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10.1 Introduction

10.1.1 Reef-building corals

Reef-building corals (Order Scleractinia Class Anthozoa) form extensive skeletons of calcium carbonate (limestone), depositing enough material over time to form vast reef structures that may be easily seen from space. The majority of reef-building corals are hard (stony) scleractinian corals. Many octocorals (especially soft corals in the family Alcyoniidae and the blue coral *Heliopora*) and some hydrozoan corals (such as *Millepora*) also contribute to reef-building. Corals form the framework of reef structures, while other organisms such as calcareous algae (especially red coralline algae) play a key role in cementing and consolidating the reef framework. This chapter focuses on the vulnerability of reef-building corals to climate change. The implications of climate change for macroalgae are covered in chapter 7 and a broader treatment of reef processes is provided in chapter 17.

10.1.2 The role of reef-building corals in the GBR

Major coral reefs stretch along both coastlines of the Australian continent, from Frazer Island to Torres Strait on the east coast, and from the Houtman Abrolhos reefs across the northwest coast of Australia to the western edge of the Gulf of Carpentaria on the western side of Australia. These coral reefs show a tremendous variety of structures within this broad geographical range, from poorly developed reefs that fringe inshore regions to extensive carbonate barrier reefs offshore. At more southern locations, coral populations form important communities despite the fact that temperature, light and the concentration of carbonate ions are such that there is no net accumulation of calcium carbonate. These reefs are referred to as non-carbonate coral reefs. Australian coral reefs provide critical habitat for a diversity of fauna and flora that includes over 400 species of corals, 4000 species of molluscs and over 1500 species of fish. The role of coral reefs in underpinning coastal economies in Australia is becoming increasingly recognised with the pristine nature of coral reefs being identified as the key driver of an internationally focused tourism industry. The contribution that the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) tourism industry makes, as part of regional tourism, to the Australian economy is A$6.1 billion per annum.

10.1.3 Critical factors for coral survival

Corals have a symbiotic relationship with dinoflagellate protists (division Pyrrhophyta, class Dinophyceae, genus *Symbiodinium*), which are referred to loosely as zooxanthellae. The dinoflagellate cells of *Symbiodinium* exist within vacuoles in coral host cells, forming a close endosymbiotic mutualistic association. The dinoflagellates photosynthesise at rates similar to that of free-living dinoflagellate species but translocate up to 95 percent of the photosynthetic products to the host cell (reviewed in Muscatine). The coral receives a range of products including sugars, amino acids and larger compounds such as lipids and small peptides. In return, the dinoflagellate symbionts gain access to a rich supply of inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus from the host, which supports the primary productivity of the dinoflagellate under the otherwise low-nutrient conditions typical of sub-tropical and tropical seas. The efficiencies of the internal recycling of nutrients with corals are considered to underpin their ability to build the vast reef structures found along tropical and sub-tropical coastlines.
Examination of the environmental conditions under which corals thrive today provides valuable insight into the sensitivity of corals to key environmental variables and to how they might respond under future climate change. Kleypas et al.\textsuperscript{105} explored the environmental factors underpinning 6451 reef locations and identified several factors that were correlated with the distribution of coral reefs. In particular, they noted the strong correlation of carbonate reef systems with well-lit, warm (greater than 16°C) and saline (23 parts per thousand) waters with aragonite saturation states ranging from 3.28 to 4.06. Interestingly, coral reefs are found in a range of nutrient concentrations in contrast to common dogma that coral reefs are always found in low nutrient conditions. These conditions give us insight into the evolutionary limits of reef-building corals, which is important in understanding how corals might, or might not, be able to cope with the major environmental changes projected to occur over this century. This is particularly relevant to problems associated with the acidification of ocean waters caused by rising atmospheric carbon dioxide\textsuperscript{94}. Under almost all future scenarios, changes in atmospheric carbon dioxide are likely to decrease the average aragonite saturation state of the world’s oceans well below 3.0, the point at which most corals appear unable to form skeletons.

A great deal of research has been undertaken on the direct impacts of climate change on adult coral colonies. The effects on potentially sensitive reproductive processes, however, have received far less attention. Successful reproduction and recruitment is essential for ongoing survival of coral reefs, particularly following heavy disturbances such as bleaching, where recovery of coral abundance and diversity relies largely on recruitment by larvae or propagules from other coral communities\textsuperscript{44}. Corals have two distinct strategies for reproduction: broadcast spawning of gametes and brooding of larvae\textsuperscript{79}. The early life stages of corals (such as oocytes, sperm, larvae and recruits), as well as critical transitions in life history (fertilisation and larval settlement), may be just as susceptible to thermal stress as mature colonies.

There are many other factors that influence the survival of corals. Poor water quality, such as high nutrient levels and sedimentation rates, can have dramatic influences on corals and the reefs they build. Coral reefs change and diminish as they approach coastal areas typified by natural coastal runoff and flooding events\textsuperscript{187}. In recent times, coastal development has increased the amount of sediment flowing off the land\textsuperscript{132}, adding agrichemicals and other pollutants. Decreasing light levels, increased sediment levels and increasing levels of pollutants can reduce coral growth and in some circumstances have removed coral communities from reefs altogether\textsuperscript{188}. Storm frequency and intensity also influences coral reefs, through natural events that may remove corals temporarily from some areas. Natural disturbance events like storms are considered to play an important role in mediating the strong competitive forces that may end up excluding less competitive species.

Discussion of how environmental factors determine the distribution of reef-building corals is important basis from which to explore the vulnerability of reef-building corals and their dinoflagellate symbionts to climate change. The next section focuses on such vulnerability within the context of thresholds, specifically with respect to those factors that appear to play a key role in determining the distribution of corals. In addition to dealing with issues of stress exposure, the sensitivity and potential impacts of these factors will also be considered.
10.2 Vulnerability of corals to climate change

10.2.1 Changes in water temperature

10.2.1.1 Exposure – water temperature

Average water temperatures of the GBR are now significantly warmer than at the end of the 19th century, 0.4°C warmer based on 30-year averages (Lough chapter 2). Rates of warming of Australia’s tropical and sub-tropical waters are similar to that seen globally for tropical waters (+0.17°C from 1951 to 1990). As with changes in global temperature, these changes are unprecedented in terms of rates of change seen over the past several hundred if not several thousand years. Warming seas have pushed corals ever closer to their thermal maxima, with the result that warmer than average years (part of natural variability) now push corals beyond their thermal tolerance.

10.2.1.2 Sensitivity – water temperature

The most dramatic manifestation of corals being pushed beyond their thermal tolerances is coral bleaching, which is a condition in which corals lose the brown pigmentation of their dinoflagellate symbionts. Coral bleaching is essentially a stress response in corals that arises as the intricate endosymbiosis between animal and single-celled plant begins to break down.

Corals will bleach in response to a range of conditions including high or low irradiance, elevated or reduced temperatures, reduced salinity, the presence of some toxins (e.g., cyanide, copper ions, herbicides and microbial infection (e.g., Vibrio)). Bleaching in response to isolated and local-scale (1 to 500 metres squared) stresses has been reported for at least 70 years.

Sensitivity of corals to thermal stress can be highly variable between species, between populations within species, and at spatial scales ranging from centimetres to thousands of kilometres. Some of this variation in sensitivity in space may be due to environmental factors such as differential light regimes, water motion and thermal micro-, meso- and macroclimates. However, a number of biological factors also play a significant role in the sensitivity of corals to temperature. The symbiont type associated with corals in particular has been shown to greatly influence thermal tolerance with so-called type D symbionts conferring an extra 1 to 1.5°C tolerance in Acropora millepora compared to type C2.

Thermal sensitivity at the coral species level is likely to be shaped in large part by host factors that govern which symbiont types form stable symbiosis with particular species, the strength of association under stressful conditions and the flexibility of the symbiosis. Some of the differential sensitivity to thermal stress among species and populations may be due to biochemical processes. For example, enzymes involved in antioxidant functions such as copper/zinc superoxide dismutase and manganese superoxide dismutase and molecular chaperones such as heat shock proteins Hsp60 and 70 and chlorophyll Hsp have been shown to play a role in the defence against thermal stress in either or both animal hosts and symbiotic algae. Similarly, mycosporin-like amino acids produced by coral hosts have been shown to provide protection against damaging ultraviolet (UV) radiation during hot, still conditions. The role of fluorescent pigments in the photo-protection of corals during bleaching is less clear. These pigments are more common in corals in high light environments, such as reef flats, and are clearly involved in shading corals and their symbionts from excessive light levels. They are also a diverse group of pigments with a range of functions that do not necessarily include reduced...
sensitivity to bleaching\textsuperscript{10}. These protective and reparative mechanisms are also evident in coral eggs and larvae\textsuperscript{139} and may influence differences in sensitivity to thermal stress between species and life history stages.

\textbf{10.2.1.3 Impacts – water temperature}

Mass coral bleaching events are triggered by warmer than normal conditions, a strong relationship as seen by the fact that mass bleaching events can be predicted using simple sea surface temperature anomalies measured from satellites and time-integrated temperature curves\textsuperscript{21,82}. Light is an important co-factor. Corals that are shaded do not bleach as severely as those under normal irradiances\textsuperscript{100,142,9} which is a consequence of the mechanism that involves an increase in the sensitivity of the symbionts to photoinhibition\textsuperscript{100,82}. The water flow field also appears to be important\textsuperscript{144,145} and can greatly impact the photosynthetic performance of zooxanthellae \textit{in hospite}\textsuperscript{144}, with corals that are in still as well as warm and sunlit conditions experiencing the greatest coral bleaching. This experimental outcome supports some of the initial observations of coral bleaching, which coincided with the doldrums that are typical of El Nino years in some coral reef regions\textsuperscript{74}.

