 to 1992. Environment Data Report No. 10. Department of Environment and Heritage, Brisbane.

SETAC (Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry) (2005). *Atrazine in North American surface waters: a probabilistic aquatic ecological risk assessment.* Giddings JM, Anderson TA, Hall, LW Jr, Hosmer AJ, Kendall RJ, Richards RP, Solomon KR, Williams WM. SETAC, Pensacola, Florida 32501-3367, USA. Shaw GR and Connell DW (1980). Polychlorinated biphenyls in the Brisbane River Estuary, Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 11:356-358.

Shaw M and Muller JF (2005). Preliminary evaluation of the occurrence of herbicides and PAHs in the Wet Tropics region of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia, using passive samplers. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 51:876-881.

102

Shepherd SA, McComb AJ, Bulthuis DA, Neverauskas V, Steffensen DA and West R (1989). Decline of seagrasses. In: *Biology of seagrasses: a treatise on the biology of seagrasses with special reference to the Australian region*. Larkum AMD, McComb AJ and Shepherd SA (eds). Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Short FT and Wyllie-Echeverria S (1)96). Natural and human-induced disturbance of severasses. *Environmental Conservation* 23: 7-27.

Short FT, Burdick DM, Granger S and Nixon SW (1996). Long-term decime in eelgrass, *Zostera marina* L, linked to increased housing development. Proceedings of the 2nd International Seagrass Workshop, Perm. University of Western Australia.

Simp on RJ (1988). Ecology of Scleractinian corals in the pompier Archipelago, Western Australia. Environmental Protection Authority, Perth, Western Australia 238 pp.

Smillie RH and Waid JS (1985). Polychlorinated biphenyls and organochlorine compounds in Great Barrier Reef biota. *Workshop on Contaminants in Waters of the Great Barrier Reef.* Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Smith SV (1981) Responses of Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii, to relaxation of sewage stress. International Conference on the Effects of Nutrient Enrichment in Estuaries, 29 May 1979:391-412.

Smith JD, Bagg J and Bycroft BM (1984). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the clam *Tridacna maxima* from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Environmental Science and Technology* 18:353-358.

Smith JD, Bagg J and Sin YO (1987). Aromatic hydrocarbons in seawater, sediments and clams from Green Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 38:501-510.

Smith SV, Kimmerer WJ, Laws EA, Brock RE and Walsh TW. 1981. Kaneohe Bay sewage diversion experiment: Perspectives on ecosystem responses to nutritional perturbation. *Pacific Science* 35:279–385.

Smith GW, Kozuchi AM and Hayasaka SS (1982). Heavy metal sensitivity of seagrass rhizoplane and sediment bacteria. *Botanica Marina* XXV, 19-24.

Smith VH, Tilman, GD, Nekola JC (1999). Eutrophication: impacts of excess nutrient inputs on freshwater, marine, and terrestrial ecosystems. *Environmental Pollution* 100:179-196. Snidvongs A, Kinzie RA, III (1994). Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment on in vivo symbiotic zooxanthellae of Pocillopora damicornis. *Marine Biology* 118:705-711.

Spain AV and Heinsohn GE (1973). Cyclone associated feeding changes in the dugong (mammalia : Sirenia). *Mammalia* 37:675-681. Stafford-Smith MG (1993). Sediment-rejection efficiency of 22 species of Australian scleractinian corals. *Marine Biology* 115:229-243

Stafford-Smith MG and Ormond RFG (1993). Sediment-rejection mechanisms of 42 species of Australian scleractinian corals. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 43:683-705.

Stambler N, Cox EF and Vago R (1994). Effects of ammonium enrichment on respiration, zooxanthellar densities and pigment concentrations in two species of Hawaiian corals. *Pacific Science* **48:**284-290.

Stambler N, Popper N, Dubinsky Z and Stimson J (1991). Effect of nutrient enrichment and water motion on the coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Pacific Science* 45:299-307.

Stegeman JJ, Renton KW, Woodin BR, Zhang Y and. Addison RF (1990) Experimental and environmental induction of cytochrome P450E in fish from Bermuda waters. *Journal of Fisheries Biology* 37:49-67.

Stimson J and Larned ST (2001). Effects of herbivory, nutrient levels, and introduced algae on the distribution and abundance of the invasive macroalga *Dictyosphaeria cavernosa* in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii *Coral Reef* 19:343-357.