Coral bleaching is not always fatal, and the outcome for the coral is dependent on the level of stress. Bleached corals may recover their symbiotic dinoflagellate populations following a bleaching event if the stress conditions are mild and short-lived. It may take several months for the dinoflagellates to repopulate the tissues, a process that arises from populations of dinoflagellates remaining in the host tissues. Often corals that appear bleached have as many as 10,000 dinoflagellates per centimetre squared\textsuperscript{88} which is two to three orders of magnitude less than that seen in healthy corals but more than enough to initiate re-infection from within. Mortality of corals will occur if conditions are warmer for longer periods, most likely because the symbiosis reaches a critically low energy status as the symbiont population continues to decline\textsuperscript{9}. In some cases, mortality will increase to include most corals growing within a population, reef or region\textsuperscript{82}.

Australia’s coral reefs have bleached repeatedly over the past 30 years, with events occurring in 1980, 1982, 1983, 1987, 1992, 1994, 1998, 2002 and 2006\textsuperscript{150,82,20,24}. Large sections of the GBR have bleached during these years, with impact measurements supporting the conclusion that events in 1998 and then 2002 were the worst on record. Fortunately, mortality rates have been relatively low because the conditions have not been as severe as in other parts of Australia and the world (eg western Indian Ocean in 1998, 46% mortality of corals\textsuperscript{46}). Scott Reef in the northwest waters of Australia, for example, has not been so lucky. In 1998, a very warm core of water persisted above the oceanic, and normally well flushed, Scott Reef for several months. This resulted in almost total bleaching and mortality of corals down to 30 metres. Recent reports indicate that recovery of these reefs has been slow\textsuperscript{140}, with the percentage cover of corals still very low compared to that seen before 1997. Additional bleaching in 2003 and a category 4 cyclone in 2004 have removed further corals from the remaining populations.

Not all coral species are equally susceptible to thermal stress\textsuperscript{87}, a factor that may be important in shaping the structure of future coral reefs. Following the 1998 bleaching event on the GBR, Marshall and Baird\textsuperscript{134} demonstrated that bleaching susceptibility varies dramatically among coral taxa on the GBR: acroporids and pocilloporids were severely affected (18 to 38% mortality), poritids and faviids were moderately affected and genera such as \textit{Turbinaria} and \textit{Galaxea} were largely unaffected.
Interestingly, this pattern is consistent with the general pattern of species distributions across the GBR lagoon, with acroporids and pocilloporids increasing in abundance towards offshore locations and *Turbinaria* and poritids (in particular *Goniopora*) often dominating inshore reefs often characterised by higher temperatures and high turbidity. Tentatively, increased frequency and intensity of thermal anomalies on the scale of the GBR may push most species of acroporids and pocilloporids beyond their thermal niche boundaries (ie threshold for sustained growth, reproduction and survival). Mid-shelf reefs may experience the largest changes in community composition as intensified runoff scenarios due to stronger cyclones mean that these reefs may occasionally experience high-turbidity regimes reminiscent of inshore reefs today.

Where thermal stress has resulted in significant mortality, coral community composition has often also radically changed as a result of the variable sensitivity of different species to stress. In the Palm Island Group in the central GBR for example, declines in coral cover of between 10 and 80 percent after the 1998 bleaching event were largely due to loss of dominant *Acropora* spp. Some species such as *Acropora pulchra* suffered local extirpation and other species such as the fire coral *Millepora* spp survived only as a few colonies growing in deeper water. Macro-algae increased to become the dominant cover on some of these reefs thus bringing about a community phase shift. This is a familiar pattern of community change echoed in Indian Ocean and many other reef provinces around the world after the 1998 global bleaching episode.

Increased water temperatures have the potential to affect both the reproductive output of parental colonies and the success of early coral life stages. Photosynthetic products are critical to coral gametogenesis and larval production and bleaching during the typical eight-month period of gamete development may negatively affect reproduction. Bleaching prior to or during gametogenesis can result in low testes and egg numbers along with smaller than usual eggs and incomplete gametogenesis. *Acropora* spp. that were affected during the 1998 Okinawan bleaching event also exhibited reduced fertilization in laboratory experiments performed nine months later.

Soft corals bleached in the laboratory were affected in a similar way, exhibiting reduced fecundity and fertilization failure over two reproductive cycles. Larvae from these bleached colonies were lower in lipids, proteins and carotenoids compared with unbleached individuals. Coral reproduction can also be directly affected by increased water temperature, with laboratory experiments indicating incomplete fertilization and more rapid (but often abnormal) embryogenesis as temperatures increase. High water temperature can negatively impact larval development rates and the symbiont density in zooxanthellate larvae and the settlement and survival of azooxanthellate larvae. Interestingly, high water temperature in the Virgin Islands was shown to correlate with higher numbers of juvenile corals, but this was accompanied by greater mortality rates.

### 10.2.1.4 Adaptive capacity – water temperature

The preceding discussion is built on the premise that the behaviour of corals to thermal stress does not change on the same time scale of anthropogenic climate change. In this regard, it is important to consider the potential for corals to acclimatise (a phenotypic change within the individual) or to adapt (a genetic response at the population level) to thermal stress. There is no doubt that corals, like other animals and plants, acclimatise to changes in their environment including seasonal temperature changes. Berkelmans and Willis, for example, found that the winter maximum upper thermal limit for the ubiquitous coral *Pocillopora damicornis* was 1°C lower than the threshold for the same
species of coral in summer. Nakamura et al. have made similar observations for corals on reefs in Okinawa. Acclimatisation in this regard can occur in a range of cellular mechanisms and at a series of time frames. As with any physiological trait, however, there are limits to the extent to which organisms can acclimatise to environmental change. Berkelmans and Willis observed that corals have some potential to acclimate to seasonal differences in temperature. However, they have little capacity to acclimate to temperatures greater than 2–3°C above mean summer maxima, at least in experimental heating trials. The observation of increasing (as opposed to decreasing) mortality rates among coral communities over the past 25 years also suggests that acclimatisation by corals to higher temperatures in the summer may have already been largely exhausted.

One of the ways in which reef-building corals may be able to acclimatise is by changing a thermally sensitive Symbiodinium type for one that is more thermally tolerant, an idea first put forward by Buddemeier and Fautin as the Adaptive Bleaching Hypothesis. There are various interpretations of this hypothesis, and there has been active discussion in the literature over its definitions and whether or not there are data to support the elements of the hypothesis. As yet, there is no evidence of exogenous uptake of new symbiont types by adult scleractinian corals although Lewis and Coffroth provided some evidence for exogenous uptake by adult octocoral colonies (note: problems with the contamination of controls in this study throws some doubt on the conclusions however).

There are, however, several examples of multi-cladal associations (coral hosts that contain more than one genetic variety of Symbiodinium) that shift the dominance of one genetic variety over another. These shifts in dominant genotypes as a function of environmental conditions suggest that some genotypes may be more suited to new environmental conditions. For example, Berkelmans and van Oppen provided experimental evidence that Acropora millepora corals with multi-cladal assemblages can change the dominant symbiont type from Symbiodinium type C2 to D and can increase their thermal tolerance as a result. This type of change is a form of acclimatisation, because it represents a shift in the dominance of dinoflagellates that have had a pre-existing endosymbiotic relationship rather than the evolution of new symbiotic associations and also because in most instances the changes on the dominant Symbiodinium are not passed on from one generation to the next.

A distinction is drawn between shuffling and switching of symbiont types where the mechanism of acclimatization is due to the flexibility of coral-Symbiodinium symbiosis. Shuffling is a quantitative (compositional) change in the relative abundance of symbionts within a colony whereas switching is a qualitative change involving symbionts acquired from the environment. The latter exogenous symbionts may represent types that are new to the colony but not the species, or may be truly novel to the host species. In the latter case, the term ‘evolutionary switching’ is appropriate. The latter is used to explain changes in the symbiont distribution within hosts in response to stress. Evolutionary switching, however, is considered an extremely rare event and hence is unlikely to play the ecological role that some authors have claimed (eg Baker).

In addition to understanding the limits to the flexibility of coral-Symbiodinium symbioses relative to ecological timescales, there has been a growing interest in defining the functional nature of the differences between Symbiodinium genotypes. In this respect, the range of genotypes of Symbiodinium dinoflagellates that inhabit corals is correlated in some instances with light, temperature and stress. Some genetic varieties such as clade D are clearly correlated with warmer and putatively...
more stressful habitats than most types of clade C. Growth in corals is also clearly influenced by symbiont type. Little et al. showed that juvenile Acropora millepora and A. tenuis corals grow faster with Symbiodinium clade C compared to those associating with clade D. These types of studies are important in that they explore the functional responses of Symbiodinium strains that are otherwise only distinguished by the non-coding segments of their genomes. Future studies need to focus on how the growth, reproduction, thermal tolerance and mortality of corals are influenced by the strain or sub-cladal level of symbiotic dinoflagellates that they contain.

10.2.1.5 Vulnerability and thresholds – water temperature

Isolated examples of coral bleaching have been recorded for many years. Reports, however, of entire communities and reefs bleaching (otherwise known as mass coral bleaching) have only been reported in the scientific literature over the past 30 years. In these cases, coral bleaching may affect up to 100 percent of the reef-building corals in a community. The first examples of mass coral bleaching occur in the scientific literature in the early 1980s, and since that time, mass coral bleaching has increased in frequency, intensity and geographical extent.

Some of the most spectacular examples of how mass coral bleaching can eliminate corals from a reef or region occurred during the 1997–1998 global event. In this event, which began in late 1997 in the eastern Pacific and spread across the world by the end of 1998, coral reefs in most parts of the world experienced mass coral bleaching. Some reefs, however, experienced only mild effects of bleaching and recovered within a few months. Other reefs, however, experienced severe coral bleaching that was followed by mass mortality. In regions like the Seychelles, Maldives, Okinawa and Palau, mortality of corals reached over 80 percent. Some of these sites have recovered significantly. It is important to note that some regions still have much less coral than they had before the 1998 bleaching event and hence the term ‘recovering’ needs to be qualified in most if not all cases.

Elevated sea temperatures are the primary cause of mass coral bleaching – a fact that is extensively supported by field and laboratory studies. These data highlight the existence of thermal thresholds that vary with geographic location, species, genotype, physical factors (eg light, salinity) and history. Despite this secondary source of variability, satellite measurements of sea surface temperature anomalies can still be used to predict bleaching events several weeks in advance with greater than 90 percent accuracy at large scales. There is considerable additional information that can be derived as to the severity of the outcome of thermal stress if the time-period of exposure above threshold levels for a coral reef is also considered. High resolution time-temperature curves developed from in situ temperature data after the 1998 bleaching event proved highly effective in predicting bleaching on the GBR in 2002 and 2006. Similarly, the Degree Heating Week (DHW) index developed by Strong et al. is the multiple of exposure intensity (degrees above the threshold) and time, and has been highly successful in predicting mass bleaching events. In the 1997–1998 global bleaching event, for example, coral reefs that experienced Degree Heating Month (DHM; a variant on DHW) values of less than 1.5°C per month largely recovered while those that experienced DHM values of 3°C per month or more experienced large scale mortalities.

---

a Hotspot program, coordinated by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA. http://orbit-net.nesdis.noaa.gov/orad/coral_bleaching_index.html
Projections of how changing sea temperatures will impact Australia’s coral reefs reveal that sea temperature may soon exceed the thresholds for coral bleaching on a yearly basis. Based on how corals respond to increased temperatures today, an increase of 2°C in the average sea temperature in tropical and subtropical Australia will lead to annual bleaching with up to 97 percent of reefs affected and will almost certainly result in regular large-scale mortality events. This is confirmed if one integrates the DHW index of Strong et al. into the projections of how communities of corals will respond to thermal stress events that are hotter and longer in the future. Most evidence indicates that, for the majority of corals, huge increases in mortality will almost certainly ensue.

Models of how the expected changes in mortality will impact the abundance of coral communities indicate that even small changes in mortality regime may lead to large changes in the distribution and abundance of corals on the GBR. These changes have been examined geographically on the GBR by Done et al., who modelled the probability of mild to severe bleaching events, and how recovery of such aspects as the aesthetic appeal of coral reefs interact within mild and severe climate change scenarios. Done et al. found that the return time of devastating mass coral bleaching events even under mild warming scenarios was such that the ability of coral reefs to recover and maintain significant coral communities was severely compromised. The conclusions of their study support those of Hoegh-Guldberg and suggest that the deterioration of coral populations is highly likely under most of the scenarios examined by Done et al. The debatable issue of rapid genetic adaptation, which may modify some of the conclusions of these two studies, will be discussed presently.

Successful reproduction and recruitment is essential to ensure the long-term survival of coral reefs, particularly following heavy disturbances such as bleaching. Reef-scale sub-lethal bleaching is likely to lead to the widespread failure of gametogenesis and a subsequent reduction in the recovery disturbed reefs. This secondary impact may persist over several reproductive seasons and as corals prioritise their energy balance towards colony repair and maintenance rather than reproduction. The only study to directly measure fecundity on GBR corals following bleaching documented widespread reductions in egg number and size across several Acropora and Montipora species. Direct comparisons between the sensitivity of adult corals and their early life stages to thermal stress have not been made for GBR species. Diploria strigosa larvae from the Gulf of Mexico, however, exhibited reduced settlement and increased mortality following a weeks exposure to seawater temperatures of between 30°C and 32°C, just 1 to 3°C above the ambient seawater temperature of that region. This result indicates that the early life histories of coral may be just as vulnerable to direct thermal stress as adult colonies, even in the absence of symbiotic dinoflagellates. The severity of response is likely to vary between species, and recruitment following bleaching events may be skewed towards those species that are more tolerant to bleaching, further accelerating phenotypic change across reefs.

10.2.2 Changes in light and ultraviolet radiation

Light is obviously of key importance to coral reefs as it drives photosynthesis and powers calcification providing both the organic matter and the calcium carbonate foundation that defines coral reefs. Photosynthesis is however a dangerous process in that it involves the capture of light energy by chlorophyll and other phytopigments and generates a flow of electrons at the same time as it generates oxygen (O₂), a ready acceptor for those electrons. The potential danger lies in the creation of singlet oxygen due to the interaction of chlorophylls that are unable to off-load their excitation...
energy with $O_2$, and the production of other forms of reactive oxygen species such as superoxide anions ($O_2^-$) as $O_2$ accepts electrons that are unable to be processed by electron transport to carbon dioxide ($CO_2$) fixation$^{148}$. The wavelengths of light that drive photosynthesis (photosynthetically active radiation) overlap the visible range and hence the pigments that capture this energy are also visible to humans. Shorter, more energetic wavelengths, (300 to 400 nanometres) also make it through the earth’s atmosphere and are referred to as ultra violet radiation (UVR). The dangerous consequences of UVR are well known, most notoriously through the accepted causal role UV plays in the formation of skin cancers. UVR leads to indirect damage through its interaction with photosensitisers like chlorophyll leading to lipid peroxidation and DNA strand breakage. UVR can also directly damage DNA leading to structural changes that inhibit DNA replication and protein synthesis. The presence of UVR however has also been associated with positive morphogenic responses that enable plants to deal with other frequently co-occurring environmental stresses$^{70}$.

Light reaching the earth surface is attenuated in the atmosphere. Ozone plays the major role in attenuating UVR, and clouds (water vapour), dust and gases significantly attenuate photosynthetically active radiation and infrared radiation. Observations of decreases, about 3 percent per decade, in the global ozone, and increases in atmospheric $CO_2$, leading to changes in weather patterns that have a potential to alter cloud patterns can therefore significantly affect the intensity and quality of light attaining the earth’s surface.

10.2.2.1 Exposure – light and ultraviolet radiation

All reefs witness variability in light associated with diurnal patterns and differential cloud cover. At low latitudes, reefs are additionally affected by seasonal variability in light intensity. The water column attenuates light exponentially both by absorbing and scattering photons resulting not only in a reduction in the intensity of light with depth but also a change in quality due to the preferential absorption and scattering of red and infra-red photons. Even in crystal clear tropical waters, most of the red photons are absorbed within the first few meters of the water column with the implication that shallow water corals living in regions experiencing large tidal fluxes not only witness changes in intensity but also changes in light quality that may have substantial effects on photosynthesis. Particles in the water column such as phytoplankton and suspended sediments further attenuate and alter the spectrum of light. Highly turbid water may reduce benthic irradiance to critical light levels, compromising rates of photosynthesis for organisms like corals and marine plants$^7,8$. Conversely, given that light intensity is a measure of the number of photons passing through a fixed area in a set period of time, the shape of waves and the presence of diffuse or reflective surfaces can amplify the intensity of light by trapping or focusing photons in a specific area. This phenomenon has been shown to occur frequently in shallow lagoonal waters, and has been argued to occur between the branches or even within the tissues of reef building corals$^60$.

10.2.2.2 Sensitivity – light and ultraviolet radiation

The light intensity experienced by most photosynthetic organisms is neither constant in the short term (minutes to hours), nor constant in the long term (days to months). An imbalance between the amount of light energy capture and the ability to process that energy leads to the formation of damaging oxygen radicals. However, inadequate capture of light energy leads to scenarios where respiration (or metabolic activity) rates exceed photosynthetic rates impacting on growth and reproduction.
To maximise growth and limit damage, photosynthetic organisms therefore tend to optimise their ability to capture and process light energy to the prevailing environmental light regimes. The outcome is that some photosynthetic organisms are high light specialists, while others are low light specialists, with a few that are flexible enough to accommodate a large range of light intensities. The ability to accommodate a range of light intensities is genetically set by the ability to express proteins that allow for the restructuring of the antennae or the electron transport chain. A photosynthetic organism that can handle two light extremes will appear bleached under the high light environment compared to the low light environment due to having expressed proteins that bind less chlorophyll. In contrast, a low light specialist will look bleached in the high light field due to the photo-oxidation of the antennae due to the activity of accumulated singlet oxygen on the histidine ligands that bind the pigment to the protein template. Bleaching in the latter case is more likely to result in mortality.

In corals, dinoflagellates sit within the symbiosome (vacuole) membrane of the coral host cell. These symbiont-containing host vacuoles may be stacked on top of each other within the coral gastroderm leading to a highly heterogeneous light field where deeper dinoflagellates are significantly shaded. The host cell may contain flexible pigment-proteins that modulate not only light intensity but also spectral quality (J Deckenback pers comm). The host may place dinoflagellates within tentacles that can be exposed or withdrawn based on specific spectral cues. Alternatively, the host may alter its skeletal morphology to trap light effectively and reduce the effect of self-shading or even maintain fewer symbiotic dinoflagellates to limit self-shading at the expense of dinoflagellate respiration. As these mechanisms have been uncovered, there has been an increasing awareness of the multiple mechanisms that may be in operation even within a single species with no two individuals resorting necessarily to the same set of solutions.

In addition to host variability, there is considerable variability among genetically distinct varieties of *Symbiodinium*. Cultured *Symbiodinium* have been classified as high, low light specialists or generalists according to their ability to restructure their antennae. Genetically distinct *Symbiodinium* have been found to occupy light associated niches within a coral branch, yet there are examples of very tight coupling between symbiont and host genotypes. More often than not corals transplanted from one light environment to another undergo changes to the concentration of chlorophyll per dinoflagellate cell, rather than in the number of dinoflagellate cells present. However, this is not always the case with some host colour morphs experiencing no change in dinoflagellate chlorophyll or cell concentrations, but rather compensating changes in host pigmentation (S Dove unpublished data). In some instances, upon transplantation, coral hosts maintain a specific symbiont genotype despite the fact that conspecifics in the new light regime host a distinct symbiont genotype (E Sampayo pers comm). The flexibility associated with accommodating changing light regimes can therefore lie with a flexibility to express physiologically different *Symbiodinium* or with flexible symbiont or host gene expression.