Stoddart JA, Anstee S (2005) Water quality plume modelling and tracking before and during dredging in Mermaid Sound, Dampier, Western Astralia. In: *Corals of the Dampier Harbour, Their survival and reproduction during the dredging programs of 2004.* Stoddart JA, Stoddart SE (eds. MScience Pty Ltd, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Perth, Western Australia, pp. 1–34.

Subramanian A, Kanabe S, Tatskawa R, Saito S and Miyazaki N (1967). Reduction in testosterone levels by PCBs and DDE in Dall's porpoises of the North Western North Pacific. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 18:002,646.

Sunderam RIM, Warne MStJ, Chapman JC, Pablo F, Hawkins J, Rose RM, Patra RW (2000). *The ANZECC* and ARMCANZ water quality guideline database for toxicants. Supplied as a CD-rom in the ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000) Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality.

Szmant AM (2002). Nutrient Enrichment on Coral Reefs: Is it a Major Cause of Coral Reef Decline? *Estuaries* 25:743-766.

Szmant-Froelich A, Johnson V, Hoehn T, Battey J, Smith GJ, Fleischmann E, Porter J, Dallmeyer D (1981). The physiological effects of oil-drilling muds on the Caribbean coral *Montastrea annularis*. Proceedings of the 4th International Coral Reef Symposium, Knowlton, Canada.

Tanabe S, Tatsukawa R, Kawano M and Hidaka H (1982). Global distribution and atmospheric transport of chlorinated hydrocarbons: HCH (BHC) isomers and DDT compounds in the Western Pacific, Eastern Indian and Antarctic Oceans. *Journal of the Oceanographical Society of Japan* 38:137-148.

Tanabe S. Iwata H and Tatsukawa R (1994). Global contamination by persistent organochlorines and the ecotoxicological impact on marine mammals. *The Science of the Total Environment* 154:163-177.

Tarasova EN, Mamonlov AA, Mamontov FA, Klasmeier J and McLachlan MS (1997). Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) in Baikal sea. *Chemosphere* 34:2419-2427.

Tatsukawa R, Yamaguch Y, Kawano M, Kannan N and Tanabe S (1990). Global monitoring of organochlorine insectiones- an 11-year case study (1975-1985) of HCHs and DDTs in the open ocean atmosphere and hydrosphere. In: *Long range transport of pesticides* Kurtz DA (ed). Lewis Publishers Inc: Michigan, OSA, 127-141.

Taxon JP and Devlin MJ (1997) The protean nature of vet tropical coast flood plumes in the Great Barrier Reef lagoon- distribution and composition. In: *The Great Barrier Reef. Science, Use and Management, vol. 2,* Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, 25-29.

Te TF (1998). Preliminary Investigations into the effects of Dursban insecticide on *Pocillopora damicornis* (Scleractinia:Cnidaria). *Journal of Marine Environmental Engineering* 4:189-199.

Telesnicki GJ and Goldberg WM (1995). Effects of turbidity on the photosynthesis and respiration of two south Florida reef coral species. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 57:527-539.

Tesiram YA (1995). The use of cadmium, uranium and arsenic as indicators of anthrpogenic input into the Herbert River estuary, central Great Barrier Reef. Honours Thesis. James Cook University, Townsville.

Thompson DR (1990). Metal levels in marine vertebrates. In: *Heavy metals in the marine environment*. Furness RW and Rainbow PS (eds). CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.

Thompson A, Allen JR, Dodoo D, Hunter J, Hawkins SJ and Wolff GA (1996). Distribution of chlorinated biphenyls in mussels and sediments from Great Britain and the Irish Sea Coast. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 32:232-237.

Thomson JM and Davie JDS (1974). Pesticide residues in the fauna of the Brisbane River estuary. *Search* 5:152.

97

Thursby GB, Champlin D, Berry W (1990). Citation listing: Memorandum to DJ Hansen US. EPA, Narragansett (RI) : Science Applications International. Not able to be obtained by this author.

Tiller KG, Merry RH, Zarcinas BA and Ward TJ (1989). Regional geochemistry of metal-contaminated surficial sediments and seagrasses in Upper Spencer Gulf, South Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 28:473-493.

Tillitt DE, Ankley GT and Verbrugge DA (1991) H4IIE rat hepatoma cell bioassay-derived 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalents in colonial fish-eating waterbird eggs from the Great Lakes. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 21:91-101.

Titlyanov EA, Latypov YY (1991) Light-dependence in scleractinian distribution in the sublittoral zone of South China Sea Islands. *Coral Reefs* 10:133-138.