Changes in light quality as opposed to quantity can have a significant effect not only on photosynthesis but also on a range of processes that are essential for maintaining healthy reproducing organisms. These include the setting of circadian clocks and the induction of defensive strategies. In higher plants, light quality plays an important role in balancing photosystem II and photosystem I (PSII/PSI) dynamics with the consequence that plant photosynthesis is most efficient when plants are illuminated with light of similar spectral quality to that in which they were grown. Pigments such as red light sensitive phytochromes and blue light sensitive cryptochromes are involved in setting
the circadian clocks. In addition to this role, phytochromes shift between active and inactive forms in response to different wavelengths of light, particularly red (which is absorbed by photosynthetic organisms) and a red irradiation. These wavelengths provide proximity meter for shade avoiding plants to grow and project into regions of un-attenuated light\textsuperscript{173}. Photolyases that repair certain types of UVB damage to DNA are induced by blue or UVA light\textsuperscript{141}.

The role of different wavelengths in stimulating behaviour or genetic response in corals is less well characterised. Levy et al.\textsuperscript{122} showed that some coral species retract their tentacles in response to both blue and red light, while others only respond to blue light, and others do not respond at all. Kinzie and Hunter\textsuperscript{104} showed that in comparison to red and green light, blue light stimulated increased chlorophyll a densities in corals, arguing that the relative proportion of blue light increases with depth and hence signals reductions in overall light intensities that necessitate improving the light capturing ability of the antennae. While corals and their symbionts are able to synthesize cryptochromes and photolyases (R Reef pers comm), their functional roles are yet to be elucidated. Photosynthetic action spectra have been provided for some, principally non-symbiotic, dinoflagellates\textsuperscript{158}. The specific action spectra of PSI, isolated from PSII has yet to be determined for \textit{Symbiodinium} making it difficult to assess whether changing spectral quality can result in an imbalance PSI/PSII dynamics.

Reductions in cloud cover and depletions in the ozone layer increase the UVR dose to which organisms are exposed. In clear tropical waters, UVR is principally attenuated by the presence of dissolved organic matter with UVB reduced to 1 percent of surface irradiance by a depth of approximately 11 metres\textsuperscript{55}. In response, most organisms have developed mechanisms to either protect themselves from UV damage, or repair DNA damage as it occurs. Protective mechanisms for organisms that are sessile usually involve the production of mycosporine like amino acids (MAAs), compounds that have high extinction coefficients in the UV. These compounds are abundant in corals above 10 metres, and are believed to originate from host diet or be translocated from their endosymbiotic dinoflagellates\textsuperscript{54,108}. DNA repair mechanisms either use specific enzymes (photolyase) that harness light energy to reverse DNA damage, or involve a group of genes (nuclear excision and repair genes) that cooperate in the removal and replacement of damaged DNA. The ability of corals and dinoflagellates to repair UV damaged DNA is yet to be explored. While the ability to synthesise MAAs was initially only linked to clade A \textit{Symbiodinium}\textsuperscript{17}, this may be because only a few clade C \textit{Symbiodinium} were analysed in this study, subsequent investigation has shown that some clade C \textit{Symbiodinium} also appear to contain MAAs\textsuperscript{63}.

Given that much DNA damage occurs indirectly through the creation of reactive oxygen species due to the interaction of UVR with photosensitisers in an oxygen rich environment, antioxidants play a major role in limiting damage. Both the host and the dinoflagellate may contain photosensitising pigments. Chlorophyll is the most abundant photosensitiser in photosynthetic organisms. Significantly, another fluorescent photosensitiser (named appropriately \textit{killer red} for its ability to kill bacteria is an all protein chromophore isolated from a hydrozoan and which is structurally similar to the range of proteinaceous GFP-like compounds) that have been identified within the pigmentation of corals\textsuperscript{33}. Interestingly, pigments can also act as effective antioxidants as is best exemplified by carotenoids. As yet, the full range of antioxidants available to either host or symbiont is yet to be determined, it may even turn out that while some GFP-like compounds expressed by hosts are photosensitisers potentially mediating the appropriate defensive response to increases in photon flux density, others may act as antioxidants (M Lesser pers comm).
10.2.2.3 Impacts – light and ultraviolet radiation

Global weather patterns are changing. This is specifically true of southeast Queensland in Australia where drought conditions persist and are infrequently broken up by heavy rainfall. Correlated with these drought conditions is an observed increase in the number of cloud free days over the southern GBR (M Nunez, pers comm). Sustained insolation increases sea surface temperature and places additional stress on photosynthesis as existent pools of antioxidants and other defensive pools are used up. Infrequent and heavy rainfall is also undesirable for communities of corals as it leads to turbid freshwater flood plumes that can drastically attenuate light in the water column.

Additionally, the formation of low temperature cloud particles in the stratosphere provide the surfaces required by reactions, which catalysed by light, that lead to the destruction of ozone. This phenomenon is observed every spring over Antarctica as clouds formed in the winter are exposed to solar radiation. The stratosphere is believed to be cooling as a result of climate change because green house gases trap heat in the troposphere and prevent its escape to the stratosphere. There is therefore a growing concern that climate change may result in an exponential increase in global levels of UVB.

10.2.2.4 Adaptive capacity – light and ultraviolet radiation

It is difficult to access how corals and their endosymbionts will respond to exponential increases in UVR, given that we do not know whether they have DNA repair mechanisms in addition to MAAs and an antioxidant defence mechanism. Given that increased and sustained light stress, at least in the Southern portion of the GBR, appears to co-occur with increasing temperature, it is necessary to ask how stable MAAs and this antioxidant defence system are at elevated temperatures. The answer is perhaps not so promising. Lesser et al. found an inverse correlation between temperature and host tissue MAA concentrations. Equally, if the flexibility to handle high light is dependent on either the symbionts or host ability to acclimatize to the changing light field then it must be hoped that elevated temperature does not interfere with this ability. Experimentally, it has been shown that increasing temperature in a low light field decreases the concentration of the xanthophyll pool, potentially limiting the ability of Symbiodinium to divert excess excitation energy to heat. Similarly, it has been demonstrated that while some forms of host pigmentation appear to correlate with increased photosynthetic performance at lower temperature, corals that are able to express these protein-pigments in high concentrations die as threshold temperatures are attained. Threshold temperatures have been correlated with a reduction in mRNA concentrations for genes encoding these proteins.

Conversely, however it has been shown that corals that have been exposed in the long term to high light fields cope better (lose fewer symbionts) with increases in temperature. Closer analysis showed that the host rather than the symbiont antioxidant system was most active on the high-light surface of the coral, although dinoflagellates on the sunlit side of the coral had a significantly larger xanthophyll pool. An examination of the literature shows that few Symbiodinium cultures have been trialled for both heat and light tolerance. Of the few, the exclusively high-light adapted A2 Symbiodinium from Zoanthus sp. is able to tolerate relatively high temperature. The high- and low-light flexible A1 Symbiodinium from Cassiopeia sp. is intolerant of high temperatures. Perhaps the message is that if a symbiont is already expressing defence mechanisms that enable it to deal with increased
excitation pressure at PSII, then it can survive a limited additional amount of excitation pressure generated by temperature stress. However if the appropriate defence mechanisms have not previously been induced, then temperature stress above a given threshold will not enable them; despite having potentially enabled key enzymes in the water-water cycle.

In this context, the massive amplification of light within the tissues of corals that is predicted to occur during a severe bleaching event, due to the trapping of unimpeded photons by the diffuse and reflective skeletal surface of a scleractinian coral may account for coral mortality. The scenario has been referred to as photon hell, which may be appropriate given the lethal doses of UVR or photosynthetically active radiation that are likely to be generated for host and remaining symbiont cells alike.

10.2.2.5 Vulnerability and thresholds – light and ultraviolet radiation
Corals show high sensitivity to light and UVR levels. This sensitivity increases under thermal stress due to blockages of electron flow through photosynthesis, essentially pushing thresholds for light exposure downwards. Increases in light and UV are occurring in tropical and subtropical Australia. These changes, however, are small. Under conditions in which climate change is not occurring, corals are only vulnerable to changes in light and UV to a small extent. This changes dramatically, however, as waters warm. Dramatic changes in the vulnerability of corals and their dinoflagellate symbionts occur as climate change occurs. The demonstration that thermally stressed corals bleach less and survive better if they are shaded during thermal stress reinforces this conclusion, and also suggests that some small scale technologies (eg shading) may successfully reduce the impact of thermal stress on local coral assemblages.

10.2.3 Changes in ocean chemistry
10.2.3.1 Exposure – ocean acidification
The present-day chemistry of the oceans is fundamental to the ability of reef-building corals to calcify and hence form the massive calcium carbonate framework of tropical coral reefs. The oceans have absorbed at least one-third of the excess CO$_2$ produced by human activities that has entered the atmosphere. On entering the ocean, CO$_2$ reacts with water to form carbonic acid, which dissociates to form bicarbonate ions and protons. These protons react with carbonate to form bicarbonate, moving the ionic equilibrium from carbonate to bicarbonate as more CO$_2$ enters the ocean. As the oceans take up CO$_2$, ocean pH and the saturation states of carbonate minerals (calcite, aragonite and high-magnesium calcite) decrease. These minerals are fundamental to the formation of skeletal structures in many marine calcifying organisms such as corals. The reduced carbonate ion concentration significantly reduces the ability of reef-building corals to form their skeletons and hence the reef structures that house hundreds of thousands of marine species.

10.2.3.2 Sensitivity – ocean acidification
The decrease in carbonate ions represents a major problem for calcifying organisms such as corals given that the rate of calcification varies linearly with the carbonate ion concentration. Various lines of evidence indicate that coral calcification rates will decrease and carbonate dissolution rates
increase as the calcium carbonate saturation state decreases. Several controlled experiments of calcification rates under elevated CO$_2$ levels confirm that calcification rates decrease with increasing CO$_2$ levels. These measurements suggest that calcification rates may decrease by up to 60 percent with a doubling of atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations by end of 21st century. This may put reef structures into net erosion with long-term implications for coastal protection.

10.2.3.3 Impacts – ocean acidification

Cores drilled from long-lived massive corals such as massive Porites spp provide insight into how calcification has changed over the past centuries. Some studies reported evidence of a slight increase in calcification over the decades prior to 1979 with calcification being highly correlated with average sea temperature (0.3 grams per cm$^2$ per year or 3.5% increase for each degree C of increase). Lough and Barnes have proposed that the increase in calcification was probably due to the observed increase in sea temperature on the GBR during the same period and that, initially, some corals may increase their calcification rates as the oceans warm. There is, as yet, no observational evidence of decreases in coral calcification rates on reefs with the 0.1 drop in oceanic pH, though significant decreases have been observed in controlled laboratory experiments.

10.2.3.4 Adaptive capacity – ocean acidification

Another group of authors have used the observation of increased calcification over the past 100 years to conclude that the ocean acidification will be counteracted by the putative increase in calcification due to future warmer conditions. This assumption is invalid given that corals start to bleach at just 1°C above today’s sea temperatures, and that the physiological literature also unambiguously shows that calcification increases up to the summer sea temperature maxima but then decreases rapidly thereafter. Contrary to the predictions of the McNeil et al. model, combinations of high sea temperatures and high CO$_2$ concentrations of future climate scenarios predict dramatic decreases in calcification rates. There would need to be an ever-increasing calcification rate (and a lack of negative influences from thermal stress) to enable the McNeil model to have any credibility. This and other problems with the methods and conclusions of McNeil et al. are outlined and fully discussed in Kleypas et al. It seems that the ability of marine calcifying organisms such as corals to adapt to the unprecedented and rapid rates of changes in ocean chemistry, combined with additional stresses resulting from climate change (eg coral bleaching and more destructive tropical cyclones) will be limited.

10.2.3.5 Vulnerability and thresholds – ocean acidification

Doubling atmospheric CO$_2$ above the ocean will cause the carbonate concentration to decrease to approximately 200 micromol per kg, with temperature having a small influence. A carbonate concentration of 200 micromol per kg is critical in that the calcification of corals and many other organisms declines effectively to zero at carbonate concentrations around this value. This impact is made even more significant because coral reefs are a balance between calcification and erosion and hence calcification needs to be well above zero to avoid a net erosion of coral reefs. There is overwhelming evidence that corals and the reefs they build will not be able to maintain themselves or grow if CO$_2$ concentrations rise above 500 parts per million. This level of CO$_2$ is at the lower end of the range of greenhouse scenarios for the end of this century.
10.2.4 Sea level rise

10.2.4.1 Exposure – sea level
Coral reefs of the GBR have adjusted to sea levels that have prevailed for the last 6000 years (since current level reached at end of last Ice Age). Current sea levels, therefore, are one of the controlling factors in terms of coral distribution on the GBR in terms of water depth. Global sea level is rising due to the enhanced Greenhouse effect due to both thermal expansion (of the warmer ocean waters) and contributions from the melting of continental ice sheets and glaciers. Changes to sea level have been of the order of about 20 to 25 cm over the past century\textsuperscript{155,36} and sea level is currently rising at 1 to 2 mm per year, an order of magnitude larger than the average rate over the previous several millennia\textsuperscript{37}. Current projections\textsuperscript{94} suggest a 0.1 to 0.9 metre rise of sea level by 2100. There is however, mounting concern that this rise in sea level may be higher as the Greenland Ice Sheet has been observed to be melting faster than expected. Loss of both the Greenland Ice Sheet and West Antarctic Ice Sheet would result in global sea levels that are more than 10 metres higher than present.

10.2.4.2 Sensitivity – sea level
Previous reviews have all concluded that these changes in sea level are relatively slow when compared to the rate at which corals are able to grow (up to 20 cm per year for branching corals\textsuperscript{47}), and hence do not represent a major challenge for healthy coral populations. However, these maximum coral growth rates are rates of linear extension for individual coral branches, not the reef matrix itself. In addition, the emphasis is on healthy corals, which in turn may depend on the effect of rising sea temperature and ocean acidification, and on other stressors such as reduced water quality (eg turbidity and sedimentation).

10.2.4.3 Impacts – sea level
Due to the slowing effect of other factors on growth, there is the potential that coral populations might be left behind by rapid sea level rise. It is also important to keep in mind that these conclusions are dependent on having a slow rise in sea level. They would be invalidated in the longer term if, for example, the Greenland Ice sheet were to melt rapidly\textsuperscript{152}. If this were so, then sea level rise would accelerate well above coral growth and would stabilise at 6 to 10 metres above current sea level. In this case, sea level rise would represent an extreme challenge for most marine habitats including coral reefs.

10.2.4.4 Adaptive capacity – sea level
A steady, relatively modest (eg 0.1 to 0.9 metres by 2100\textsuperscript{94}) rise in sea level is unlikely to be a major problem for corals of the GBR as reef development has been constrained by current sea levels reached several thousand years ago. Corals with high growth rates may be able to keep up with projected sea-level rises. The potential for adapting to rising sea level depends, however, on healthy coral populations which is unlikely to be the case as continued ocean warming (increasing bleaching events) and ocean acidification (reducing calcification rates) compromise the viability of corals on the GBR.
10.2.4.5 Vulnerability and thresholds – sea level

Corals of the GBR are probably less vulnerable to gradual and modest sea-level rise than to other climate change stressors. There is, however, mounting concern that the global rise in sea level is accelerating\(^a\) and that the potential for catastrophic rises (of greater than 10 metres) may be triggered by loss of the Greenland Ice Sheet and, possibly the Western Antarctic Ice Sheet. Under such circumstances, extensive areas of coral communities on the GBR would be lost or compromised, as rapid changes in sea level would overwhelm the growth rates of corals pushing their communities into deeper, low-lit areas of the ocean. A massive contraction of coral distributions would almost certainly occur, in particular in coastal, turbid areas where photic zones are already compressed, until the climate stabilised once more.

10.2.5 Tropical storms, rainfall and river flood plumes

10.2.5.1 Exposure – storms and floods

The number of severe cyclones (category 4 and 5 on the Saffir-Simpson scale) has nearly doubled over the past three decades in all ocean basins\(^b\). Using an index based on power dissipation during the life of each cyclone, Emanuel\(^c\) showed that cyclone destructiveness has increased dramatically since 1970, correlated with the increase in tropical sea surface temperatures. The record number and intensity of storms in the Gulf of Mexico during 2005 (a record 28 storms of which 15 were classified as hurricanes with winds greater than 100 km per hour\(^d\)) underscored the conclusions of both studies. If this trend in destructive cyclone activity continues to rise and interact with other climate change stressors, coral reefs will enter an era of disturbance of unprecedented dimensions.

10.2.5.2 Sensitivity – storms and floods

Storm impacts are part of the natural disturbance regime on coral reefs, and in some areas may help maintain high species diversity by preventing monopolisation by competitively dominant species\(^e,f\). However, whereas intermediate disturbance regimes can favour ecosystem health, increased frequency of severe cyclones, such as those predicted for this century, may lead to physical damage and associated stressors beyond what reefs have previously experienced. One basic premise of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis\(^g\) is that the disturbance frequency and/or severity are low enough to allow succession of the benthic community between events. Importantly, if the frequency or intensity of destructive storms increases beyond the reef’s capacity for recovery between events, reef resilience will decline and may shift reefs into alternative, less desirable states\(^h,i\).

Benthic communities reset to bare substrate and algae following severely destructive events will recover mainly through the slow process of colonisation by sexual recruits\(^j\). Milder cyclone impacts, on the other hand, often allow survival of some adult colonies that can recolonise bare patches by regrowth\(^k\). Communities of corals in coastal areas may be particularly sensitive to intensified cyclone regimes as associated secondary impacts such as terrestrial runoff and sediment resuspension will also escalate under intensified cyclone regimes (section 10.2.5.3). Interestingly, however, the shading and cooling effects of the often dense cloud cover\(^l\) and enhanced surface convection associated

\(^a\) http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/2005atlan.shtml
with storms in regions beyond their primary impact area may alleviate risks of coral bleaching. For example, during late 2005, coral reefs in the southeastern Caribbean experienced the warmest sea surface temperatures and associated mass bleaching in history. The passing of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in the northern Caribbean in August to September, prior to substantial heating of the southern Caribbean, led to significantly reduced sea surface temperatures, solar irradiance, and thereby lowered bleaching risks (M Eakin pers comm). It is important to note, however, that such lowered bleaching risks on reefs in marginal impact areas are likely to be counteracted by the increased mortality risk from secondary stressors (eg runoff and sedimentation) in coastal areas subjected to major flooding events.

10.2.5.3 Impacts – storms and floods

The impacts of tropical storms extend well beyond the direct physical impact of the wind waves they generate. Secondary impacts following storms like Hurricane Andrew were more important than the physical impacts during the storm through changes in coastal runoff and reduced water quality in nearshore areas. In coastal areas, tropical storms often lead to heavy rainfall and associated runoff on the scale of 100s of kilometres, whereas the destructive wind forces occur on a scale of 10s of kilometres. Major flooding events are runoff of freshwater and dissolved nutrients from coastal catchments is perhaps the biggest threat to corals in nearshore waters. Freshwater plumes and increased nutrient loading may inundate reefs within 50 km of major river mouths. Although terrestrial discharges of suspended solids are deposited within a few kilometres of river mouths, resuspension of sediment due to wind waves may reduce benthic light regimes dramatically, compromising coral energy budgets. As these secondary impacts may persist for weeks following a cyclone, and may extend over a larger area than the physical impact area, they may cause far more damage to reefs than the structural impact per se. Given the recent tropical cyclone activity around Australia’s coral reef coastlines, changes in storm intensity on Australian reefs are likely to be similar to those seen for other coral reef regions.