Tomascik T and Sander F (1985). Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals. I. Growth rate of the reef-building coral *Montastrea annularis*. *Marine Biology* 87:143-155.

Tomascik T and Sander F (1987). Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals. III. Reproduction of the reef-building coral *Porites porites*. *Marine Biology* 94:77-94.

Touchette BW and Burkholder JM (2000). Review of nitrogen and phosphorus metabolism in seagrasses. *Journal of Marine Biology and Ecology* 250:133-167,

Udy JW, Dennison WC, Lee Long W and McKengie LJ (1999). Responses of seagrass to nutrients in the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Marine Ecological Progress Series* 185:257-271.

UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme) (1996). *Report of the workshop on the biology and conservation of small cetacerns and dugongs of Southeast Asia*. United Nations Environment Programme, Bangkok.

Van de Plassche ES Rolder MD and Canton JH (1993). Derivation of maximum permissible concentrations for several volatile compounds for water and est Report No. 679101 008. National Institute of Public Health and Environment Protection, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

Katwijk MM, Meier NF, van Loon R, van Howe EM, Giesen WB and van der Velde G (1993). Sabaki River sediment loading and coral stress: correlation between sediments and condition of the Malindi-Watamu reefs in Kenya (Indian Ocean). *Marine Biology* 117:675-683.

Van Leeuwen CJ, Hermens JL (eds). (1995). *Risk Assessment of Chemicals: An introduction*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 392. van Oppen MJH, Mieog JC, Sánchez CA, Fabricius KE (2005). Diversity of algal endosymbionts (zooxanthellae) in octocorals: the roles of geography and host relationships. *Molecular Ecology* 14:2403-2417.

van Rensen JJS (1989). Herbicides interacting with photosystem II. In: *Herbicides and plant metabolism*. Dodge AD (ed). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 21-36.

van Woesik R, Tomascik T and Blake S (1999). Corat assemblages and physico-chemical characteristics of the Whitsunday Islands: evidence of recent community changes. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 50:427-440.

Vandermeulen JH, Davis ND and Messetine L (1972) The effect of photosynthesis on zooxanthellae in corals and other marine invertebrates. *Marine Biology* 16:185-191.

Vassilopoulou V and Georgakopoulos-Gregoriades E (1993). Factors influencing the uptake of PCBs and DDTs in red multet (*Mullus barbatus*) from Pagassitikos Golf, central Greece. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 26:285-287.

Viarengo A (1989). Heavy metals in marine interrubrates: mechanisms of regulation and toxicity at he cellular level. *Reviews in Aquatic Sciences* 1:295-317.

Voldner EC and Li YF (1995). Global usage of selected persistent organochlorines. *The Science of the Total Environment* 160/161:201-210.

Von Westernhagen H and Klumpp DW (1995). Xenobiotics in fish from Australian tropical coastal waters, including the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 30(2):166-169.

Wachenfeld DR, Oliver JK and Morrisey JI (1998). State of the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area 1998. Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville.

Waldichuk M (1985). Biological availability of metals to marine organisms. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 16:7-11.

Walker D, Dennison W and Edgar G (1999). Status of Australian seagrass research and knowledge. In: *Seagrass in Australia. Strategic review and development of an R&D plan,* Butler A and Jernakoff P (eds). CSIRO, Canberra, 1-24.

Walker DI and McComb A J (1992). Seagrass degradation in Australian coastal waters. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 25:191-195.

Walker GS and Brunskill GJ (1997) Detection of anthropogenic and natural mercury in sediments from the Great Barrier Reef lagoon. In: *The Great Barrier Reef. Science, Use and Management, vol.* 2, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville. Wallace HD and Moss AJ (1979). Some aspects of water quality in Northern Moreton Bay. In: Northern Moreton Bay symposium, Bailey A and Stevens NC (eds). Royal Society of Queensland, Brisbane.

Walsh GE, McLaughlin LL, Yoder MJ, Moody PH, Lores EM, Forester J, Wessinger-Duvall PB (1988). Minutocellus polymorphus: a new marine diatom for use in algal toxicity tests. Environ Toxicol Chem 7:925-929

Wang Y, Ridd PV, Heron ML, Stieglitz T, Orpin AR (2007). Flushing times of solutes and pollutants in the central GBR lagoon, Australia. Marine and Freshwater Research 58:778-791.

Wania F, Axelman J and Broman D (1998). A review of processes involved in the exchange of persistent organic contaminants across the air-sea interface. Environmental Pollution 102:3-23.