10.2.5.4 Adaptive capacity – storms and floods

Given that coral reefs have evolved under a stochastic, natural regime of storms, they undoubtedly have some capacity for adapting to locally intensified storm regimes. The more critical question is perhaps whether reefs can tolerate an intensified storm regime as well as impacts from multiple other stressors that are also predicted to intensify in the future. Perhaps most importantly, ocean acidification through increasing CO$_2$ levels (section 10.2.3) will severely reduce the capacity of corals to build skeletons and potentially the ability of crustose coralline algae to consolidate the reef matrix (Diaz-Pulido et al chapter 7). Since increased erosion of calcium carbonate will increase the susceptibility of reefs to storm damage, the adaptive capacity of coral reefs to physical disturbances is likely to be rapidly exceeded. Future communities of corals will most likely lack high-diversity assemblages of branching Acropora, but may enter a phase of largely massive and/or semi-encrusting morphologies that have higher resistance to wave impacts.

---

c http://coralreefwatch.noaa.gov/caribbean2005
10.2.5.5 Vulnerability and thresholds – storms and floods

The vulnerability of coral reefs to increased storm intensity and flooding is highly interactive with the other side of the equilibrium within which coral communities sit. Major disturbances like category 5 storms can have a major impact on sections of coral reef yet are (currently) fairly infrequent events. Recovery from these natural events occurs over several decades and in past climate regimes has not led to any persistent decrease in coral community abundance. This equilibrium may shift as the frequency of catastrophic storms increase and recovery processes become increasingly compromised through ocean warming and acidification. This suggests that coral communities will become increasingly vulnerable as storm activity increases and recovery processes decline. Specific thresholds have not been identified. However, several modelling studies have revealed that coral populations are highly sensitive to small changes in mortality or recruitment. This suggests that thresholds should be relatively easy to identify.

10.2.6 Changes in ocean circulation

10.2.6.1 Exposure – ocean circulation

One of the ways the planet copes with differential heating patterns is to balance the energy budget by transporting heat from the tropics to the poles, which is achieved by both large-scale air and ocean currents. Ocean currents are driven by wind as well as fluxes of heat and freshwater, the latter referred to as thermohaline circulation. In our present climate, the sinking of cold water near Antarctica and in the northern Atlantic Ocean are drivers for a major conveyor system known as the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. Cold, dense water in these regions sinks to the bottom of the ocean from where dense flows spread toward the equator at great depth eventually rising to the surface and being returned to the poles. The Gulf Stream is the major surface current that closes the northern arm of the meridional overturning circulation and runs from the Caribbean, along the east coast of the USA to the Greenland-Norwegian Sea. It is this current that has the greatest sensitivity to climate change. At the edge of the GBR, the East Australian Current plays a major role in determining many of the environmental conditions discussed above that can influence coral condition. In addition to influencing basic water quality, the behaviour of these currents affect whether communities of corals are connected or not, and aspects of coastal weather (such as storms and doldrums) which in turn drive parameters that affect corals. Steinberg (chapter 3) discusses these large-scale variations.

10.2.6.2 Sensitivity – ocean circulation

Since the drivers for the meridional overturning circulation are primarily the Arctic and Antarctic ice sheets and to a lesser extent tropical heating, any change to the volume of ice at high latitudes, their melting rate, or heat input at low latitudes could affect the speed of this current. Melting of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets is happening at much faster rates than previously thought and the resultant freshwater influx has the potential to slow down or even halt the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. The warm surface water of this conveyor forms the Gulf Stream, which normally provides northern Europe its relatively mild climate. A slowing down of the Gulf Stream is likely to abruptly and profoundly influence the climate of the northern USA and Europe with likely flow-on effects to the climate of the rest of the world. To a large extent, our understanding
of the impact of climate change on ocean circulation is still rapidly evolving. It is clear, however, that many parts of the ecosystem are highly sensitive to changes in global temperature, and that coral reefs are highly sensitive to these changes.

10.2.6.3 Impacts – ocean circulation

Paleo-proxy records of the northern hemisphere show that a slowing down or halting of the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation has occurred on a number of occasions in the past. The last major abrupt climate change occurred some 8200 years ago when two glacial lakes melted and drained into Hudson Bay, Canada. This event is recorded in the $\delta^{18}O$ signature of Greenland ice cores and is estimated to have caused a 3 to 6°C decrease in northern European temperatures within a few years. Bryden et al. provide observational evidence that the oceanic density fields in the North Atlantic have changed considerably resulting in a weakening of the circulation of more than 30 percent between 1957 and 2004. A repeat breakdown of the circulation would have devastating effects on the socio-economic condition of countries bordering the eastern North Atlantic. The flow on effects to coral reef regions under such a scenario are uncertain, but could include enhanced warming and tropical storm activity in the Caribbean and global sea level rise, the consequences of which are discussed in sections 10.2.1.4, 10.2.4.3 and 10.2.5.3.

Although projecting the precise details of how ocean circulation will change is difficult, it is quite clear from current evidence that it is changing and that coral communities are highly sensitive to change. Currents determine aspects of the environment such as temperature and to an extent local weather. To see the effects of relatively minor changes in ocean currents on coral communities one has only to examine the large scale changes that have resulted during El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) disturbances to the Indo-Pacific in the 1982–1983 or 1997–1998 global ocean-atmosphere events. The latter event involved changes (as was the 1982–1983 event) to ocean circulation and led to the loss of 16 percent of reef-building corals globally.

10.2.6.4 Adaptive capacity – ocean circulation

Forcing of ocean currents are subject to physical laws. As such there is little scope for ‘adaptation’ in the same sense as biological and human systems can adapt to changes. Ocean currents change in response to regional changes in heat fluxes, freshwater input, wind forcing and sea ice volume. These forcing factors interact in complex ways and themselves are a response to local and regional climate variation. For this reason anticipating the timing and dynamics of ocean currents is difficult to predict.

10.2.6.5 Vulnerability and thresholds – ocean circulation

Under present climate change models, the likelihood of a shutdown or slowdown in the North Atlantic meridional overturning circulation of sufficient magnitude to cause a cooling in the Europe is considered small (despite the large-scale changes it would bring). Meehl et al. modelled the latent response of our climate system using two independent climate models and showed that even under a high-end A2 climate scenario, there was no cooling over northern Europe despite significant slowing of the meridional overturning circulation. This is principally because increases in greenhouse gas emissions and resultant warming overwhelmed any tendency to high-latitude cooling. The IPCC notes that it is too early to say with confidence whether an irreversible collapse in the Atlantic
meridional overturning circulation is likely or not and at what threshold it might take place. However, none of the coupled models predict a complete shutdown of the current under any of the climate scenarios by 2100.

10.2.7 Linkages with other components

10.2.7.1 Constraints to adaptation

Evidence for past adaptation of corals to distinct thermal regimes comes from the observation that populations from warmer locations can withstand higher temperatures compared to conspecific or congeneric populations that live in cooler water, and that those differences are maintained after laboratory acclimation. These results suggest that variation in bleaching resistance of corals has a significant genetic component, which is a prerequisite for selection to lead to adaptive change. In other words, if all of the observed variation in bleaching resistance reflected phenotypic plasticity, corals would be unable to respond to selection for increased temperature resistance and hence would not adapt.

To predict the rate at which corals and their algal endosymbionts can potentially adapt given the most likely warming scenarios, it is useful to quantify the extent to which the observed variance in bleaching resistance is genetically determined using quantitative genetic approaches. This information is currently unavailable. A second important factor in estimating potential rates of adaptation of corals is the generation time, that is, the time period from birth to average age of reproduction. The longer the generation time, the slower the process of adaptation. Generation times in corals depend on their growth rates, as reproductive maturity is related to size. The age at first reproduction is probably on the order of three to eight years, but because corals are iteroparous, the generation time should be a weighted average of the age of a maternal colony at which each of her offspring was produced. Generation times for long-lived coral species that grow to large sizes are therefore expected to be significantly longer than three to eight years, as most offspring will be produced when the maternal colonies are large (ie at an older age) and after adult polyp fecundity has been reached.

Symbiodinium populations, on the other hand, are asexual in hospite, but population genetic studies show that sexual reproduction does occur although it may be infrequent. Symbiodinium occurs at extremely large populations sizes (probably on the order of several billion cells per coral colony). Therefore, even in the absence of frequent sexual reproduction, infrequent somatic mutations may become relevant in such huge populations. Aided by clonal reproduction, selection may lead to the rapid dominance of cells that have undergone a mutation, which enhances thermal tolerance. This is very hypothetical, and experimental work should be directed to explore the likelihood that such evolutionary processes play a role in the evolution of Symbiodinium. Furthermore, it is unknown whether Symbiodinium with enhanced thermal tolerance will also increase the thermal tolerance of the holobiont.

In any of these discussions about the adaptation of populations of corals to climate change, it is important to note that climate change does not involve a step change but rather, is (and will continue to be) characterised by continuous change. This has important implications for the expectation of how populations of corals and other coral reef organisms may change. For example, if we were to stabilise global temperatures at 2°C above present day conditions, coral populations would see an
initial decrease in population size as unfit genotypes are eliminated followed by the proliferation of fit genotypes at the new temperature. We might also expect the migration of thermally tolerant northern genotypes to migrate to southern locations on the reef over time (probably over decades), assuming that levels of gene flow are sufficient to accomplish this, and to flourish at these southern locations as conditions stabilised. The key part of this preceding statement is the stabilisation of climate, which is highly dependent on the rate of greenhouse gas emissions achieved over the next few decades. Stabilisation of climate becomes increasingly unlikely with anything less than aggressive reductions of greenhouse gas emissions (greater than 80% by 2050). Given that stabilisation is unlikely, notions of rapid adaptation changing the thermal thresholds of corals and their symbionts in whole communities are also unlikely. In the unlikely event that we could stop all greenhouse gas emissions today, we are still committed to significant climate change and disruption to habitats like coral reefs before stabilisation is reached.

10.2.7.2 Interactions between stressors
There are a large number of interactions between stressors, producing either muted or enhanced outcomes for corals and Symbiodinium as two or more factors coincide. These interactions have not been exhaustively pursued and should be the subject of future research work. Interactions between thermal stress and light have been explored at both physiological and ecological levels and via modelling. As discussed above, the flow of water around corals also has an important effect on thermal and photic stress and effects on the photosynthetic performance of zooxanthellae in hospite have also been documented. Anthony et al. explored the interaction between water quality, light and temperature, on coral bleaching and mortality and found that the complex interactions between these variables are largely explained by their effects on coral energetics. These interactions ultimately define environmental limits to growth and are ultimately related to common variables within the energy budgets of corals that are attempting to undergo photosynthesis in the challenging conditions associated with life in coastal water.

Despite the fact that much is known about the interaction of some variables, we have only a hint of how factors such as thermal stress and acidification will interact under future oceanic conditions. As discussed above, the poorly constructed conclusions of McNeil et al. stemmed from inaccurate assumptions about how coral calcification might fair in a warmer more acidic ocean. This highlights the importance with which we must address the questions of how different drivers will interact as the world changes. For example, the process of recovery of coral reefs following bleaching events is surprisingly poorly described despite the importance given to the concept of resilience. How fishing pressure affects reef recovery, or how poor water quality affects mortality following thermal stress need to be determined if we are to understand and better manage the impacts that appear almost certain as the global ocean warms and acidifies.

10.2.7.3 Coral disease
Recognition that coral disease can be a major force in structuring coral communities has emerged only recently and has been based primarily on studies of Caribbean reefs in the past two decades. The first record of a coral disease was in the early 1970s, but since then, more than 30 coral diseases have been described, the majority from the Caribbean (reviewed in Weil). Disease is commonly defined as a deviance from the normal physiological functioning of an organism, but the distinction
between health and disease is not always clear-cut. The distinction is typically based on the extent of the dysfunction; mild dysfunctions of behaviour, growth and reproduction generally fall within the realm of relative health, whereas severe dysfunctions and mortality are classified as disease. Coral diseases may be either infectious or non-infectious (e.g., environmentally induced). Infectious diseases of corals are associated with a variety of pathogens, including bacteria, cyanobacteria, ciliate protists, and fungi, although causative agents (as verified using Koch’s postulates) have been identified for only a few coral diseases (reviewed in Weil 1994). Surveys of coral disease reveal generally low (less than 5%) disease prevalence on reefs in the GBR198. Overall, seven disease types have been recorded: black band disease (BBD), skeletal eroding band (SEB), white syndrome (WS), brown band disease (BrBD), coral tumors, atramentous necrosis, and cyanobacteria syndromes (other than BBD), although current understanding of the majority of these is limited to field descriptions of lesions. All seven of these coral diseases are widespread throughout the GBR. For example, BBD occurs on more than 70 percent of reefs surveyed (n = 19) throughout the northern, central and southern sectors, although its prevalence is typically low (affecting about 0.1% of scleractinian corals)151. Black band disease has been recorded to infect at least 32 coral species in 10 families on the GBR, with branching pocilloporid and acroporid corals being important hosts198. Abundance of WS increased 20-fold in the 2001 and 2002 period, around the time of the most severe bleaching event so far recorded on the GBR, and increased further in 2002 and 2003198 but has since declined to low levels in all regions (B Willis and C Page unpublished data). Detection of some of the more common and infectious Caribbean diseases (BBD and potentially some of the white diseases), in combination with discovery of diseases unique to the region (brown band disease198), suggest that coral diseases are common on Indo-Pacific reefs and may have a greater role in structuring Indo-Pacific coral communities than previously thought. Diseases are ubiquitous in all plant and animal populations, thus such contributions to the dynamics of coral populations are not unexpected. Increases in white syndrome abundance198 and atramentous necrosis in the summer of 2001–2002102 are the only disease outbreaks so far documented on the GBR. At present, diseases have had a comparatively low impact on GBR coral populations in comparison to those in the Caribbean. However, the impacts of coral disease in other reef areas highlight the potential for increased risk in the future, especially in a warming climate.

Increasing reports of diseases in many marine organisms globally in the past few decades are postulated to be linked to ocean warming80,113,191,170. Increasing sea water temperatures have the potential to increase not only host susceptibility to disease, but also virulence of the pathogens themselves80,143. It is difficult to separate the effects of ocean warming from concurrent increases in stressors such as nutrients, toxic chemicals and other pollutants, based solely on reports of disease113. However, a number of additional lines of evidence support a link between elevated temperatures and disease. For example, the role of high temperatures in summer outbreaks of bacterially induced bleaching in Mediterranean populations of the coral, _Oculina patagonica_, appears to be well established180,95. These outbreaks have been linked to increased expression of virulence genes by the bacterium, _Vibrio shiloi_, at higher temperatures (reviewed in Rosenberg and Ben-Haim180). Seasonal patterns in coral disease prevalence on the GBR198 and spatial patterns in black band disease abundance in the Caribbean113 support a link between elevated temperatures and the prevalence of a number of coral diseases (e.g., white syndrome), black band disease, skeletal eroding band and brown band disease on the GBR198. Recent analyses of the relationship between annual patterns in the abundance of white syndrome on the GBR and warm thermal anomalies also corroborate a link between elevated seawater
temperatures and coral disease. Speculation that warmer winter temperatures will favour pathogen populations and therefore not provide a winter reprieve from pathogen load associated with current mean winter minima represents another potential way in which climate change may affect disease dynamics in GBR coral populations.

It is noteworthy that, in addition to temperature and environmental stressors, biological factors may also affect disease incidence, thus predicting the vulnerability of coral populations to disease as a consequence of climate change is complex. Host density is known to affect pathogen transmission; therefore reduced cover of dominant coral species may lower the spread of disease once low host density thresholds are reached. It is also possible that pathogens, which are currently positively affected by summer temperatures, will be negatively affected at higher sea water temperatures associated with climate change. Furthermore, there may be reduced coral disease under climate change scenarios as elevated sea water temperatures negatively affected some pathogens. Evidence that an acroporid tissue loss syndrome decreases in abundance during the summer months at Heron Island supports this possibility. These studies have documented programmed cell death (apoptosis) as the mechanism underlying tissue loss, although the trigger (e.g., environmental stress or microbial pathogens) for cell death is unknown. The greater disease abundance in winter may relate to dwindling energy resources (perhaps due to thermal stress in the preceding summer) prior to entering the colder, darker months. In summary, the impact of ocean warming will depend on relative thermal optima of coral hosts and pathogens. However, the potential for rapid spread of pathogens throughout marine populations, as demonstrated by the rapid spread of herpes virus throughout Australian pilchard populations and of morbillivirus throughout seal and dolphin populations, highlights the need for greater understanding of mechanisms of coral pathogen transmission and virulence, as well as mechanisms of disease resistance of corals, to better evaluate the vulnerability of corals to disease as a consequence of climate change.

10.2.7.4 Threats to resilience

This chapter is devoted to the impacts of climate change on reef-building corals. It is important to note, however, that impacts on corals are likely to reverberate throughout the GBR ecosystem. Corals are responsible for the physical and ecological foundations that underpin reefs, making the fate of coral communities a critical determinant of ecosystem resilience. The many chapters in this book provide important insights into how impacts to coral communities from climate change will affect particular species or habitats. Emerging as important to understanding how impacts on corals might affect resilience more generally, is an awareness of the dependency between corals and other habitat components.

Corals support tens if not hundreds of thousands of other organisms. Many of these are totally dependent on corals for food, shelter and reproduction. Many others rely only partly on corals, while nearly every organism has some sort of indirect dependency on the goods and services provided by corals. Where direct and strong dependencies occur, changes in coral cover or composition can have obvious and immediate impacts on other species. Coral obligates like the orange-spotted filefish (Oxymonacanthus longirostris), for example, rapidly disappeared from Okinawan reefs after the 1998 bleaching event. In contrast, less direct or facultative relationships can result in complicated, delayed or minor responses to changes in coral communities. In the Seychelles, for example, Spalding and Jarvis found that the overall structure of fish communities had changed very little despite massive decreases (3 to 20 fold) in living coral cover after the 1997–1998 bleaching event. This effect
is amplified further up the trophic pyramid, as exemplified by the difficulty in detecting impacts on reef-based fisheries after major coral mortality events caused by bleaching. Competitive interactions involving corals are also important to the composition and dynamics of coral reefs. The ability of corals to dominate available hard substrate to the exclusion of algae, for example, is fundamental to the long-term resilience of the ecosystem.

These illustrations highlight the complex web of relationships that centres on corals. Changes in the abundance or composition of coral communities will necessarily have impacts on other parts of the ecosystem, with the potential to severely undermine resilience. This emphasises the importance of measures that take into account the complex responses that are likely from inter-dependent ecosystems such as the GBR, rather than a focus on any one species, group or habitat. In the context of climate change, more than any other issue, understanding the connections between different parts of the ecosystem, and the role of species or groups in ecosystem resilience is critically important when formulating management responses. In particular, taking a resilience-based approach to the management of tropical marine ecosystems (McCook et al. chapter 4, Marshall and Johnson chapter 24) is critical to address the issue of climate change, where impacts are certain to occur, but their scale, intensity and frequency is largely unknown.

10.3 Summary and recommendations

10.3.1 Major vulnerabilities to climate change

The vulnerability of coral and the reefs they build to climate change was brought into sharp focus after 1998, when an estimated 16 percent of the world’s coral communities died. Analysing the literature since that time reveals that rapidly rising sea temperatures and increasing levels of acidity in the ocean remain the major threat to coral reefs. Successive studies of the potential impacts of thermal stress on coral reefs have supported the notion that coral dominated reefs are likely to largely disappear with a 2°C rise in sea temperature over the next 100 years. This, coupled with the additional vulnerability of coral reefs to high levels of acidification once the atmosphere reaches 500 parts per million, suggests that coral dominated reefs will be rare or non-existent in the near future. In this regard, we conclude that communities of Australian corals are extremely vulnerable to the effects of ocean warming and ocean acidification. While new assemblages will certainly form in the absence of coral-dominated reefs, the diversity and structure of these communities as well as the types of fishing and tourist industries they might support are completely unknown.

Changes to other factors such as storm intensity, water quality and light intensity will have a lower, yet significant, impact on coral reefs. Although coral reefs are less vulnerable to these particular factors, and hence they are likely to interact with climate change and ocean acidification in some important ways. Increased storm activity, for example, may reduce the effects of climate change locally by mixing the water column and cooling the overlying waters. Stronger storms, however, will accelerate the breakage of increasingly fragile coral skeletons caused by ocean acidification and will cause larger coral mortality events in coastal areas due to more intense flooding. Other factors such as increasing sunlight days as the Australian coastal areas undergo drying will exacerbate the effects of warming. Although a full understanding of the many interactions with secondary variables has not been achieved, their role in the vulnerability of coral reefs is likely to grow.
10.3.2 Vulnerability and thresholds (extinction risk and irreversibility)

As outlined above, 500 parts per million is the highest CO$_2$ concentration under which any semblances to the communities of corals we have today can survive. It is also the only scenario in which the climate will eventually stabilise. Above this point (500 parts per million), coral reefs will also change irreversibly and be lost for many thousands of years. To contemplate any higher CO$_2$ is untenable given the huge likelihood of such catastrophic events as runaway greenhouse effects and the flooding of the planet as the Greenland and Western Antarctic Ice Sheets melt. Even though 500 parts per million is seen as an ambitious greenhouse target, effects on ocean temperature and acidity will mean that coral calcification will decrease to 40 percent of today’s value and major (1998 level) bleaching events will occur every 2 to 4 years$^{82,48,49}$. Under these conditions, Australian reefs will have the following characteristics:

- Major increase in the frequency and intensity of coral bleaching, mortality events and recruitment failure with increased incidences and outbreaks of coral disease.
- Coral dominated reefs will contract to less that 20 percent of today’s distribution and corals will be rare on most coral reefs. Benthic microalgae, macroalgae and cyanobacteria communities will dominate these reefs although it is uncertain which species or taxa will dominate.
- Reef carbonate frameworks are likely to slowly disintegrate under vastly reduced calcification (due to elevated temperatures and decreasing pH) and the possible acceleration of bioerosion. Reefs will have less structure and hence reduced habitat complexity and holding capacity for reef organisms. It is not known how long these processes will take to have an effect on coral reefs.
- Reduced coral communities and reef structure will lead to a major reduction in reef biodiversity with some coral-dependent species going extinct.
- At longer time frames, negative reef maintenance and growth will mean that sections of the Australian coastline that are currently protected by reef structures like the GBR will gradually become more exposed to ocean wave stress. This may eventually have ramifications for the current distribution of coastal seagrass and mangrove communities.
- Intensified cyclone regime will increase physical impacts on coral communities and will accelerate the shift from high-diversity communities to assemblages dominated by few resistant massive/encrusting species. Reduced vitality of corals will mean that recovery will be compromised; further accelerating the shift of reefs away from coral dominated reefs.
- The increased intensity of flood events along with prolonged drought along east Australia will lead to periods of reduced water quality and flooding (with associated sediment, nutrients, and freshwater impacts) that will affect reefs further offshore.

10.3.3 Potential management responses

The most serious threats to corals in the context of climate change are coral bleaching caused by warming sea temperatures, and decreased calcification due to ocean acidification. Neither water temperature nor ocean chemistry is amenable to mitigation at the scale of local reef management. However, there is a variety of local factors that are can influence the susceptibility of corals to global stressors. An understanding of the process of coral bleaching, and the factors that influence outcomes
at various steps along the causal pathway, provides the basis for scientifically-based management strategies that aim to reduce the impacts of climate change on corals\textsuperscript{149,135}.

A similar analysis may be possible for ocean acidification in the future, once more is known about the interactions between aragonite saturation state and other (more local) factors that influence calcification in corals. Possible management strategies at the local scale could be measures to (1) increase pH to shift the aragonite saturation state, or (2) reduce wave regimes locally to protect patches of more susceptible morphologies from breakage. This could probably only be achieved in enclosed reef areas (eg micro atolls). However, it is unlikely that such attempts would be cost effective as a conservation strategy or as a rescue operation for tour operators.

Three conditions determine the outcome of stressful temperatures on corals: resistance, tolerance and reef recovery. Each of these offers a potential focus for management action aimed at reducing the impacts of coral bleaching (Figure 10.1). Damage to a coral community might be reduced if managers can influence or somehow enhance the effects of factors that determine the ability of corals to maintain their symbiotic dinoflagellates even when exposed to high temperatures (protecting resistance). Experiments to test the effectiveness of shading corals during periods of hot, bleaching conditions should be encouraged. Other possible management actions to protect corals from bleaching include promotion of recovery of corals or the ecosystem from bleaching events through efforts to (1) build tolerance to stressful temperatures of corals and (2) support human adaptive capacity (Figure 10.1).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{coral_bleaching_diagram.png}
\caption{The coral bleaching process, showing opportunities for management action to reduce the impacts of stressful sea temperatures on coral communities (from Marshall and Schuttenberg 2006)}
\end{figure}
still conditions are an example of efforts to protect resistance at a very limited spatial scale. Managers may also be able to build the ability of corals to tolerate bleaching. In particular, recent research has shown that the lipid content of corals affects their ability to endure bleaching, suggesting that management strategies aimed at maintaining coral health (such as improving water quality) may play an important role in reducing the severity of coral bleaching. The part of the bleaching process most amenable to management action is the potential for coral communities to rapidly recover following coral mortality. Healthy habitats are better able to provide the conditions required for recruitment, survival and growth of new corals after bleaching has killed established colonies. In particular, good water quality, an abundant and diverse community of herbivores, and high coral cover are key aspects of ecosystem quality that should be priorities for reef management that aims to minimise the impacts of climate change on coral communities.

Although there are management actions that can reduce the impacts of coral bleaching, ultimately the fate of coral reefs will be determined by a combination of the rate of climate change, and their resilience to these changes. This suggests that immediate steps must be taken to reduce the sources of emissions that are driving climate change. In addition, the interaction of climate change impacts with secondary factors (eg water quality and fishing pressure) suggests some important strategies that need to be undertaken as climate change continues. These would build on the significant steps that have already been taken to improve the resilience of the GBR ecosystem, such as increasing the area of no-take zones from 5 to 33 percent, and developing a Reef Water Quality Protection Plan. Together, these measures have been hailed as being of international conservation significance. However, climate change poses additional and new challenges to tropical marine ecosystems, requiring further management efforts. Based on what is currently known about the risks from climate change, we offer the following recommendations:

• To minimise the risk of major degradation of coral reefs, global emissions need to be reduced so that atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ stabilise at levels no higher than 500 parts per million.

• Management effectiveness will benefit from a thorough understanding of regional differences in vulnerability across all stresses. Hence, a more detailed understanding of the basis of tolerance in organisms like corals and their symbionts as well as a detailed ‘vulnerability map’ for the GBR can contribute substantially to resilience-building efforts.

• Reef resilience will also be improved through effective management of river catchments (ie reduced erosion potential of particulates, nutrients and toxicants) so as to improve coastal water quality and prepare for the impacts of more intense storms on an increasingly drought ridden coastline.

• Continued protection of healthy herbivore populations will help maintain and promote coral recruitment into disturbed areas.

• Explore the pros and cons of artificial structures to maintain reef species in areas where corals have been removed and the reef framework has disintegrated, or as stepping-stones between source and sink reefs in areas of low connectivity.

• Efforts to explore the effectiveness and costs of technologies to reduce climate impacts (shade structures, restoration technologies) should be facilitated for small areas of high natural or industry (tourism) value.
10.3.1 Further research

Our analysis reveals numerous gaps in our understanding of how corals and their dinoflagellate symbionts will survive under rapid climate warming and ocean acidification. Although we are rapidly improving our understanding of how these conditions affect other important reef species, especially those that are important reef calcifying organisms (e.g., calcareous red algae). It is imperative that we pursue an understanding of the molecular basis for stress tolerance in corals and their symbionts. As the tools of the later exist, engaging in a national research program to achieve this must be a priority. We need to also expand our understanding of how climate change and ocean acidification will interact, both together and with other climate-related factors (e.g., storm intensity). At higher levels of organisation, we need to improve our understanding of the consequences of the loss of corals as major community members on Australian reefs. In this regard, a regional ‘vulnerability map’ would be a valuable tool for understanding the interplay between local and global stresses in complex ecosystems like the GBR. Assessments are also needed of how reef biodiversity is tied to, and affected by, the abundance of reef-building corals. Equally, we need to know how projected changes in benthic community structure will affect commercial fish stocks, and to explore ways that we might ameliorate these changes (e.g., artificial reef structures). Other industries such as marine tourism (one of Australia’s largest industries and export earners) will be affected by severely degraded coral reefs. Some analyses of this problem have been undertaken. Projections of vulnerability of these industries and reef usages, however, need to be coupled with socio-economic studies that examine strategies to reduce the impact and spread the risk to these industries of major changes in the appeal of coral reefs to visitors. Lastly, we need to understand better how changes in the health of tropical marine ecosystems in Australia’s backyard (southeast Asia and the western Pacific) will affect the status of societies that depend on coral reefs for food and resources. In this respect, Australia needs to understand and be ready for potential impacts on the social and economic well-being of millions of people who depend for subsistence on the coral reefs in neighbouring countries.
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