Ward GS and Ballantine L (1985). Acute and chronic toxicity of atrazine to estuarine fauna. Estuaries 8:22-7

Ward TJ (1989). The accumulation and effects of metals in seagrass habitats. In: Biology of seagrasses: a treatise on the biology of seagrasses with special reference to the Australian region. Larkum AWD, McComb AJ and Shepherd SA (eds). Elsevier, Amsterdam, 797-820.

Ward TJ, Correll RB and Anderson RB (1986). Distribution of cadmium, lead and zinc amongst the marine sediments, seagrasses and fauna and the selection of sentinel accumulators near a lead smelter in South Australia. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 37:567-585.

Ward S and Harrison P (2000). Changes in gametogenesis and fecundity of acrophild corals that were exposed to elevated nitrogen and phosphorus during the ENCORE experiment Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 246:179-221.

Ware GW (1989) The pesticide book. Thompson Publications: Fresto, California.

Warne MSCA1998). Critical review of methods to derive water quality guidelines for toxicants and a proposal for a new framework. Environment Australia (Supervising Scientist Report 135), Supervising Scientist, Canberra.

Warne MStJ (2001) Derivation of the ANZECC and ARMCANZ Water Quality Guidelines for Toxicants. Australasian Journal of Ecotoxicology 7:123-136

Waycott M, Longstaff BJ and Mellors J (2005). Seagrass population dynamics and water quality in the Great Barrier Reef region: A review and future research directions. Marine Pollution Bulletin 51:343-350.

Weber M, Lott C, Fabricius K (2006) Different levels of sedimentation stress in a scleractinian coral exposed to terrestrial and marine sediments with contrasting physical, geochemical and organic properties. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 336:18-32

Webster IT, Ford PW and Tillman P (2004). Estimating nutrient budgets in tropical estuaries subject to episodic flows. Marine Pollution Bulletin 51:165-173.

EC.2 Weiner J, DeLorenzo ME, Fulton MH (2004). Relationship between uptake capacity and differential toxicity of the herbicide atrazine in selected microalgal species. Aquatic Toxicology 68, Issue 2:127128

Wesseling I, Uychiaoco AJ, Aliño Pt, Jurin T and Vermaat JE (1999). Damage and recovery of four Philippine corals from short-term sediment burial. Marine Ecology Progress Series 176:11-15.

West K and Van Woerle R (2001). Spatial and Temporal Variance of River Discharge on Okinawa (Japan): Inferring the remporal Impact on Adjacent Coral Reefs. Marine Pollution Bulletin 42:864-872.

Wilkinson (1996). Systat 7.0 for Windows: Statistics Microsoft, Chicago.

Williams D McB (2001). Impacts of terrestrial run-off on the Great Barrier ReefWHA. Report to Cooperative Research Centre for the Great Barrier Reef World Heritage Area, Townsville Queensland.

Wilson SP, M Ahsanullah M, GB Thompson GB (1993). Imposex in Neogastropods: An Indicator of Tributyltin Contamination in Eastern Australia. Marine Pollution Bulletin MPNBAZ, Vol. 26, No. 1, p 44-48

Windom HL, Schropp SJ, Calder FD, Ryan JD, Smith RG Jr, Burney LC, Lewis FG and Rawlinson CH (1989). Natural trace element concentrations in estuarine and coastal sediments of the southeastern United States. Environmental Science and Technology 23: 314-320.

Witt G, Schramm KW and Hekelmann B (1997). Occurrence and distribution of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in sediments of the western Baltic Sea. Chemosphere 35:1465-1473.

Wittenberg M and Hunte W (1992). Effects of eutrophication and sedimentation on juvenile corals. 1. Abundance, mortality and community structure. Marine Biology 116: 131-138.

Wirgin I and Waldman JR (1998) Altered gene expression and genetic damage in North American fish populations. Mutation Research, Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis 399:193-219.

Wolanski E and De'ath G (2005). Predicting the impact of present and future human land-use on the Great Barrier Reef. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 64:504-508.

Wolanski E, Richmond, R, McCook L and Sweatman H (2003). Mud, marine snow and coral reefs. American Scientist 91:44-51.

Wolanski E and Spagnol S (2000). Pollution by mud

REVOKED BY MARINE PARK AUTHORITIED ARD DECISION ON 20EC2A


Australian Government

REVOKED BY MARINE PARKAUTHORIT BOARD

Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority